



ICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING & FINANCE (IJAF)

Vol. 1 No. 4

1

THE PARTY OF THE P

October 2012

Modelling an Inflation Accounting System for Nigerian Listed Firms by D . Yinka Mashood Salaudeen.

Sustainable Development and Profitability of Nigerian Quoted Companies by Dr. Sadig Abubakar Kasum.

Modelling Financial Information for Non-Bank Investment Decision Making in Nigeria Economy by Akparawa David Ekanem and Utibelma Ekanem.

Anatomy of Disclosure Index in Bangladesh by Dr. Hassen Shamimul Md and Prof. Syed Zabid Hassain.

Impact of Capital Market Reform on Asset Pricing Characteristics of Nigerian Stock Market by Osazevbaru H. Osahon

Risks and Uncertainties as Determinants of Size of Capitalised Banks in Nigeria by Dr. Mohammed Habibu Sabarl.

Dividend Payout Practices of Nigerian Quoted Banks by Dr. Chuks E. Nwude.

Investigating Liquidity-Profitability Relationship in Business Organisations: a Case Study of Selected Quoted Companies in Nigeria by A.T Okwu and I. D. Nworji.

Users' Perception of Relevance of Audit Committee Report in Corporate Reporting in Nigeria by O.O. Ilaboya.

Directors and Corporate Governance in the Banking Sector: Evidence from Nigeria by Dr. Jafaru Jimoh and Dr. F.O. Iyoha.

Stakeholder Perspectives and National Perception of Stakeholder Governance by E. P. Ebhomielen

A Publication of The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria

ICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING & FINANCE A PUBLICATION OF THE INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF NIGERIA

Editorial Board

Prof. Abhulimen R. AnaoEditor-in-Chief
Benson Idahosa University, Benin City.

Members
Prof. Taiwo Asaolu

Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife
Prof. C. U Uche
University of Nigeria, Nsukka
Prof. Francis Ojaide
University of Jos, Jos
Prof. K.I. Dandago
Bayero University Kano
Dr. Akintola Owolabi
Lagos Business School, Lagos.
Jim Obazee

Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria
Emmanuel G. Ogbonnaya
Director, Research & Technical, ICAN

Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Amenkhienan, F. E. Radford University, Virgina, USA

Prof. Ariyo, A University of Ibadan, Nigeria

Prof. Inanga, E L. Nassaulaan, Maastricht, Netherlands

Dr. James, K. University of Queensland, Australia

Prof. Tinker, T Barunch College, City University of New York, USA

Managing Editor Emmanuel G. Ogbonnaya

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ts of :h

ns cy in

n e e 3,

PAGE	
Editorial Board	i
Mission and Vision Statements	ii
Copyright Statement	iii
Call for Articles	iv
Table of Contents .	V
Modelling an inflation accounting system for Nigerian listed firms by Dr. Yinka Mashood Salaudeen	9
Sustainable development and profitability of Nigerian quoted companies by Dr. Sadiq Abubakar Kasum	23
Modelling financial information for Non-Bank investment decision making in Nigeria economy byAkparawa David Ekanem and Utibeim Ekanem	35
Anatomy of disclosure index in Bangladesh by Dr. Hassen Shamimul Md and Prof. Syed Zabid Hassain	53
Impact of capital market reform on Asset Pricing characteristics of Nigerian Stock Market by Osazevbaru H. Osahon	73
Risks and uncertainties as determinants of size of capitalised Banks in Nigeria by Dr. Mohammed Habibu Sabari	85
Dividend payout practices of Nigerian quoted Banks by Dr. Chuks E. Nwude	.105
Investigating liquidity-profitability relationship in business organisations: a case study of selected Quoted companies in Nigeria by A.T Okwu, I. D. Nworji & Oliwuru,T.C.	123
Users' perception of relevance of Audit Committee report in Corporate Reporting in Nigeria b	oy 139
Directors and Corporate Governance in the Banking sector: evidence from Nigeria by Dr. Jafaru Jimoh and Dr. F.O. Iyoha	155
Stakeholder perspectives and National perception of Stakeholder Governance by E.P. Ebhomielen	169

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT AND PROFITABILITY OF NIGERIAN QUOTED COMPANIES

by
Kasum, A. S. PhD, ACA
Department of Accounting and Finance
University of Ilorin,
Ilorin

ABSTRACT

The study is against the background that sustainable developmental practices usually involve financial outflows and hence, may be an unattractive investment to managers. Managers are likely to want to reduce expenses as much as possible to improve profit and this may be at the detriment of the environment. This study evaluated the impact of corporate compliance with accounting standards that are deemed to enforce sustainable development practices and can, therefore, imply sustainable development practices by Nigerian companies, on their profitability.

Forty-four companies that have existed since standardization began in Nigeria were studied over five years, using spearman rank correlation statistical technique that compared the ranking of compliance with ranking of profitability. It was discovered that compliance with sustainable development related standards by Nigerian companies does not affect profitability over the years studied. The study recommends that companies should engage in both internally and externally focused sustainable development activities.

Keywords: Sustainable Development, Profitability, Standardization, Quoted Companies

INTRODUCTION

Businesses, like all other stakeholders in a society, are faced with dual sustainable development challenges. The first challenge is internal sustainability while the second is external or global sustainability. Internal sustainability can be referred to as the going concern sustainability, which can also be referred to as the internal economic sustainable development (Newton-King, 2009). It is concerned with ensuring that current activities of the organization are conducted in a manner that will not hinder future activities. Global sustainability can be divergent in scope. It can be communal, national or universally focused. The essence of global sustainable development is that activities of business organizations are conducted in such a manner that both the current and future needs of the society are not compromised.

This places many responsibilities on the managements of organizations, who are required to strike a balance between corporate goals and communal interests. The most likely happening is that management, as a service to their employers, will focus more on internal sustainability against the communal sustainable development needs. 'In contrast to the above, many governments are pinning their hopes of economic growth and technological innovation on strong private sector growth' (Fourie, 2009).

For good corporate governance that especially takes care of the interests of all stakeholders, the issue of standardization cannot be done without. Standardization is the mechanism by which procedures of activities are regulated, so that common interests, rather than self-interests are promoted (Dixon, 2009). Standardization is adopted in many aspects of life globally, including provisions for the control of business activities. Some of the Accounting standards issued in Nigeria have provisions that promote sustainable development. These include the standard on fixed assets, employee retirement benefit and taxation. The aim of this study is to investigate if compliance with the accounting standards that are related to sustainable development issued in Nigeria has impact on the result of activities of Nigerian companies. The remaining part of this paper is divided into section two for methodology; three for review of related literature and section

four for results and conclusion.

METHODOLOGY

This study is an exploratory type that seeks to understand the impact of compliance with responsible. accounting standards that promote sustainable development. Samples for this study were drawn from the list of companies quoted on The Nigerian Stock Exchange. Forty-four companies that On the have filed reports with The Nigerian Stock Exchange from the commencement of standardization commencement in Nigeria in 1983 up to 2007 are the sample for the study. The study was carried out over five Acco years' range but with the specific records of the companies for 2002, 2004 and 2006. These records way were collected from Lagos Stock Exchange. The financial statements of the 44 companies for 2002 deve were collected from the Stock Exchange Library in Lagos. The standards were subjected to content 'conc analysis, with the aim of, on a point-by-point basis, determining what the provisions therein are and and consequently the requirement of the standards from the companies. By this, each point of corporate compliance was identified and scores were assigned to each of the points. The financial statements pinni were then examined for the extent to which they comply with the provisions, as set out based of grow the above. The degree of compliance index was, thereafter, computed as:

Compliance score = Point scored(1)
Maximum possible score
Summation of score per standard divided by the number of standards applicable to the compa

produced the aggregate compliance score for individual companies.

Spearman ranked correlation statistical method was used to investigate if compliance toda associates with business profitability. Because of the ties by some companies in the rankings, the of st study used the formula given as:

$$R = 1 - \frac{6(d^2 + X)}{n(n^2 - 1)}.$$
 (2)

where: d = difference between paired ranked values

n = number of pairs of ranking, and

$$X = t3 t \tag{3}$$

Where: t = number of tied rankings.

Statement of Hypothesis

Null hypothesis

Compliance with Standards that promotes sustainable development is not associated with one

Decision rule: The result is between 'zero' and 'one' and the closer to one the result is, the stronger Sus the association between compliance and profitability and vice versa. Alternative hypothesis, The therefore, is accepted where correlation is strong (closer to one) and it is rejected if the correlation to di is weak (closer to zero). Positive and negative correlation results need be established to state if the cons relationship is favourable or not.

Literature Review

Sustainable Development in the Business Sector

According to Middleton (1995), there could only be theoretical justification for the removal of mail resources from environment in the comparative benefit of the removed resources, and in the ability busi to ensure that, the environment is, generally, not worse-off. Corporate governance is the concept land that best describes the responsibility of business in sustainable development. According to the No. Brundtland report of 1987 of the United Nations, sustainable development is the 'development Stat which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generation to Con 2005 World Commit of the United Nation referred to economic ben

deve mutu econ

susta

Busi Acco

servi anies and (

as th

mak pres

> shou of pr and Lin,

Busi stan

> stan husi

Stat "Pro for

development, social development and environmental protection as the interdependent and mutually reinforcing pillars of sustainable development, which Davis (2009) explained as the economic development and the consumptive use of world's natural resources in ways that are sustainable. In other words, with the realization that resources are finite and that part of human responsibility is to preserve the human future on this planet into the limitless future.

pliance with were drawn

panies that On the other hand, Newton-King (2009) stated that 'economic sustainability evaluates whether a ndardization company has positioned itself for long-term growth rather than only short-term performance'. out over five According to her, the company 'must be able to adapt to macro-economic trends and act in such a lese records way that the long-term viability of the business is assured'. These are the two sustainable ies for 2002 development issues for business. Corporate governance already incorporated these as it is to content concerned with holding the balance between economic and social goals and between individual therein are and communal goals with the aim of aligning as nearly as possible, the interest of individuals, ch point of corporations and society (Dixon, 2009). Additional to this is the fact that 'many governments are statements pinning their hopes of economic growth and technological innovation on strong private sector it based on growth' (Fourie, 2009).

According to Russell (2007), standardization involves inspection, assurance and certification

as the eighteen century, for weights and measures by French scientists. Several standards exist,

of standards are those on financial reporting. The standards usually prescribe what information to make available to stakeholders and the form in which the information should be prepared and

presented. Accounting standards were developed as a guiding tool which defined how companies should display transactions and events in their financial statements, ensure the needed uniformity of practices, enlighten users of financial reports and provide a framework for preparation, presents and interprets financial statement (Kantudu, 2005; Oghuma and Iyoha, 2005; Blair, Williams and

...(1)

services aimed at regulating businesses, enforcing contracts and assurance for acceptable social companies and environmental behaviour expectations. Standardization that affects business exists as far back

Business Procedure Standardization

Lin, 2008; Kasum, 2009;).

ompliance today that have impacts on businesses worldwide. Perhaps, the most familiar and established set kings, the

..(2)

(3)

Business accounting standardization, therefore, could be said to centre on financial reporting standardization, in a manner that stakeholders in business are adequately provided for. The standards make some provisions that facilitate the two sustainable development concerns of business. Dixon (2009) therefore opined that the move towards sustainable reporting is a welcome ed with one in that it encourages a more positive response to sustainable development issues.

stronger

Sustainable Development Related Issues in Nigeria Accounting Standards

The Nigerian Accounting Standards Board now (Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria) has issued, to date, thirty accounting standards covering various business issues. Five of these standards are te if the considered favourable to sustainable development.

oval of

Statement of Accounting Standard (SAS) No. 3 is on Accounting for Property, Plant and Equipment. "Property plant and equipment are tangible assets that have been acquired or constructed and held for use in the production or supply of goods and services and may include those held for maintenance or repairs of such assets; and are not intended for sale in the ordinary course of ability business". Most popular examples of property plant and equipment as contained in SAS 3 include oncept land and improvements, building and plants and equipment (Statement of Accounting Standard to the No. 3: 1984). Property plant and equipment could be linked to internal sustainability of businesses. Statement of Accounting Standard No. 8 is on Accounting for Employees' Retirement Benefits. Contract is one of the fundamental principles identified by Gold (2005) in employee retirement nomic benefits. The kind of contract needed, he posited, is that which may extend over a long period of

time, which will have force even after one party would rather no longer be bound by it. The type of contract may be explicit or implicit depending on the kind of engagement. Employment contrad may also incorporate options that may be absolute or conditional. "Economists expect contracts till be rational and efficient" (Gold, 2005). Employees' Benefits could be said to have both internal and Comp external sustainable development consequences, because the welfare of an individual employed will not only influence productivity, but also spending behaviour in the economy.

AFPR: AFRI(

BFRG

CADB

CAP F

CAPP

CFAO

CHEL

COST

DN M

DUNL

FIRST

GI AXI

GUIN

JOHN LEVE

LIVES

MOBI MORI

NIG.

NIGE

P.S M. P.Z IN

R.T B ROAD

STUD

TOTAL

U.A.C

U.B.A

Statement of Accounting Standard No. 9 is on Accounting for Depreciation. Depreciation systematic and rational process of distributing the cost of tangible asset over the life of assets. 'Iti the process by which a company gradually records the loss in value of fixed assets... to spread the initial purchase price of the fixed asset over its useful life'. Lopes (2006) described depreciation a the periodic, systematic expiration of the cost of a company's fixed assets (except for land). Various methods exist for calculating depreciation; two broad classifications could be made of th methods, as time based or usage based. According to Dunn (2004), whatever method to us should consider:

- -the cost or revalued amount of the asset,
- -the estimated economic life, and
- -the estimated residual value of the asset.

According to Statement of Accounting Standard No. 9 (1989), depreciation is in respect of items of property plant and equipment otherwise referred to as fixed assets. Depreciation "represents at INCAI estimate of the portion of the historical cost or revalued amount of a fixed asset chargeable to operation, during an accounting period". Like the Property Plant and Equipment, depreciation could be linked to internal sustainability of businesses.

Statement of Accounting Standard No. 12 is on Accounting for Investments. Investment decision of businesses have both internal and external implications and consequently the standard will have both internal and external sustainable development consequences. Assets held by an enterprise NIG. I for the purposes of capital appreciation or income generation rather than production, trade of NIGEI provisions of service qualify as investment. They are classified as short term if they are readily NIG V realizable and otherwise, classified as long term (Statement of Accounting Standard No. 12 NORT 1992).

Statement of Accounting Standard No. 19 is on Accounting for taxes. Tax behaviour of business hale PHAR more external sustainable development implications. Tax could be defined as a compulsory levi POLY imposed by the government on income, expenditure or properties of an individual or a concern viewed like contribution to government administration and/or payment for the use of public goods S.C.O It is also described as a compulsory levy imposed on a subject or upon his property by th government to provide security, social amenities and create conditions for the economic well-being of the society. Profit of any company, which accrued in, derived from, brought into or received in Nigeria are chargeable to tax (Ola, 1999; 350 - 362).

U.T.C Taxes that affects a company can be classified to those paid directly by the company and those paid UNIO by the company on behalf of others. Those paid directly by the company can be classified to those UNITE on income and the ones on activities of the company. The general company income tax, petroleum VITAF profit tax (for upstream petroleum activities), education tax and capital gain tax are activitie VONC related. Taxes on dividend to owners and on emolument of workers are deducted on behalf of W. AF relevant tax authorities and remitted by the companies.

Results: Below are the results of activities (profitability) and compliance statistics for the companies under study.

Total	Company N	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	fit After	Tax	STATE STATE	Tel Committee of the second	
Total	Company Names	2002 ₦				AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	
AFPERINT	A C LEVENTER		Pr Sh		Dr Sh		T 5. 61
AFRICAN PETROLEUM 2,156,893,000 9,99 890,120,000 2.06 2,161,1530,000 2.74 RAPICAN PETROLEUM 2,156,893,000 9,99 890,120,000 2.06 2,161,1530,000 2.74 81,678,000 0.74 81,678,000 0.74 81,678,000 0.74 81,678,000 0.74 81,678,000 0.74 81,678,000 0.74 81,678,000 0.75 81,748,000 81,979,000 81,9		59,565,000	0.06) 0 12		Pr Sh.
AFRICIAN PERIOLEUM 2,156,893,000 9.99 899,120,000 2.206 2,161,530,000 2.74 CADBURY 2,249,078,000 3.00 2,214,220,000 0.47 81,678,000 0.38 CAPP IC 140,806,000 0.84 161,455,000 0.77 31,2748,000 1.46 CAPPA & DIABERTO 25,599,000 0.26 126,114,000 1.28 127,946,000 0.65 CHELLARAMS 31,305,000 0.26 55,127,000 0.31 72,590,000 0.29 COSTAIN(W. AFRICA) 20,048,000 0.13 469,010,00 -2.93 -1,488,639,000 -9.31 DINILOP 96,580,000 0.16 -316,027,000 0.32 60,753,000 0.21 FIRST BANK 4,776,000,000 1.88 14,853,000,000 4.24 21,833,000,000 4.17 GLINCES MITHKLINE 497,053,000 0.58 7,933,503,000 6.21 1,082,290,000 1.13 INCAR NIGERIA PLC -18,422,000 -0.22 33,960,000 0.41 1,008,000 0.00		65,633,000	0.12	- 618 407 000	0.12	468,000,000	0.21
BENGER PAINTS	AFRICAN PETROLEUM	2,156,893,000	9.99	890 120 000	2.06	11,9/4,000	
CADBURY 2,249,078,000 3.00 2,812,623,000 2.81 -4,665,459,000 -4,66		85,941,000	0.40				-
CAPPIC		2,249,078,000					
CFPA & DAIBERTO 25,509,000 0.26 126,114,000 1.28 127,946,000 0.65		140,806,000				-4,665,459,000	
CFAO 689,957,000 1.66 -1,123,119,000 -2.70 -1,225,053,000 -2.94 COSTAIN(W. AFRICA) 31,305,000 0.26 56,127,000 -2.70 -1,225,053,000 -2.94 COSTAIN(W. AFRICA) 20,048,000 0.13 -469,010,00 -2.93 -1,488,639,000 -9.31 DINLOP 96,580,000 0.16 -316,027,000 -0.22 -667,356,000 0.21 FIRST BANK 4,776,000,000 1.88 14,853,000,000 4.24 21,833,000,000 4.17 GLAXO SMITHKLINE 497,053,000 0.62 955,261,000 1.02 1,082,290,000 1.31 GLINCAR NIGERIA PLC -18,422,000 -0.22 -33,960,000 -0.41 1,008,000 0.00 LIEVER BROTHERS 1,571,918,000 0.52 2,167,249,000 -0.72 -167,615,000 -0.52 LIVESTOCK FEEDS -66,364,000 -2.68 -237,114,000 -9.58 748,424,000 0.62 MORISON INDSTRIES 6,341,000 0.07 9,667,000 0.11 8,147,000				126 114 000	1.20	31 2,748,000	
CHELLRAMS 31,305,000 0.26 55,127,000 0.31 72,500,000 0.20 DN MEYER 75,333,000 0.52 62,680,000 0.32 60,753,000 0.21 FIRST BANK 4,776,000,000 1.88 14,853,000,000 4.24 21,833,000,000 4.17 GUINNESS 4,149,536,000 5.86 7,913,503,000 6.71 7,440,102,000 6.31 INCAR NIGERIA PLC 18,422,000 -0.22 33,960,000 0.16 11,000,000 1.81 1,000,000 1.82 1,571,918,000 0.52 1,1617,615,000 0.62 1,571,918,000 0.52 1,1617,615,000 0.62 1,571,918,000 0.62 1,791,503,000 0.72 1,617,615,000 0.00 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000				-1 123 119 000	1.28	127,946,000	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE
COSTAIN(W. AFRICA) 20,048,000 0.13 -469,010,00 -2.93 -1,488,639,000 0.21 DUNLOP 75,333,000 0.52 62,680,000 0.32 60,753,000 0.21 FIRST BANK 4,776,000,000 0.18 14,853,000,000 -4.24 26,7356,000 -0.88 GLAXO SMITHKLINE 497,053,000 0.62 955,261,000 1.20 1,082,290,000 1.13 BINCAR NIGERIA PLC -18,422,000 -0.22 -33,960,000 -0.41 1,008,000 0.00 LIVESTOCK FEEDS -66,364,000 -0.52 2,167,249,000 0.72 -1,617,615,000 0.62 MORISON INDSTRIES 6,341,000 0.07 9,667,000 0.11 8,147,000 0.62 MIGERIAN BREWERIES 9,218,954,000 2.44 7,59,468,000 7.32 1,716,208,000 -60 MORISON INDSTRIES 6,341,000 0.07 9,667,000 0.11 8,147,000 0.62 MIGERIAN BREWERIES 9,218,954,000 2.44 5,086,403,000 0.67 10,900,524,000 <			The state of the s	56 127 000	-2.70	-1,225,053,000	
DIN MYER		20,048,000				/2,500,000	
DUNICIP 96,580,000 0.16 -316,027,000 -0.42 -667,356,000 0.21	The same of the sa			105/010,00		1.00/000/000	
FIRST BANK 4,776,000,000 1.88 14,853,000,000 4.24 21,833,000,000 4.17 497,053,000 0.62 9 55,261,000 1.20 1,082,290,000 1.13 INCAR NIGERIA PLC -18,422,000 -0.22 -33,960,000 -0.41 1,008,000 0.00 1.20 INCAR NIGERIA PLC 17,900,000 0.46 70,000,000 -0.41 1,008,000 0.00 ILEVER BROTHERS 1,571,918,000 0.52 2,167,249,000 0.72 -1,617,615,000 -0.53 INCAR NIGERIA PLC -66,364,000 -2.68 -237,114,000 -9.58 748,424,000 0.62 MOBIL OIL 474,230,000 2.47 1,759,468,000 7.32 1,716,208,000 7.14 INCAR NIDERIA SERVICIA				316,037,000		60,753,000	0.21
GLAXO SMITHKLINE		4,776,000,000		14 952 000 000		- 667,356,000	-0.88
SOURCES 4,149,536,000 5.86 7,913,503,000 6.71 7,440,102,000 6.31	GLAXO SMITHKLINE	497,053,000		9 FF 361 000	The second name of the second na		
INCAR NIGERIA PLC -18,422,000 -0.22 -33,960,000 -0.41 1,008,000 0.00		4.149.536.000			The same of the sa	1,082,290,000	1.13
179,000,000	INCAR NIGERIA PLC	- 18.422 000				7,440,102,000	
LEVER BROTHERS 1,571,918,000 0.52 2,167,249,000 0.72 -1,617,615,000 -0.53 MOBIL OIL 474,230,000 2.47 1,759,468,000 7.32 1,716,208,000 7.14 NIG. BOTTLING COY 4,170,544,000 4.28 3,032,322,000 2.44 5,086,403,000 0.67 10,900,524,000 1.44 NIGERIAN BREWERIES 9,218,954,000 0.30 14,355,000 0.05 NIGRIAN RIPLOUR 149,640,000 2.02 138,499,000 1.24 55,071,000 0.21 HARMA DEKO PLC 42,304,000 1.06 31,804,000 1.06 30,619,000 1.27 18RISCOE NIG. PLC 166,418,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 55,095,000 1.49 0.00			-			1,008,000	0.00
LIVESTOCK FEEDS	LEVER BROTHERS	1.571 918 000		70,000,000		- 476,000,000	-1.22
MOBIL OIL 474,230,000 2.05 -237,114,000 -9.58 748,424,000 0.62 MORISON INDSTRIES 6,341,000 0.07 9,667,000 0.11 8,147,000 0.09 VIGE BOTTLING COY 4,170,544,000 4.28 3,032,322,000 2.33 766,248,000 0.59 VIGERIAN BREWERIES 9,218,954,000 2.44 5,086,403,000 0.67 10,900,524,000 1.44 VIGE ENAMELWARE 15,966,000 0.55 15,970,000 0.55 6,343,000 0.22 VIGE WIRE INDUSTRIES 36,202,369 2.41 - 39,856,000 - 2.66 - 18,969,000 - 1.26 2S MANDRIES 31,804,000 0.80 10,557,000 0.26 8,427,000 0.37 2Z INDUSTRIES 1,685,918,000 1.16 3,303,662,000 1.90 3,235,587,000 1.27 2D YPRODUCTS 21,053,000 0.09 12,209,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 ATBISCOE NIGE PLC 166,418,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46	LIVESTOCK FEEDS	- 66 364 000		2,167,249,000		-1,617,615,000	-0.53
MIGL BOTTLING COY 4,170,544,000 0.07 9,667,000 0.11 8,147,000 0.09 NIG. BOTTLING COY 4,170,544,000 4.28 3,032,322,000 2.33 766,248,000 0.59 NIGERIAN BREWERIES 9,218,954,000 2.44 5,086,403,000 0.67 10,900,524,000 1.44 SIGERIAN ROPES 9,804,000 0.55 15,970,000 0.55 6,343,000 0.22 NIG. WIRE INDUSTRIES 36,202,369 2.41 -39,856,000 -2.66 -18,969,000 -1.26 NIG. H. NIG FLOUR 149,640,000 2.02 138,499,000 1.24 55,071,000 0.37 NIZ. INDUSTRIES 1,685,918,000 1.16 3,303,662,000 1.90 3,235,587,000 1.27 NIZ. INDUSTRIES 1,685,918,000 1.16 3,0619,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 NIZ. BRISCOE NIG. PLC 166,418,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46 NIG. PLC 104,000,000 0.21 -327,000,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				- 237,114,000	-	748,424,000	0.62
NIG. BOTTLING COY	MORISON INDSTRIES	6 341 000		1,759,468,000		1,716,208,000	7.14
NIGERIAN BREWERIES 9,218,954,000 2.44 5,086,403,000 0.67 10,900,524,000 1.44 15,966,000 0.55 15,970,000 0.55 6,343,000 0.22 144 14,000,000 0.55 15,970,000 0.55 6,343,000 0.22 14,355,000 0.05 22,754,000 0.09 1.26 12,053,000 0.30 14,355,000 0.05 22,754,000 0.09 1.26 12,053,000 0.30 14,355,000 0.05 12,754,000 0.09 1.26 12,053,000 0.30 12,355,000 0.05 12,754,000 0.09 1.26 12,053,000 0.30 10,557,000 0.26 8,427,000 0.21 12,053,000 0.30 10,557,000 0.26 8,427,000 0.21 12,053,000 0.09 12,209,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 12,209,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 12,209,000 0.05 12,053,000 0.00 0.05 12,053,000 0.00 0.05 12,053,000 0.09 12,209,000 0.05 12,053,000 0.00 0.05 12,053	NIG. BOTTLING COY	4 170 544 000		9,667,000		8,147,000	0.09
NIG. ENAMELWARE 15,966,000 0.55 15,970,000 0.55 6,343,000 0.22 NIG WIRE INDUSTRIES 36,202,369 2.41 -39,856,000 -2.66 -18,969,000 -1.26 NIGHL NIG FLOUR 149,640,000 2.02 138,499,000 1.24 55,071,000 0.37 22,754,000 0.37 23,886,000 -2.66 -18,969,000 -1.26 -1.26 1,685,918,000 1.16 3,303,662,000 1.90 3,235,587,000 1.27 NIDUSTRIES 1,685,918,000 1.06 3,303,662,000 1.90 3,235,587,000 1.27 1,000 1.27 1,000 1.27 1,000 1.28 1,000 1.29 1,000 1.20 1,000 1,0	VIGERIAN BREWERIES	9 218 954 000		3,032,322,000	-	766,248,000	0.59
WIGERIAN ROPES 9,804,000 0.30 15,970,000 0.55 6,343,000 0.22 WIG WIRE INDUSTRIES 36,202,369 2.41 - 39,856,000 -2.66 - 18,969,000 -1.26 JORTH. NIG FLOUR 149,640,000 2.02 138,499,000 1.24 55,071,000 0.37 JS MANDRIES 31,804,000 0.80 10,557,000 0.26 8,427,000 0.21 JHARMA DEKO PLC 42,304,000 1.06 30,619,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 JT PRODUCTS 21,053,000 0.09 12,209,000 0.05 725,000 0.00 JT BRISCOE NIG. PLC 166,418,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46 AC.O.A NIGERIA PLC 19,780,000 -0.99 - 4,783,000 -0.24 11,957,000 0.60 TUDIO PRESS NIG.PLC 47,629,000 -0.60 30,044,000 0.38 55,095,000 0.69 OTAL NIGERIA PLC 2,514,087,000 8.46 2,778,904,000 8.18 2,516,693,000 7.41	VIG. ENAMELWARE	15 966 000		5,086,403,000		10,900,524,000	1.44
NIG WIRE INDUSTRIES 36,202,369 2.41 - 39,856,000 -2.66 - 18,969,000 -1.26 - 1	NIGERIAN ROPES				0.55	6,343,000	0.22
NORTH. NIG FLOUR	VIG WIRE INDUSTRIES	36 202 260				22,754,000	0.09
S. MANDRIES 31,804,000 0.80 10,557,000 0.26 8,427,000 0.21	ORTH, NIG FLOUR				-2.66	- 18,969,000	-1.26
1,685,918,000 1.16 3,303,662,000 1.90 3,235,587,000 1.27 1,685,918,000 1.16 3,303,662,000 1.90 3,235,587,000 1.27 1,685,918,000 1.06 30,619,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 1,27 1,209,000 0.05 725,000 0.00 1,28 1,29 1,209,000 0.05 725,000 0.00 1,28 1,29 1,209,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46 1,29 1,29 1,29 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,29 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,29 1,20 1,20 1,20 1,2	S MANDRIFS					55,071,000	
HARMA DEKO PLC		1 695 010 000		10,557,000	0.26	8,427,000	
OLY PRODUCTS 12,534,000 1.06 30,619,000 0.36 8,216,000 0.09 T BRISCOE NIG. PLC 166,418,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46 OADS NIGERIA PLC -19,780,000 -0.99 -4,783,000 -0.24 11,957,000 0.60 C.O.A NIGERIA PLC 104,000,000 0.21 -327,000,000 -0.50 733,000,000 1.49 DTAL NIGERIA PLC 47,629,000 -0.60 30,044,000 0.38 55,095,000 0.69 A.C. 1,166,200,000 1.28 1,570,100,000 1.37 3,203,600,000 7.41 B.A. 1,566,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 YICO BANK 5,633,000,000 2.24 8,341,000,000 3.31 10,802,000,000 1.20 TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.370 -218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00 <td></td> <td>1,005,918,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.90</td> <td>3,235,587,000</td> <td></td>		1,005,918,000			1.90	3,235,587,000	
TO BRISCOE NIG. PLC 166,418,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46 1.46 1.46 1.46,000,000 1.46 1.46 1.46,000,000 1.46 1.46 1.46,000,000 1.46 1.46 1.46,000,000 1.47 1.49	OLY PRODUCTS		The state of the s		0.36	8,216,000	
OADS NIGERIA PLC 150,48,000 1.39 155,445,000 0.43 531,776,000 1.46 C.O.A NIGERIA PLC 19,780,000 -0.99 - 4,783,000 -0.24 11,957,000 0.60 TUDIO PRESS NIG.PLC 47,629,000 -0.60 30,044,000 0.38 55,095,000 0.69 OTAL NIGERIA PLC 2,514,087,000 8.46 2,778,904,000 8.18 2,516,693,000 7.41 A.C. 1,166,200,000 1.28 1,570,100,000 1.37 3,203,600,000 1.25 B.A. 1,566,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 YICON BANK 5,633,000,000 2.24 8,341,000,000 3.31 10,802,000,000 1.20 TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.02 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.37 -218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00	T BRISCOF NIG DIC	21,053,000		12,209,000	0.05	725,000	
C.O.A NIGERIA PLC 104,000,000 0.21 - 327,000,000 -0.50 733,000,000 1.49 104,000,000 0.60 104,000,000 0.21 - 327,000,000 -0.50 733,000,000 1.49 104,000,000 0.38 55,095,000 0.69 1.49 105,000,000 1.25 1,166,200,000 1.28 1,570,100,000 1.37 3,203,600,000 1.25 1,566,000,000 0.92 1,556,000,000 1.25 1,566,000,000 0.92 1,550,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 1,160,000,000 1.25 1,000,000 1.25 1,000,000 1.25 1,000,000 1.25 1,000,000 1.27 132,000,000 1.27 132,000,000 1.20 1,000,000 1.20 1,000,000 1.27 132,000,000 1.20 1,000,000 1.20 1,000,000 1.20 1,000,000 1.27 132,000,000 0.16 - 756,502,000 -0.90 15,072,000 0.59 15,072,000 0.31 - 218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00 1.20 1348,000,000 1.34 1348,000,000 1.35 134,000 0.00	OADS NIGERIA PLC			155,445,000	0.43		
TUDIO PRESS NIG.PLC -47,629,000 0.21 -327,000,000 -0.50 733,000,000 1.49 OTAL NIGERIA PLC 2,514,087,000 8.46 2,778,904,000 8.18 2,516,693,000 7.41 .A.C 1,166,200,000 1.28 1,570,100,000 1.37 3,203,600,000 1.25 .B.A 1,566,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 NIC -370,565,000 -0.33 -74,115,000 -0.07 52,561,000 0.05 NITED NIG. TEXTILES 1,074,344,000 1.27 132,087,000 0.16 -756,502,000 -0.90 DNO 15,072,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.370 -218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00	COANIGERIA PLC	- 19,780,000		- 4,783,000	-0.24	11,957,000	
OTAL NIGERIA PLC 2,514,087,000 8.46 2,778,904,000 8.18 2,516,693,000 7.41 .A.C 1,166,200,000 1.28 1,570,100,000 1.37 3,203,600,000 1.25 .B.A 1,566,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 NION BANK 5,633,000,000 2.24 8,341,000,000 3.31 10,802,000,000 1.20 TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.02 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.370 3218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00	TUDIO PRESS NIC DI C	104,000,000		- 327,000,000	-0.50	733,000,000	
A.C	OTAL NICEDIA DIC	- 47,629,000		30,044,000	0.38		
IB.A 1,566,000,000 1.28 1,570,100,000 1.37 3,203,600,000 1.25 IT.C 1,566,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 NION BANK 5,633,000,000 2.24 8,341,000,000 3.31 10,802,000,000 1.20 NITED NIG. TEXTILES 1,074,344,000 1.27 132,087,000 0.16 -756,502,000 -0.90 TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1,348,000,000 0.370 258,62,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00		2,514,087,000		2,778,904,000	8.18		
1,566,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 1,506,000,000 0.92 4,525,000,000 1.77 11,550,000,000 1.64 1,507,565,000 -0.33 -74,115,000 -0.07 52,561,000 0.05 1,074,344,000 1.27 132,087,000 0.16 -756,502,000 -0.90 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.59 0.50 0.50 1,074,344,000 0.50 0.50 0.5				1,570,100,000			
-370,565,000 -0.33 - 74,115,000 -0.07 52,561,000 0.05 NION BANK 5,633,000,000 2.24 8,341,000,000 3.31 10,802,000,000 1.20 TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.370 218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00			0.92	4,525,000,000	1.77	11.550.000,000	
NITED NIG. TEXTILES 5,633,000,000 2.24 8,341,000,000 3.31 10,802,000,000 1.20 TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 DNO 15,072,000 0.31 - 218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00			-0.33	- 74,115,000		52 561 000	
TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 DNO 15,072,000 0.31 - 218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00		5,633,000,000	2.24	8,341,000,000		10.802.000.000	
TAFOAM 258,401,000 0.59 272,234,000 0.42 275,118,000 0.42 AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.70 278,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00		1,074,344,000	1.27	132,087.000			
AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1348,000,000 0.31 - 218,862,000 -4.53 134,000 0.00		258,401,000	0.59			275 119 000	
AFRICA, PORT CEMT 1 348 000 000 0.70 1.33 134,000 0.00						124,000	
	AFRICA. PORT CEMT.	-1,348,000,000	The second secon	-3,401,000,000	-1.98	10,678,000,000	3.56

Source: Nigerian Stock Exchange Fact Book 2003, 2005 and 2007

ompliance index				dex	SAS 19		vg score	
	SAS3	SAS8	SAS9	SAS 13			.82	
Company Name	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.75	0.67).60	R:
A.G LEVENTIS	0.80	0.33	0.85	0.50	0.50).90	
AFPRINT		0.80	1.00	1.00	0.69).74	
AFRICAN PETROLEUM	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.69		0.95	
BERGER PAINTS	1.00	0.83	1.00	1.00	0.92		0.77	
CADBURY	1.00	0.83	0.85	0.50	0.77		0.87	- 1
CAPPIC	0.90	0.67	0.92	1.00	0.85		0.82	Res
CAPPA & D'ALBERTO	0.90	0.83	1.00	0.50	0.77		0.81	X =
CFAO	1.00	0.83	0.85	0.83	0.75	-	0.82	
CHELLARAMS	0.80		1.00	0.80	0.62			
COSTAIN(WEST AFRICA)	1.00	0.67	1.00	NA	0.92		0.90	R
DN MEYER	1.00	0.67	1.00	0.50	0.77		0.80	
	1.00	0.71		0.50	0.85		0.80	
DUNLOP EXPECT PANK	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.77		0.92	
FIRST BANK GLAXO SMITHKLINE	1.00	0.83	1.00	NA NA	0.92		0.94	20
GLAXU SMITTIKEINE	1.00	0.83	1.00	0.40	0.85		0.82	R
GUINNESS	1.00	0.83	1.00	1.00	0.77		0.87	
INCAR NIGERIA PLC	0.90	0.83	0.85	NA NA	0.62		0.81	
JOHN HOLT	0.90	0.78	0.92		0.7		0.90	
LEVER BROTHERS	1.00	0.83	1.00	NA	0.9		0.92	
LIVESTOCK FEEDS	1.00	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.7		0.94	400
MOBIL OIL	1.00	1.00	1.00	NA	0.9		0.94	
MORISON INDUSTRIES	1.00	0.83		1.00	0.9		0.89	F
NIGERIAN BOTTING COY	1.00	0.88	3 1.00	0.67			0.76	
NIGERIAN BREWERIES	0.60	0.83		NA	0.9		0.94	
NIGERIAN ENAMELWARE	1.00	0.8		NA	0.9	-	0.84	
INTOEDIAN ROPES		0.8		NA	0.5		0.82	
NICEDIAN WIRE INDUSTRI	ES 1.00		. 00	0.50	,	92	0.81	
NORTH. NIG FLOUR MILLS	1.00	-	- 05	1.00		92	0.95	
P.S MANDRIES	0.80	0.0		1.0	9	.92		
P.Z INDUSTRIES	1.00		13	NA		.85	0.92	
PHARMA DEKO PLC	1.00		1.00	1.0	0 0	.92	0.95	
POLY PRODUCTS	1.0		. 00	0.5		.92	0.82	
R.T BRISCOE NIG. PLC	1.0		07			.85	0.86	
ROADS NIGERIA PLC	1.0	0	07	0.5).50	0.65	
S.C.O.A NIGERIA PLC	0.9	0	67 0.92).85	0.73	
STUDIO PRESS NIG.PLC	0.8		67 0.85		,	0.79	0.81	
STUDIO PRESS MIG.I EC	1.0	, 0	.92 0.83		0	0.62	0.79	
TOTAL NIGERIA PLC	0.9	0 0	.67 0.9		0.0	0.77	0.79	
U.A.C	1.0		.67 1.0	0	30	0.62	0.79	
U.B.A	The second secon	00 0	1.83	0	00	0.71	0.78	
U.T.C		00).67 1.0		.50	0.92	0.92	
UNION BANK		00).67 1.0	,0	.00		0.85	
UNITED NIG. TEXTILES		00	0.67 1.0	10	.67	0.92	0.89	
VITAFOAM		.00	0.83 0.9	14	IA	0.92	0.91	
VONO WEST AFRI. PORTLD CE			0.00	92 (0.80	0.93	0.51	F

χ=

Source: Author's Computations, 2009.

Correlation Statistics

2002 Correlation Result of Absolute Analysis Correlation Statistics 2002 Correlation Result of Absolute Analysis

Interpretation of Result

= -0.61695

For 2002, the correlation result was -0.17643 and -0.18764 for the total and per share figure analyses. The average of the two is -0.181945, this is significantly far from 1 which would indicate a disassociation between compliance with the relevant standards and profitability of companies. The 2004 analysis based on total figures, scored an R of -0.12498 while per share R is -0.19327 with an average of -0.159125. Correlation for 2006 showed a nearly significant result. R for total figure analysis was -0.26371 while for per share figure, it was -0.61695. The result of per share figure analysis alone suggests that association exists between compliance with accounting

standards that prescribe sustainable development related behaviour, but the association negative. This implies that compliance affects profitability in the reverse. The average of both to and per share figures for the year, however, was -0.440328, which is closer to zero than one.

Refere

Blair, M.

TESTING OF THE HYPOTHESIS.

Five out of the six correlations in this study are closer to zero than one. Four out of these five have Davis, T. scores less than 0.2. The only result that is close to one is still about 0.4, which is still far from on Dixon, The six correlations scored an average of -0.260466, this is about a quarter of one and clear closer to zero than one. Based on these results, we accept the null hypothesis that compliance will standards that promote sustainable development is not associated with profitability.

Dunn, P

he meaning of this result is that compliance with standards that promote sustainable developmen by Nigerian companies, has nothing significantly to do with their profitability. This implies the Gold, J. whether they comply or not with those standards, their profitability situation is not really affected It is however, important to state that the insignificant figures are negative, meaning that the smi Kantudu association revealed is not favourable to profitability. The implication of this is that compliance, matter how small, could be associated with less profitability. As stated earlier the result is n significant enough to be alarming.

Fourie, F

Kasum,

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study, we conclude that compliance with those accounting standard that this study adjudged to promote sustainable development, by the companies listed on Nigeria Lopes, R Stock Exchange, does not affect their profitability. This result is informative in so many senses. Middleto truly the standards promote sustainable development that fulfils the basic of sustainab development, long-term and sustainable profitability will be more an appropriate measure the Newton short to medium term results. In line with the same thinking, rather than building immedia profits, economic sustainability would target building business assets that would be positioned Oghuma produce long-term sustainable future profits for the concern. All these relate to intern sustainability, which also aids global sustainability. Sustainable development from the point of vie of the society, of course, may involve investment in the society and meeting obligations. These w Ola, C. S usually involve resource outflows from the otherwise retainable incomes of businesses.

-Sustain:

Based on the above, the study recommends that companies should engage in both internally an externally focused sustainable development activity, which should be evaluated in the light Brundtli positioning business organizations for better future profit, net-asset and market value. Finally, -Stateme should be pointed out that the result could also be understood in the sense that meeting ti-Statema internal sustainable development needs of the organizations may reduce ability to meet extern -Statema sustainable development demands from the society where the business operates and vice vers. - Stateme Consequently, high performance in external sustainable development would likely tell -Statema profitability, at least in the short-run.

ociation References f both to ine. Blair, M. M., Williams, C. A. and Lin, L. (2008). 'The Role of Standardization, Certification and Assurance Services in Global Business', Comparative Research in Law and Political Economics Research Paper No 12/2008, 4(3), www.ssrn.com. e five hav Davis, T. (2009). 'What is Sustainable Development?', Enviropedia, www.enviropedia.com. from on Dixon, T. (2009). 'Sustainable Development: A Corporate Responsibility', Enviropedia, and clear www.enviropedia.com. liance wil Dunn, P. E. (2004). 'Accounting for Depreciation and the Concept of Revenue and Capital Expenditure', Accounting WEB, www.accountingweb.co.uk. Fourie, A. (2009). 'Strategic Considerations for the Business Community to Shape a Sustainable relopmen Future', Enviropedia, www.enviropedia.com. nplies the Gold, J. (2005). 'Retirement Benefits Economic and Accounting: Moral Hazard and Fringe Benefit y affected Design', North American Actuarial Journal, 9(2), www.google.com. t the sma Kantudu, A. S. (2005). 'The Degree of Compliance with the Requirement of Information to be oliance, n Disclosed in Financial Statement by Listed Firms in Nigeria', Abuja management Review, sult is no Kasum, A. S. (2009). 'The Need for 'General Business Procedures and Practices Standards' to Holistically Guide and Regulate Businesses Worldwide and to, Importantly, Prevent Failure: An Empirical Based Proposition', Proceeding of The 27th Standing Conference on Organizational Symbolism, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark. n Nigeria Lopes, R. (2006). Depreciation in Accounting, Accounting Resources, www.cnx.org. Middleton, N. (1995). The Global Casino: An Introduction to Environmental Issues, New York, John senses. ustainab Wiley and Sons Inc. sure tha Newton-King, N. (2009). 'Sustainable Development: Investment', Enviropedia, mmediat www.enviropedia.com. Oghuma, R. and Iyoha, F. (2006). 'Compliance with Accounting Standards by Quoted Insurance sitioned t Companies in Nigeria: An Empirical Investigation', Nigerian Journal of Education Research, o intern 7(2), 18 27. int of vie These w Ola, C. S. (1997). Income Tax Law in Nigeria, Revised Ed, Ibadan, Heinemann Educational Books Russell, A. L. (2007). 'The America System: A Schumpterian History of Standardization', Progress on Point Release 14.4, The Progress and Freedom Foundation. rnally an

ne light d -Brundtland Report, 1987: Our Common Future, UN Assembly Document A/42/427

-Statement of Accounting Standard No.3: Nigeria Accounting Standard Board (1984) seting the -Statement of Accounting Standard No.8: Nigeria Accounting Standard Board (1990) t extern: -Statement of Accounting Standard No.9: Nigeria Accounting Standard Board (1991) ice vers: -Statement of Accounting Standard No.12: Nigeria Accounting Standard Board (1992) -Statement of Accounting Standard No.19: Nigeria Accounting Standard Board (2001) -Sustainable Development, Wikipedia: The free Encyclopaedia, www.wikipedia.org.

Paya