



JOURNAL OF POLITICAL INQUIRY

**VOLUME 1
APRIL 2015**

A Publication of:

**DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE,
NIGERIA POLICE ACADEMY WUDIL,
KANO NIGERIA**

ISSN: 2449-1039



JOURNAL OF POLITICAL INQUIRY

.....

**Volume One
APRIL 2015**

A Publication of:

**DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE,
NIGERIA POLICE ACADEMY WUDIL,
KANO NIGERIA**

All Rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the copyright owner or the publishers.

1st Page Publishers
No. 9 Brightway Rukuba Road Jos, Plateau State.

CONSULTING EDITORS

Pro. Adaga Okpaga, Benue State University. Makurdi,

Femi Omotoso, Asso. Prof. Ekiti State University, Edo-Ekiti

Pro. Habu Galadima, Director of Research, NIPPS, Jos\

Osaretin Idahusa, Ph.D. University of Benin

Apam James Asso. Prof. Benue State University, Makurdi.

Lawal Mohammed, Ph.D. Nasarawa State Univesity, Keffi.

Ekechukwu Boniface, Asso. Prof. Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka.

John Tor Tsuwa. Ph.D. Benue State University, makurdi.

Yusuf Ali Zoka, Asso. Prof. University of Abuja.

Ekwonna, S.A., Ph.D. Imo State University, Owerri.

Suleiman Mohammed Saye. Asso. Prof. Nigeria Police Academy, wudil, Kano.

Amin Kiggindu Ph.D. Senior Lecturer University of Makarere, Uganda.

EDITORIAL

The chief Editor, on behalf of the Editorial board, has great pleasure in presenting the Volume one of the journal of political inquiry to the academic community. The journal which aims to create a platform for people who seek to publish their work and those interested in latest findings and inquires in the areas of social, humanities and political science. It therefore, provides opportunities for the researchers, academicians, and professionals to publish their researches for knowledge sharing and cross-fertilization of ideas.

The quick review process, rich editorial boards, supports of strong international advisory board and quality publications might make this journal unique. The journal focuses on double-blind review process. It is published in both print and online forms.

Although numerous researches are being made by the scholars, academicians, and professionals, especially in developing countries, there are a lot of problems towards the publication of research findings due to high excessive author's fee, long time review process and complex terms and condition of the publishers. Journal of political inquiry provides unique opportunities to the researchers, academicians and professionals in this regard.

The chief Editor is very grateful to the Members of the editorial board for their kind response towards the establishment of such type of attempt. The contribution of international advisors cannot be expressed by words both in the case of developing the journal and successful journey of the journal.

We seek the blessing and support of all towards the journey of the journal.

Associate Prof. Madu Magnus Emeka

The chief editor

February 20, 2015.

EDITORIAL BOARD

Asso. Prof. Madu, magnus Emeka,	Editor-in-Chief
Oni, Kayode Julius, Ph.D.	Managing Edito/Chairman
Chinge Ayuba Dodo	Secretary
Nyor Gabriel Aza	Assistant Editor
Dantata, S. Bello	Member
Stanley Igwe	Member

CONTRIBUTORS

1. **Okonkwo, Clement Nwafor**
Department of Political Science Madonna University, Okija, Nigeria.
2. **Dr. David Aondoakaa Utume**
Department of Political Science, Benue State University, Makurdi
And
Justin Iormough Kuanum
Department of Political Science, Benue State University, Makurdi
3. **Madu Magnus Emeka, Ph.D.**
Department of Political Science, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil, Kano State
4. **Smart Chikwere Attah**
Department of History Kashim Ibrahim College of Education, Maiduguri, Borno State,
Yagana Bashir Kyari
Department of Psychology, Guidance and Counselling Kashim Ibrahim College of Education Maiduguri, Borno State
And
Aliyu Idris
Department of Social Service Ramat Polytechnic Maiduguri, Borno State
5. **Oni Kayode Julius, PhD**
Department of Political Science, Nigeria Police Academy Wudil, Kano
6. **Aver, Tyavwase Theophilus,**
Nnorom, Kingsley C
And
Targba, Aondowase
Department of Sociology Kwararafa University Wukari PMB 1019 Wukari, Taraba State, Nigeria.
7. **SAKARIYAU Rauf Tunde, Dantata Bello Sanusi**
Department of Political Science, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil, Kano State.
And
ALIU Fatima Omotayo
Department of Political Science, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State.
8. **LEKE, JEFFREY ORSEER**
Department Of Sociology, Faculty Of Humanities, Management and Social Sciences, Federal University Kashere, Gombe State, Nigeria.
9. **Nyor, Gabriel Aza**
Department of political science, Nigeria police academy, wudil, kano.
10. **Blessing e.n thom-otuya, phd**
Department of political science Ignatiusajuruuniversity of education Port harcourt
11. **Fada , Samson Aondowase and Fiase Mercy Erdoo**
Department of Political Science, Benue State University, Makurdi.
12. **SHAAPER, Simon Aondohemba**
And
AYATSE, Felicia Hembadoon
Department of Political Science, Federal University, Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State-Nigeria.
13. **Chinge Ayuba Dodo**
Department of Political Science Nigerian Police Academy, Wudil Kano Kano State
14. **Ojih, E.E., Binan, E.**
Centre for Research and Analytics, Jos Plateau state
And
Yakubu, I.M
Plateau State University Bokkos, Plateau State
15. **Gubak Happy Daniel**
Department of Political Science, University of Jos,

16. **Micah, D.J. (Ph.D).**
Department of Sociology, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil, Kano
Okafor, E.E. (Ph.D)
Department of Sociology, University of Ibadan
And
Orija, S.J.
Department of General Studies, Oyo State College of Agriculture and Technology, Igbo Ora, Oyo State.
17. **Abimbola J. Owojori**
Department of History and International Studies, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil-Kano, Nigeria.
18. **Gaavson Terkula**
Department of Political Science, Benue State University, Makurdi.
19. **Oko Chinedu Ogbonna,**
Department of Political Science, Achievers University Owo, Ondo State
And
Iwu Hyacinth Nnaoma Phd,Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Adekunle University, Akunbga, Ondo State.
20. **Ogbe, E. Henry, Mgboyebi V.C and Ejovi E. Austin**
Department of Political Science, College of Education, Agb P.M.B 2090 Agbor, Delta State, Nigeria.
21. **Ejirefe Influence**
Rivers State College of Arts and Science, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State.
22. **Alabi, Yinusa**
Department Of Political Science, Nigeria Police Academy
Wudil, Kano State, Nigeria.
And
Tunde Jatto
Department of Local Government Studies, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna.

CONTENTS

CONSCIONABLE BLEND OF PARTICIPATORY APPROACH, SOCIAL PRODUCTION AND REPRODUCTION TO CONFLICT MANAGEMENT IN NIGERIN

Okonkwo, Clement Nwafor..... 1 - 10

THE INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT) REVOLUTION AND INTERNATIONAL TERRORISM

Dr. David Aondoakaa Utume & Justin Iormough Kuanum..... 11 - 20

SECURITY CRISIS IN NIGERIA: AN APPRASIAL OF NATIONAL APPROACH ON HUMAN SECURITY

Madu Magnus Emeka, Ph.D..... 21 - 32

PROMOTION OF IDEOLOGIES AMONG THE ELECTORATES AND POLITICAL LEADERS: AN AVENUE TO ALLEVIATE POLITICAL FLAWS IN NIGERI

Smart Chikwere Attah, Yagana Bashir Kyari & Aliyu Idris 33 - 41

ETHNO-RELIGIOUS CRISIS AND DEMOCRATIC SURVIVAL IN NIGERIA

Oni Kayode Julius, Phd 42 - 45

CORRUPTION IN NIGERIA: THE CASE OF NIGERIA POLICE FORCE

Aver, Tyavwase Theophilus, Nnorom, Kingsley C & Targba, Aondowase..... 46 - 54

NIGERIA'S FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL INTEREST: AN EVALUATION OF THE FOURTH REPUBLIC

SAKARIYAU Rauf Tunde, Dantata Bello Sanusi & ALIU Fatima Omotayo ... 55 - 63

INSTITUTIONAL FAILURE AND GROUP VIOLENCE IN NIGERIA: AN OVERVIEW OF ETHNIC AGGRESSION IN THE NORTH-CENTRAL REGION

Leke, Jeffrey Orseer 64 - 73

MINORITY QUESTION, DEMOCRACY AND CHALLENGES OF NATION BUILDING IN NIGERIA.

Nyor, Gabriel Aza 74 - 86

HUMAN SECURITY: A KEY TO SUSTAINING NATIONAL SECURITY IN NIGERIA

Blessing e.n thom-otuya, PhD..... 87 - 95

GOVERNANCE AND CHANGING AGRICULTURAL POLICIES IN NIGERIA

Fada, Samson Aondowase and Fiase Mercy Erdoo 96 - 106

AN ASSESSMENT OF SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN GOVERNANCE UNDER GEORGE AKUME'S ADMINISTRATION IN BENUE STATE - NIGERIA (1999-2007).

SHAAPER, Simon Aondohemba & AYATSE, Felicia Hembadoon 107 - 115

DEMOCRACY, GOOD GOVERNANCE AND TRADITIONAL INSTITUTION IN NIGERIA

Chinge Ayuba Dodo 116 - 122

JOB SATISFACTION, TASK PERFORMANCE, ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT AND TURNOVER INTENTION OF MILITARY EMPLOYEES IN NIGERIAN

Ojih, E.E., Binan, E. & Yakubu, I.M 123 - 134

NEW PARTNERSHIP FOR AFRICA'S DEVELOPMENT (NEPAD) AND THE CHALLENGES OF DEVELOPMENT IN AFRICA: AN APPRAISAL

Gubak Happy Daniel 135 - 145

DEREGULATION OF THE TELECOMMUNICATION SECTOR: THE PERCEPTION AMONG GSM SUBSCRIBERS IN IBADAN

Micah, D.J. (Ph.D)., Okafor, E.E. (Ph.D) & Orija, S.J. 146 - 166

TRANSNATIONAL TERRORISM AND NIGERIA-CAMEROON RELATIONS: AN ASSESSMENT

Abimbola J. Owojori 167 - 177

THE EFFECT OF COLD WAR POLITICS ON THE AFRICAN CONTINENT

Gaavson Terkula 178 - 185

POLICE, POLICING AND VIGILANTISM IN SOUTH-EAST NIGERIA: A PARADOX OF THE DEVIL AND THE DEEP BLUE SEA

Oko Chinedu Ogbonna, & Iwu Hyacinth Nnaoma Phd, ... 186 - 197

LEADERSHIP RECRUITMENT AND DEMOCRATIC GOVERNANCE IN NIGERIA.

Ogbe, E. Henry, Mgboyebi V.C and Ejovi E. Austin 198 - 201

LEADERSHIP AND STRATEGY FOR MANAGING COMMUNITY CRISIS IN THE NIGER-DELTA

Ejirefe Influence 202 - 209

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS REVENUE GENERATION AND CHALLENGES IN NIGERIA

Alabi, Yinusa & Tunde Jatto 210 - 216

NIGERIA'S FOREIGN POLICY AND NATIONAL INTEREST: AN EVALUATION
OF THE FOURTH REPUBLIC

By

SAKARIYAU Rauf Tunde,
Dantata Bello Sanusi

Department of Political Science, Nigeria Police Academy, Wudil, Kano State.

And

ALIU Fatima Omotayo

Department of Political Science, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State.

rblawal@yahoo.com

Abstract

It is an established fact that 1999 marked the modern democratic trend in Nigeria, after years of military intervention. However, the former president Olusegun Obasanjo embarked on shuttle diplomacy as a foreign policy towards redeeming the country's image which had been dented by military regime. Such gesture assisted in reshaping Nigeria's standing within the international community. The emergence of Yar'Adua as the successor of Obasanjo after the 2007 Presidential election enhanced the introduction of 'Citizen Diplomacy' as the centre piece of his administration foreign policy. This which provided avenue for Nigeria's citizens especially those in Diaspora to shape the country's external relations positively. The demise of Yar'Adua shortened the goals of his foreign policy. The present Jonathan's administration has also reviewed the foreign policy of Nigeria on citizen-focused and connects foreign policy to domestic policy with much emphasis on economic diplomacy. This makes it imperative in this study to explore Nigeria's foreign policy vis a vis her national interest. The paper argues that lack of consistency and continuity has been the major hurdle to the actualization of the country's national interest in her external relations.

KEY WORDS: Foreign Policy, National Interest, Citizen Diplomacy, Economic Diplomacy

Introduction

The formation of the Nigerian state could be traced to the amalgamation of 1914 when the Northern protectorate and the Southern protectorate became an entity. However, such gesture was under colonial administration and by October 1 1960, Nigeria became an independent state. This enhanced the beginning of Nigeria's diplomatic history as a sovereign state. As rightly observed by Asobie (2010:1), Nigeria can be divided into five distinct periods. The first period, 1960-1975, was characterized as the age of innocence. The second, 1975-1985, was the era of awakening. The third, 1985-1992, was the epoch of realism. The fourth, 1993-1998, was the Dark Age. And the fifth, 1999-2010, may be described as the Renaissance. The aforementioned phases covered the history of Nigeria's diplomacy.

As it can be recalled, after Nigeria's independence, the objectives of the country's foreign policy were highlighted and emphasis was placed on African unity and independence. This propelled Nigeria's government to centre her economic and political strength on African states. In fact, Nigeria exercised hegemonic influence in the region: peaceful settlement of disputes; non alignment and non interference in the internal affairs of other nations; and regional economic co-operation and development. In carrying out these principles, Nigeria participated in the organization of African unity (OAU) now known as the African Union, the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS), the Non -Aligned Movement, the Commonwealth and

the United Nations.

However, Abati (2012) points out that Nigeria has been extraordinarily naive by restricting her foreign policy to Africa as its cornerstone. It was a laudable goal before the 1990s but its evolution is needed for Nigeria to meet the needs of today's diplomacy as we move into next millennium. Africa as the centerpiece of Nigeria's foreign policy no longer suffices, a broader perspective is necessary. This makes it imperative in this paper to analyse Nigeria's foreign policy beyond the African region.

Conceptual Analysis

On the concept of Foreign Policy

Like any other concepts in social sciences, there is no general agreement among scholars as to the exact definition and scope of foreign policy. This makes it also to be a contested concept. However, foreign policy can be explained as the primary method by which a country pursues its national interest in the external domain. It consists of decisions or actions taken by states in order to achieve the realization of those interests. Although it is often used interchangeably with diplomacy, which concerns procedure and process, foreign policy involves decisions and actions by government. Viewed from various perceptions, foreign policy may also be defined as the aggregate of a country's policies in its interaction with other members of the international community. These policies comprise the country's perceptions, attitudes and behaviours on issues, as well as towards other states in the international community (Mbachu, 2009:63)

Considering Mbachu's view on foreign policy, it can be deduced that diplomatic policy of a nation in its interaction with other nations, is the central focus of his conceptualization and meanwhile we have seen cases where military tool is necessary. In a situation where diplomacy fails, military action is necessary. Abacha's regime was not too diplomatic in dealing with foreign countries. Foreign policy can as well be regarded as goals that a country wishes to achieve when interacting with another country. When interacting with other countries, the conversation is played like a game of chess, with each country lobbying for its own best interest (Pentru, 2007).

In a similar vein, Dokubo (2010) explains foreign policy as the entire range of activities of a nation pursue in its day-to-day relations with other nations, in order to achieve its national interest as defined by its leaders. Meanwhile, Saliu (2010:311) clarifies the thrust of a country's foreign policy which according to him centers on two contending factors namely: domestic and external issues. His argument which underscores the thrust of the linkage school that revolves on how domestic issues can easily be affected by the external environment and vice versa. According to him, what may appear to be national on the surface may after all have an external orientation under-pinning its existence. It is also possible for an external issue to have deep national roots depending on how people understand the entire dimension that may surround its existence. Derived from the foregoing therefore, foreign policy is a kind of lever in the hands of nation with which it can navigate through the complex international system to maximize the abundant opportunities in it and minimize the negative repercussions that attend participation in the system (Saliu, 2010:312).

Whatever category a thrust may fall, Saliu pinpoints that the thrust of foreign policy are generally aimed at mobilizing resources (human and material) for higher level of delivery. In a situation whereby a thrust of foreign policy is only known and appreciated by a few officials connected with it will necessarily put the the foreign policy at a great risk of non-performance, while working to withdraw public support from its effective pursuit as the requirements of material comfort of its chief implementers will clash with the service aspect of the new thrust and one can be sure that once that happens, the promoters of the thrust in foreign policy will settle for the former. This is the tragedy of the thrust of foreign policies in Third World countries where the dangers facing foreign policy are more real (Saliu, 2010:313).

Conceptualizing National Interest

Every nation within the international system struggles to sustain its national interest. This makes it paramount for a country's foreign policy to be tailored towards ensuring her national interest. Historically, the national interest within the framework of its foreign policy has gone through different phases of mutation from the immediate post colonial period to date even when the core elements have remained largely the same. The fundamental issue that confronts Nigeria in the 21st century, with the deepening of globalization and the emergence of new powerful actors, is how to re-conceptualize her national interest, provide the mechanism and strategy that will facilitate the pursuit of foreign policy to achieve its national objectives especially, given the pressing desire to transit from an underdeveloped economy to one of the most developed in the year 2020 and at the same time provide the means to ensure the security and the way of the life of its people. This vision requires that Nigeria employs its foreign policy to eliminate the serious deficits that impede the actualization of the status of a stable polity anchored on good governance, whose primary responsibility is the welfare and security of its citizens, both at home and abroad (Osita, 2010:80).

However, what constitutes a country's national interest is another contention in scholarship. This argument is supported by Osita (2010) when he straddles national interest on two schools of thought. For the realist school, there are two main strands. According to power theorists of this school, the objectives of foreign policy must be defined in terms of national interest and must be supported with adequate power. The national interest of a state can only be defined in terms of national security and national security must be defined as integrity of the national territory and its institutions (Morgenthau, 1967). This view of Morgenthau rejects moralistic approach to international relations while insisting that the core interests of a nation are relatively permanent and have to do with the protection of the physical, political and cultural identity of a nation against encroachment by other nations. With this national interests are peripheral (Osita, 2010).

The generally acceptable view is that national interest is a manifestation of the core values, objectives and philosophy underlying the actions of the leaders. It is a concept that describes those things which a state seeks to protect/achieve vis a vis other states. It is also argued that national interest is the interest of the people not of the state, where the state is seen to exist in order to promote and protect the welfare of the citizens. A nation cannot play a super power role outside when her citizens are plagued by insecurity, inflationary pressure, high unemployment and economic instability. It is even difficult to define national interest of Nigeria. Some foreign policy expectorates believe that Nigeria has no clear-cut political ideology and national interest. Part of the policy indecision is attributable to the fact that foreign policy is inextricably linked to its domestic policy (Stevens, 2012).

Nigeria's Foreign Policy in the Fourth Republic

The successful transition to civilian rule in 1999 after years of military governance marked a watershed in Nigeria's foreign policy. It is still fresh in our memory that the country's image was seriously dented within the international community due to previous military undemocratic conduct. Several sanctions were imposed on Nigeria during military regimes and on Abacha's government in particular. The implication of this was lack of acceptability among Western economies. However, the economic scenario substantially changed with the deepening of economic globalization, and economic reforms in various sectors as a result of civil governance. Although as rightly observed by Osita (2010:47), one of the most crucial dimensions of Nigeria's national interest during the civilian administration of Obasanjo and up to the present Jonathan's government has been the consolidation of its hard-won democracy, the civilian administration restructured more on economic driven diplomacy.

Obasanjo's Shuttle Diplomacy

We shall pursue foreign policy to promote friendly relations with all and will continue to play a constructive role in the UN, the OAU and other international bodies. We shall also continue to honour existing agreement between Nigeria and other countries. It is our firm resolves to restore Nigeria fully to her previous prestigious position in the continent" (Obasanjo, 1999).

Upon the return to democratic government in Nigeria in 1999, President Olusegun Obasanjo came with a new direction and style in the Nigeria's foreign policy drive in reaction to existing domestic situation and international image of the country. The administration embarked on foreign trips referred to as shuttle diplomacy, which took president Obasanjo to several parts of the world. It was rightly pointed out that the resort to frequent traveling by the president was all that was needed to repackage Nigeria for external consumption (Saliu, 2007:46). The president shuttled from one part of the globe to the other and particularly aimed at:

1. Re-integration of Nigeria into the comity of nations
2. Attracting foreign investment and fresh financial flows
3. Securing debt relief from the country's creditors
4. Promoting Nigeria's external trade

It is the realization of the above imperatives that necessitated Obasanjo's numerous trip abroad. Though many observers of Nigeria's international relations were critical of this shuttle diplomacy, the government succeeded in improving the country's national image, attracting Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), and in recovering a significant portion of the looted monies lodged in foreign bank accounts. That the President could be said to have been his own Foreign Minister was not in doubt he was obviously an international personality, loved it and used this status in the pursuit of Nigeria's foreign policy (Osita, 2010:48). This in the view of Akinterinwa (2004) is a good tool in promoting national objectives and perceived the shuttle diplomacy of Obasanjo as necessary and an expression of the acceptance of the country by the international community. Zabadi (2004) views the foreign trips of Obasanjo as avenue in creating room for integration into the comity of nations and becoming active in multilateral organizations thereby creating room for foreign investment inflow.

Considering the objectives of his shuttle diplomacy, the government in its determination to regain Nigeria's lost glory and re-integrate it into the civilized world, the President during his extensive foreign trips was able to address the United Nations (UN), Economic Community of West Africa (ECOWAS) the Group of 8 (G8) Group 77 (G77), the Common Wealth, African Union (AU) and European Union (EU). The significant gain of these apart from the psychological relief following its re-integration and accommodations into the country of nations, Nigeria assumed the leadership of several international organizations notably the ECOWAS, AU and G77. It hosted very important international summits thereby attracting foreign players to the Nigerian soil (Ajetumobi, et al 2011:312).

The administration consolidated this as the country regained her respect within Africa, got a strong voice in the Common Wealth, and was instrumental in the prevention, management and resolution of conflict around Africa. Not only that the government of Obasanjo contributed toward the management and resolution of conflict in Cote d'Ivoire, adopted diplomacy to avoid a disguised military coup in Togo, ensured that a democratically elected government was maintained in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), sent military troops under the OAU peace monitoring and donated relief materials worth over US \$50,000 to DRC to cushion the effects of the country's civil strife. Nigeria also used skilled diplomacy to re-instate the democratically

elected government in Sao Tome and Principe after a coup d'état and donated US \$50,000 to the government of the country towards the country's July 2006 presidential elections (Osita, 2010:49).

In trying to attract foreign investment and fresh financial flows, the administration under Obasanjo adopted the National Economic Empowerment Development Strategy (NEEDS) in 2013 with the intention to promote, export and attract foreign investors through several reforms which include: deregulation, privatization, and liberalization. In actualization of these, foreign investors particularly from China, Brazil, USA and Israel came to Nigeria to do business.

Another notable achievement of Obasanjo's shuttle diplomacy has been the sharp reduction of the country's external debt. This was one of the cardinal objectives of his foreign trips in the name of debt relief. According to Central Bank of Nigeria (2002) report, as at 1997, Nigeria's external debt stood at \$27.008 billion which presented Nigeria as the highest indebted country in Africa. In order to secure debt forgiveness from Nigeria's creditors, Obasanjo's government lobbied Paris and London club to the extent of relieving Nigeria of the sum of \$18 billion and also paid another \$18 billion to be debt free. Nigeria's Minister of Finance under Obasanjo, Dr. Ngozi Okonjo Iweala was quoted to have said:

Initially we owed \$35 billion and \$30 billion of that was owed the Paris club group of creditors of 15 countries, most of them Western countries and Japan. Researchers exited the Parish club through the debt cancellation. So \$5 billion is left. We do not owe the IMF even one kobo. Of the \$56 billion that is left about \$ 2.5 billion is owed multilateral institutions. This includes the World Bank, African Development Bank, among others and these are long term, 30 – 40 years loan of little or no interest (Afrodad, 2007)".

As a matter of fact, the country's foreign reserves grew to an all-time high of \$34 billion in 2006 and at the period of expiration of Obasanjo's term in office Nigeria's foreign reserve was \$ 43 billion in 2007.

In order to enhance promotion of Nigeria's external trade, the administration recorded success in investment promotion and protection agreement (IPPA) with Germany, trade pact with India. Countries such as Greece, Russia, Norway, Poland, Spain, Italy, Switzerland and Israel also established bilateral investment relations with Nigeria (CBN, 2008)

Yar'Adua's Citizen Diplomacy

After the first ever successful democratic transition from one civilian administration to another, Obasanjo handed over the mantle of power of leadership to Umar Musa Yar'Adua, a former Governor of Katsina. Under Yar'Adua's Presidency, a new framework of Nigeria's foreign policy tagged "Citizen Diplomacy" was developed. The thrust of citizen diplomacy was that Nigeria's foreign policy would henceforth be focused on the Nigerian citizens at home and in the diaspora. According to the Foreign Affairs Minister, Ojo Maduekwe, citizen diplomacy was not necessarily a departure from the country's traditional approach to foreign relation in which Africa was taken as the centre – piece; however the policy was rebranded to focus on the citizen (Bakare, 2007 : 7).

The agenda of citizen diplomacy was a novel idea for the Nigerian environment even though it was not fully fleshed out on that occasion. People to people diplomacy is a familiar terrain involving grassroots and non - governmental organizations reaching out to their counterparts in other countries without necessarily being prodded or funded by the government in power. Such network of relationship helps to generate goodwill and to cut out unnecessary bureaucracy (Alaba, 2010: 19)

The citizen diplomacy as argued by Ojo Maduekwe would strive for a synergy between foreign policy and domestic affairs in such a way that the citizen is taken as the focus of* foreign

policy (Monday, 2010). The idea of citizen diplomacy is also simplified by Mbachu (2007: 9) when he gives the basic thrust of the new foreign policy initiative as what revolves around concerns for the basic needs, human rights and socio-economic welfare of Nigerian citizens in bilateral and multilateral engagement with other countries.

However, the idea of citizen diplomacy faces so many hurdles. Nigerians living both at home and abroad believed it is a slogan to enrich its pronouncers (Daily Trust, 2009). As rightly observed by Saliu (2010) crucial issues to the citizens are not well addressed at all. Domestic challenge such as infrastructure is not addressed. Roads are bad, power is epileptic, security is not being delivered, water is not adequately supplied and telecommunication services, though on the increase, the quality is not high (Saliu, 2010). The impact of these negative incidences are not enabling to citizen diplomacy. The citizens are therefore not much bothered about its pursuit and the domestic environment must be right before citizens can be empowered to be implementers of citizen diplomacy (US Report, 2010, Saliu 2010:326)

The practicability of the slogan is also questioned by Osita (2010) when he considers the perspective and manner in which Nigerians have conducted themselves outside her boundary and ways in which they are being treated by law enforcement agencies of foreign countries even if they were illegal immigrants. Many have been brutalized, harassed and even killed in the name of repatriating them to Nigeria.

In a nutshell, citizen diplomacy anticipates an environment for its implementation but in a situation whereby our democracy is questionable, such enabling environment for the concept to strive is jettisoned. Elections have always been flawed leading to the assumption of office by leaders not desired by the people. Politics is seen as "do or die" affair in which all means are fair (Saliu, 2008). Definitely if the citizens at home are disappointed and consequently alienated from government, citizens living in other parts of the world may not feel much impact of the country (Daily Independence, 2010.Saliu, 2010).

The Jonathan's Citizen and Economic Diplomacy

The Jonathan's administration like his predecessor also introduced a new foreign policy drive. Although while on acting capacity as the President of Nigeria, his foreign affairs Minister, Odein Ajumogobia had announced his determination to continue with the thrust of citizen diplomacy. However, after the 2011 presidential Election which was won by him, a comprehensive review of Nigeria's foreign policy was carried out. The emphasis of Jonathan's administration is to seek and focus foreign policy review on investment drive. The newly appointed foreign affairs minister, Ambassador Olugbenga Ashiru, announced the new policy initiative for the country which is said to be in line with change in international relationships. Nigeria's new foreign policy direction is now on investment and economic cooperation, which thus ties foreign policy to the country domestic agenda, a radical departure from the old one which has Africa as the centre point.

The President through his transformation agenda has restated that Nigeria's foreign policy is now anchored on the realization Foreign Direct Investment. Under the new policy thrust, Nigeria's diplomatic missions abroad have been directed to focus more on attracting investment to support the domestic programmes of government with a view to achieving not only vision 2020 but to bequeathing an enduring legacy of economic prosperity (Bello, 2012).

In his drive towards investments, the President has led the campaign of inviting investors to set up industries in Nigeria, and produce locally if they wish to take advantage of Nigeria's huge market potential. According to reports, Foreign Direct Investments generated in 2011 alone was \$8.9 billion making Nigeria no. 1 in Africa and a top quartile investment destination in the world (UNCTAD, 2012). During the Presidential visit to Rio, Brazil, Nigeria signed an MOU with Electrobas to invest in generation of 10,000 mw of electricity. Since then Brazilian investors in infrastructure, food and beverages and consumer items have been visiting Nigeria (Abati, 2012).

JOURNAL OF POLITICAL INQUIRY

In October 2011, the President met with the Australian investment community in Perth, on the sidelines of CHOGM, the Australian -Nigeria Trade and Investment Council (ANTIC) was established to facilitate the flow of investment into agriculture, mining and the petrochemicals sector. Australian Council members have since visited Nigeria and there is an agreement in place to provide technical support for the local mining sector.

In April 2012, the President visited Germany and got Siemens to commit investment in power generation, and turbine maintenance and repair in Nigeria. The President often times travels with Nigerian businessmen who are looking for in roads into foreign markets. The Nigerian private sector is involved in banking and insurance in Rwanda and other parts of Africa (Access Bank, IGI, GT Bank) in manufacturing in Ethiopia and Southern Africa (the Dangote Group, LUBCON) and so on. Such investors often require the support of the Nigerian government which has been readily and consistently provided by the Jonathan administration (Abati, 2012).

In the Presidential pursuit of citizen diplomacy, the Jonathan administration has always had cause to defend the interest of distressed Nigerians in Diaspora such as the evacuation of over 2,000 distressed Nigerians from Libya and more than 1,000 in Egypt between 2011 and 2012. The fifth Nigeria/EU dialogue in migration and Development which was held in Abuja in January 2012 emphasized on welfarism of Nigerians in their relations with other countries.

In May 2013, World Economic Forum was held in Cape Town and Nigeria was opportuned to host the 2014 edition in Abuja. This gesture is a plus to economic diplomacy of Jonathan's administration. Recently, both the World Bank and the IMF have equally commended Nigeria for the economic progress and due to the fact that the level of FDI inflow has increased from the foreign campaigns of this administration. The rebasing of the country's economy which puts Nigeria ahead of South Africa is a welcome development to the economic diplomacy of Jonathan's administration.

Challenges and Prospects

Nigeria's unhealthy domestic policy environment has narrowed down the menu of policy choices at the multilateral level (Idumanje, 2010). It has been posited that Nigeria's democratic ecology does not support her foreign policy posture. The shuttle diplomacy of Obasanjo created vacuum in attending to domestic pressing needs. His frequent overseas visits paint a picture of a leader who pays more attention to foreign issues at the cost of local ones. And most scholars have attributed Nigeria's diplomatic hurdles as the inability of the country's leadership to define her national interest. Consequently, the nation has its edge in African diplomatic relations. Despite all Nigeria has done for Africa, and especially its immediate neighbours, it appears that the general perception and attitude of neighbours to Nigeria have been one of an unwelcome intruder (Osita, 2010).

Not only is that, the odds against citizen diplomacy are also attributed to internal and external challenges. Nigerians suffer rejection, deportation, imprisonment and other forms of maltreatment in other countries. The poor perception about the country is yet to be changed. Critical issues are not given attention at home. Unemployment ratio increases, infrastructural challenges, decline in educational standard and protection of lives and properties is not guaranteed. The realization of core national values is another problem.

The major challenge of the present administration is security. This has taken a form of international terrorism/suicide bombing and whereas his economic diplomacy can only be sustained amidst peace and stability. The abduction of the Chibok Government Secondary School girls in Borno State by the Boko Haram insurgency is a huge blow to Nigeria's security and which has dented the country's image. Also, the recent rebasing of the country's GDP is *not commensurate with the people's standard of living*. In fact, the average Nigerian does not feel the impact of such economic development as claimed by the federal government. In order for Nigeria to have a vibrant foreign policy tailored on a well articulated national interest, this paper concords

with the need for Nigeria's government to adhere to the following six elements of national interest as identified by Aluko (1981) thus:

- *Self-preservation of the country*
- *Defense and maintenance of the country's independence.*
- *Economic and social well being of the people*
- *Defense, preservation and promotion of democratic values*
- *Enhancing Nigeria's stance and status in the world and*
- *Promotion of world peace.*

Conclusion

The paper explores Nigeria's foreign policy and her prospect of enhancing national interest and it is argued that the objectives of the country's external relations should go beyond paper work. Having considered the various foreign policies of different democratic dispensations right from the Obasanjo's shuttle diplomacy to the Citizen and Economic diplomacy of Jonathan's administration, the paper maintains that Nigeria needs to promote democratic virtues that would improve domestic living condition which invariably can add value to the country's foreign policy. The present security challenge which questions the viability of Nigeria's military might needs genuine attention from the government in order to ensure investment driven economy as the centre piece of the present administration's foreign policy.

References

- Abati, R.(2012) "The Gains of Jonathan's Diplomacy" *Vanguard* September,9
- AFRODAD (2007) "Nigeria: Debt, Stolen Wealth, IFIS and the West: A Case Study of African Forum and Network on Debt and Development" <http://www.afrodad.org/doorloads/Nigeria.pdf>
- Ajetumobi, R, Osunkoya, A and Omolere, T .(2011) "Impact OF President Olusegun's Personality in Nigerian Foreign Policy,1999-2007" *Pakistan journal of Social Sciences* 8(6)
- Alaba, O. (2010) "Citizen Diplomacy: Challenges for Nigeria's Foreign Policy" in Osita C, Eze(Ed) *Citizen Diplomacy*. A Publication of the Nigerian Institute of International Affairs
- Aluko, O. (1981) *Essays in Nigerian Foreign Policy*. London: George Allen and Union
- Asobie, A. (2010) "Fifty Years of Nigeria's Foreign Policy: An Overview" in Osita, C. Eze(ed.) *Beyond 50 Years of Nigeria's Foreign Policy: Issues, Challenges and Prospects*. A Publication of the Nigerian Institute of International Affairs
- Bello, M. (2012) "Jonathan: Economy Key to Nigeria's Foreign Policy" *This Day*, September
- Central Bank of Nigeria (2002) *Annual Report*
- Idumanje, J.(2010) "The Problematic of Redefining Nigeria's National Interest in the Content of Global Diplomacy" news@ponitblanknews.com
- Mbachu, O. (2010) "Citizen Diplomacy : The Challenges for Nigeria's Defence and Security in the 21st Century" in Osita C. Eze (ed.) *Citizen Diplomacy*. A Publication of the Nigerian Institute of International Affairs
- Monday, D. (2010) "Citizen Diplomacy in President Umar Musa Yar'Adua Nigeria, 2007- 2009: An Assessment" *International Journal of Politics and Good Governance*. Vol 1 No 13 Quarter III
- Morgenthau, H. (1967) *Politics Among Nations*. New York: Knopf
- NACCIMA (2008) "State of the Nation' A Report of the Nigerian Association of Chambers of Commerce, Industry, Mines and Agriculture <http://www.naccima.com>
- Osita, A. (2010) "Nigerian Foreign Policy Under President Umaru Musa Yar'Adua: Challenges and Prospects" in Osita, C. Eze(ed.) *Citizen Diplomacy*. A Publication of the Nigerian Institute of International Affairs
- Pentru, A. (2007) "Understanding the Concept of Foreign Policy" diplomacyinaltimes.blogspot.com March 19
- Saliu, H. (2007) "Nigeria's External Image Management" in Saliu, H .A., Amali, E, and Olawepo, R.(eds.) *Nigeria's Reforms Programme: Issues and Challenges*. Ibadan: Vantage Publisher

- Saliu, H. (2008) "Towards an Agenda for Nigeria's Foreign Policy" in Saliu, H., et'al(eds.) *Nigeria Beyond 2007: Issues, Perspective and Challenges*. A Publication of Faculty of Business and Social Science, University of Ilorin
- Saliu, H. (2010) "Citizen Diplomacy and Future of Nigeria's Foreign Policy" in Osita,C.Eze (ed.) *Beyond 50 Years of Nigeria's Foreign Policy: Issues, Challenges and Prospects*. A Publication of the Nigerian Institute of International Affairs
- Stevens, A.(2012) "Nigeria and Concept of National Interest" *Daily Independent* July 12
- United Nation Conference on Trade and Development (2008), New York
- UNCTAD (2012) *Investment Report*
- Zabadi, I. (2004) "Nigeria's New- Multilateral Democracy" in Bolaji, A.(ed.) *Nigeria's New Foreign Policy Thrust: Essays in Honour of Ambassador Oluyemi Adeniji* Ibadan: Vantage Publisher