CHAPTER EIGHT

PARTICIPATORY APPROACHES IN AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION SERVICES

BOLARIN, O.

Introduction

Participatory approaches in agricultural extension are a developmental tool and a phenomenal interactive breakthrough from the rigid traditional silent majority with rural values and norms, thereby enhancing farmers' ability to make decision on issues pertaining to their life and the farm enterprise. The farmer can proffer solutions to their challenges because they are the ones who feel the pinch. A participatory approach in agricultural extension services has gained prominence as an effective method for engaging farmers in decision-making processes, sharing knowledge, and enhancing agricultural practices. Unlike traditional top-down approaches, participatory extension involves active participation and collaboration between extension agents, researchers, and local farmers. This approach recognizes the valuable knowledge and experiences of farmers, empowering them to be key players in improving their own agricultural practices.

Key Principles of Participatory Approach

1. **Inclusivity:** The participatory approach promotes inclusivity by involving all relevant stakeholders, including farmers, in the decision-making process. It ensures that the voices of the marginalized or underrepresented groups are heard and considered.
2. **Knowledge Sharing:** It emphasizes the sharing of knowledge rather than knowledge transfer between extension agents and farmers. This knowledge exchange goes beyond one-way communication and encourages a two-way flow of information.
3. **Empowerment:** Participatory extension empowers farmers by building their capacity to make informed decisions. Farmers become active participants in identifying problems, setting goals, and implementing solutions.
4. **Adaptability:** This approach recognizes that each farming community is unique, and solutions should be tailored to local conditions, needs, and preferences.

METHODS AND TOOLS

Several methods and tools are used to implement the participatory approach:

1. **Farmer Field Schools (FFS):** FFS are platforms where farmers gather to learn by doing. They experiment with new techniques, share experiences, and collectively find solutions to common challenges.
2. **Focus Group Discussions:** These gatherings involve small groups of farmers discussing specific agricultural topics. It encourages open dialogue and idea sharing. The group can be divided into young adult and old adults, women, men, unmarried young women, youths and children.
3. **Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA):** PRA tools, such as mapping and transect walks, key informant interviews, and observatory walk help communities to collectively assess their resources, problems, and opportunities.
4. **Community-Based Organizations (CBOs):** These groups are often formed to facilitate collaboration and decision-making at the community level. They participate actively in various community development projects.

Importance of Participatory Approach in Agricultural Extension

a. Improved adoption of agricultural innovation due to farmer's involvement in the decision-making process.
b. Enhanced local ownership of projects leading to sustainability.
c. Increased social cohesion and community empowerment.
d. Better targeting of interventions as solutions are tailored to specific community needs.

Challenges

While the participatory approach offers many advantages, it also faces some challenges, such as:

a. Time and resource-intensive nature of the approach.
b. The need for well-trained extension agents.
c. PRA practitioners are few in the Country.
d. It is expensive to deploy.
e. It is difficult ensuring gender and social inclusivity.
f. It is cumbersome and time consuming.

Conclusion

The participatory approach in agricultural extension services is a powerful tool for sustainable development in farming communities. By placing farmers at the center of decision-making and knowledge sharing, it fosters ownership, empowerment, and ultimately, improved agricultural practices. A participatory approach also empowers the beneficiaries to take democratic decisions and abide by them.

Participatory Learning Action (PLA) in Agricultural Extension

Introduction: Participatory Learning Action (PLA) is a dynamic and empowering method used in agricultural extension services to engage farmers in a collaborative learning process. This approach recognizes that farmers possess invaluable local knowledge and experiences, making them integral partners in identifying challenges and co-creating solutions. PLA places emphasis on active participation, experimentation, and interactive learning, fostering sustainable agricultural practices.

Key Components of PLA in Agricultural Extension

1. **Participation and Inclusion:** PLA emphasizes the involvement of farmers and all relevant stakeholders in decision-making processes. It ensures that the voices of marginalized groups, including women and smallholders are heard and considered.
2. **Action-Oriented Learning:** PLA encourages farmers to learn by doing. It involves practical, hands-on activities such as field experiments, demonstrations, and problem-solving exercises. Farmers actively engage in implementing solutions to real agricultural challenges.
3. **Community Engagement:** The approach often takes place at the community level, allowing for collective learning and knowledge sharing. Farmers come together in groups to discuss, plan, and implement actions.
4. **Reflection and Adaptation:** PLA promotes regular reflection on outcomes and experiences. Farmers assess the effectiveness of their actions, adjust, and adapt their practices based on feedback and results.

Steps in Implementing PLA

1. **Problem Identification:** Farmers and extension agents jointly identify key agricultural challenges faced by the community. This is always in the form of situation analysis; it involves brainstorming and requires deep knowledge of circumstances and happenings.
2. **Action Planning:** A participatory action plan is developed based on the identified problems. This plan outlines the specific actions, responsibilities, and timelines.

This is usually called **strategic planning** as a follow up to strategic thinking on problem verification and problem solving. At the planning stage, it is important to problematize the problem, objectify the problem and provide a feasible solution to the problem, by taking **strategic action.**

3. **Implementation:** Farmers put the action plan into practice, actively participating in experiments, demonstrations, or other activities. It involves taking strategic actions aimed at solving the identified challenges.
4. **Reflection and Evaluation:** Periodic reviews and discussions to assess the outcomes and challenges of implemented actions. Evaluation will ensure the collation of lessons learnt, why the process works or not.
5. **Adaptation and Scaling:** Based on feedback and results, adjustments are made to the action plan. Successful practices can be scaled up to benefit more farmers. Also, the coping mechanism can be reinforced to build resilience.

Benefits of Participatory Learning Action (PLA) in Agricultural Extension

- **Enhanced Learning:** Farmers gain practical knowledge and skills through hands-on experiences.
- **Improved Innovation Adoption:** PLA facilitates the rapid adoption of innovative agricultural practices.
- **Empowerment:** It empowers farmers by involving them in decision-making and problem-solving.
- **Community Building:** PLA fosters social cohesion and cooperation among farmers.

Challenges

While PLA offers substantial advantages, it is not without challenges:

- **Resource Intensiveness:** PLA may require significant time, effort, and resources to implement effectively.
- **Capacity Building:** Extension agents need training to facilitate PLA activities.
- **Sustainability:** Ensuring the sustainability of actions beyond the project duration can be a challenge.
- **Expensive:** It is highly costly to deploy a PLA subject matter specialist in some countries of the world because it requires knowledge and patience.

Conclusion

The Participatory Learning Action method is a powerful tool for agricultural extension services. It leverages the collective wisdom of farmers, promotes innovation, and fosters sustainable agricultural practices. By actively engaging communities in the learning and

decision-making process, PLA contributes to the long-term development of agricultural systems and rural livelihoods. PLA empowers the farmers to raise their rural purchasing power through knowledge and technology transfer.

Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA)

Participatory Vulnerability (PVA) is a collaborative approach to development. Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA) is a method used to assess and understand the vulnerability of communities, particularly in the context of environmental or climate-related risks. It involves active engagement with community members including residents, stakeholders, and experts, to gather information and insights about the factors that make a community susceptible to various hazards and stresses. Participatory vulnerability Analysis (PVA) is community driven; it enables the community dwellers to predict unforeseen circumstances with a high degree of accuracy based on their past experiences.

Key Elements of Participatory Vulnerability Analysis

The key elements are the drivers of the expected positive actions as a result of PVA development. With deployment, community dwellers can predict future occurrences such as locust invasion and looming danger with certainty, epidemics, and seasonal variation along with climate change patterns. It is very important to learn from the community dwellers their coping mechanism and how they have been able to build their resilience given their past experiences with unfavourable circumstances, such as pest infestation and diseases outbreak.

PVA is a very strong tool with which you can mobilize community for positive actions. It is interesting to note that social scientists have borrowed the PVA tools for Youth Mobilization in urban areas against drug addiction and crime. Therefore, it can be ascertained that PVA is a multivarious, multipurpose, and multidimensional tool that is not only for rural development, but also for community and urban development.

1. **Community Involvement:** PVA emphasizes the active participation of the community in the assessment process. It aims to include the perspectives and knowledge of residents who are most affected by vulnerabilities, based on their various past experiences and their survival instincts.
2. **Qualitative Data:** PVA often relies on qualitative data collection methods, such as interviews, focus group discussions, and participatory mapping, to gather information about vulnerabilities. These methods allow for a deeper understanding of local contexts and dynamics. In-depth analysis of situation is necessary along with consequential implication of actions and inactions.

3. **Contextual Analysis:** PVA considers the broader socio-economic, cultural, and environmental context in which vulnerabilities exist. It explores how various factors intersect and contribute to vulnerability. Intervening factors which are externally driven were critically considered.
4. **Identification of Vulnerability Drivers:** Through PVA, stakeholders can identify the specific drivers of vulnerability in a community. These drivers can include factors like poverty, lack of access to resources, weak infrastructure, and exposure to climate-related risks.
5. **Adaptive Strategies:** PVA can also help identify local adaptive strategies and resilience-building efforts that communities are employing to cope with vulnerabilities and to reduce risks. It is these adaptive strategies that have enabled the community dwellers to service critical and unpleasant situations like drought, farm pest invasion and epidemics.
6. **Decision Support and Action Plan:** The findings from PVA can inform decision-making processes related to disaster risk reduction, climate change adaptation, and development planning. It can help prioritize interventions and resources where they are needed most. The implication of this is that development partners will clearly understand the enhancers and inhibitors of development in their target community and design appropriate intervention projects.

PVA is often used in conjunction with other tools and approaches, such as vulnerability and risk assessments, to create a comprehensive understanding of a community's vulnerabilities. It is a participatory and bottom-up approach, acknowledging the importance of local knowledge and agency in addressing vulnerabilities and building resilience among the host communities. For example, in a rusty rural community, suddenly, development creeps in with construction of highway passing through the Nigerian Community. Long distance drivers will have a stopover, then emergence of drinking joints, social night lives, the coming of free women miscreants and other social ills crept into the village in the name of development.

On the other hand, there is emergence of positive aspect of the people's economic life such as artisans and skill workers like, mechanics, vulcanizers, primary schools, colleges and skill acquisition centres, fuel stations, boreholes, garages, an increase in active taxies, an increase in population and arrival of construction of modern houses due to improved incomes and other factors will eventually lead to improved standard of living which is the ultimate aim of rural development. Recently, there have been social vices such as anti-democratic practices among the politicians, such as the use and breeding of political thugs, kidnapping, and banditry.

Methodologies for Developing Participatory Vulnerability Analysis in Communities

Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA) methodologies encompass a range of techniques and approaches that involve active engagement with communities to assess their vulnerabilities. Here are some common methodologies used in PVA:

1. **Community Workshops and Focus Group Discussions:** These involve bringing community members together to discuss their experiences, perceptions, and insights related to vulnerabilities. Facilitators guide the discussions to explore specific topics and gather valuable qualitative data. This may be in the form of Town Hall meetings or Village congregation cutting through the community.
2. **Vulnerability and Capacity Assessments (VCA):** VCAs are participatory tools used to assess a community's vulnerabilities and capacities. They often involve structured questionnaires and visual tools to gather information from community members, animation of situations, real objects and artefacts are used along with physical drawings, scoring and voting using pebbles, sticks, markings, and other relevant materials for community understanding of the process.
3. **Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA):** PRA methods include techniques like community mapping, seasonal calendars, and social mapping. These visual tools help communities depict their vulnerabilities, resources, and coping strategies.
4. **Livelihoods Analysis:** This methodology focuses on understanding how people in a community make a living, their income sources, and the assets they rely on. It explores the vulnerabilities and risks associated with livelihoods.
5. **Household Surveys:** These surveys collect quantitative data on various aspects of vulnerability, such as income, food security, access to healthcare, and housing conditions. They can be adapted to include participatory elements like ranking exercises.
6. **Participatory 3D Modelling (P3DM):** P3DM combines Geographic Information System (GIS) technology with community participation. It allows communities to create three-dimensional models of their landscapes to identify vulnerabilities and resources spatially. In Morocco, the was an earthquake in September 2023 where some community dwellers reported hearing faint cracking sounds before the earthquake while others were notified of an awful smell. These signals can be used to reinforce the geographical information system as early warning signals of an impending disaster.
7. **Key Informant Interviews:** Local experts, leaders, or knowledgeable individuals within the community can be interviewed to gather insights into vulnerabilities, historical events, and traditional coping mechanisms. Key informants maybe local hunters, farmers, friends, young folks and this tool is being employed by Nigerian Security services against kidnappers, bandits, and religious extremist such as Boko haram in Nigeria and ISWAP members.

8. **Timeline Analysis:** This method involves creating a historical timeline of events, disasters, and changes in the community. It helps to identify patterns and trends related to vulnerability, based on the community people's understanding of past events and how it affected their lives positively or otherwise.
9. **Community Scorecards:** A participatory tool that allows community members to rate the performance of service providers or institutions, such as healthcare or disaster response agencies, fertilizer supplies, seed company, water for irrigation management system and livestock feed provider in addressing vulnerabilities.
10. **Seasonal Calendars:** Communities create calendars that illustrate the different seasons, agricultural activities, and resource availability. This helps to identify vulnerable periods and opportunities for intervention. In some communities, months were divided into hungry months and months with foods. Ironically farm works are more during the hungry months.
11. **Participatory Mapping:** Community members draw maps of their local area, marking hazards, resources, and vulnerabilities. This spatial representation aids in understanding local risks.
12. **Stakeholder Workshops:** Involving a broader range of stakeholders, including local authorities, NGOs, and experts, to collaborate with the community in analysing vulnerabilities and designing solutions. Stakeholders in development context may not be limited to above entities but the sum of farmers, herders, traders, religious leaders, security agent (Police, Civil defence, and vigilantes), youth, traditional leaders, political leaders, Local Government Chairman and Councillors, Women leaders, Traditional Hunters, Masquerade owners, Traditionalists and Opinion leaders.

The choice of methodology depends on the specific context, goals, and resources available for the PVA. Often, a combination of these methodologies is used to gain a comprehensive understanding of a community's vulnerabilities and inform effective strategies for risk reduction and resilience building. So that at the end, community will own the action plan and be proud that they have learnt, analyze their situation, and provide feasible solution to their multivarious and multidimensional challenges on poultry, poor health, low level of education, sanitation, and environmental health, thereby improving the standard of living and building resilience to adverse situations and avoiding looming dangers before it comes.

Bibliography

Bolarin, O. and Eleme O. 2006, *Baseline Date Collection in Ondo State Partnership Against Poverty (PAP) Communities.* Submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.

Bolarin, O., Jibrin S. and Emele O. 2005 *Community Appraisals for Partnership Against Poverty (PAP) of Communities in Kwara State,* submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.

Bolarin, O., Jibrin S. and Emele O. 2005 *Community Appraisals for Partnership Against Poverty (PAP) of Communities in Ondo State,* submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.

Bolarin, O. and Olajuyigbe O. 2008 *Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA) of Oil Producing Communities in Niger Delta Region of Nigeria.,* submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.

Bolarin, O. and Olajuyigbe O. 2009 *Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA) of Eggon Hills Community in Nassarawa State of Nigeria.,* submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.

Bolarin, O. and Olajuyigbe O. 2009 *Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA) of Conflict Issues in Delta State of Nigeria.,* submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.

Bolarin, O. and Olajuyigbe O. 2010 *Participatory Vulnerability Analysis (PVA) of Mile 12 Communities in La0gos State of Nigeria.,* submitted to Actionaid International, Abuja, Nigeria.