Page 1

TOPICAL ISSUES IN ARABIC AND ISLAMIC STUDIES

ESSAYS IN MEMORY OF ABUBAKAR ALIYU GWAN

Professor Badmas 'Lanre Yusuf Chairman, Editorial Committee

- 38. Wael B. Halag..., p.21.
- 39. ibid.
- Schacht Joseph, Introduction to Islamic Law, ... pp.70-71. 40.
- Quoted by Wael B. Halaq..., p.22. 41.
- Wael B. Halaq..., p.20. 42.
- htt://www.mideastweb.org/middle.east-encyclopedia/ljtihad.ht, (2008).Retrieved on 29th March, 2012.
- 44 Wael..., p.22.
- Recorded by Bukhari, vol.3, Book 48, Hadith number 819 45.
- M. Iqbal, The Reconstruction of Religious Thought in Islam, Ashraf, Lahore, 1988, p.178.
- Wael B. Hallaq, p.22. 47.
- K. S. Vikor, "The Development of ijtihād and Islamic Reform: 1750-1850", http://www.smi-uib.no/paj/vikor.htm. Retrieved on 29th March, 2012; see also ijtihād, http://www.newworldencyclopedia.org/entry/ ijtihād; see also Wael B. Hallaq..., p.32. These scholars had written a lot of books in supporting the re-opening of the gate of ijtihād if at all it was
- Al-Amidi, al-Ihkam fi 'Usūl-il-Ahkam,..., vol. 3; Ghulam Nabi Falahi,..., p.4.

CHAPTER TWELVE

AN EXAMINATION OF THE TRIAL OF AMINA LAWAL UNDER THE sharf ah PENAL CODE OF KATSINA STATE

Abdulwahab Danladi Shittu

Introduction

Katsina State is one of the nineteen Northern states of Nigeria that adopt adjudication with the penal code years before 1999. However, the year 1999 marked a turning point in the history of sharf ah with twelve out of the nineteen Northern states of Nigeria re-introducing the application of Islamic Criminal Law in the Sharicah Courts. The twelve states with Zamfara as the pioneer state expanded the jurisdiction of the States' Shari ah Courts to hear, among others, matters of Islamic Criminal Law according to the Maliki school of law.² The Shari ah Penal Codes are subsequently enacted in some of the concerned states to provide for offences that attract hadd (deterrent punishments), Qisās (retaliatory punishments) and ta^czir (discretional punishments).

The application of Islamic Criminal Law by the Shart ah states has generated tension, debate, controversy and to some extent, condemnation at both local and international levels especially from the non Muslims. The case of Amina Lawal who was convicted for the offence of zina in view of the pregnancy she bore out of wedlock, and sentenced to death by stoning (rajm) in Katsina state in January 2002, was one of such cases. This paper examines among other things:

- the provision of the Islamic law under which the judgment was passed i.
- the judgment of the Lower Shari ah Court of Bakori, Katsina state. ii.
- the judgment of the Upper Shari ah Court of Funtua, and iii.
- the judgment of the Katsina State Shari ah Court of Appeal, Katsina. iv.

The more reason why the case of Amina Lawal is of great interest for study is the need to re-examine confession and pregnancy as proofs for conviction of zina under Islamic law. As a background, a general survey of the case is presented in the next part.

The Trial of Amina Lawal3

Amina Lawal, a Nigerian woman, gave birth to a child out of wedlock. She was charged on January 18, 2002 in Bakori town of Katsina state and was subsequently convicted of Zina (adultery) on 20th January, 2002 under the Shari ah Penal Code Law (SPCL) of Katsina State. When the trial court asked Amina Lawal to enter her plea, she confessed to have committed the offence of adultery while Yahya Muhammad who was allegedly considered to have impregnated her denied the allegation and after taking an oath with Qur'an, he was acquitted.

The Lower Shart ah Court found Amina Lawal guilty as charged based on her confession to the offence. The court sentenced her to death by stoning under section 127 of the Shart ah Penal Code Law (SPCL) of the State on 20/03/2002 and 20/09/2009 was set for the execution of the judgment.

Aggrieved with the judgment made on 20/03/2002, Amina Lawal appealed at Upper Shari ah Court (USC) Funtua under the guidance of her Counsel Aliyu Yawuri, Hauwa Ibrahim and Maryam Inbabanobe. The counsel filed the appeal under the following grounds:

- that the charge was vague and that pregnancy of an unmarried woman cannot be a conclusive proof of zina;
- that the word 'zina' was not explained to Amina Lawal in the language she understood;
- that Amina Lawal was not given the opportunity to call witnesses
- that under the Islamic law, in such cases of zina where there is doubt, it should be settled in favor of the accused;
- that the trial of Amina Lawal was not conducted in accordance with the law;
- that the police do not have authority to arrest and prosecute a person charged with zina
- that the alleged confession was given under duress and
- that the burden of proof in capital offences is on the prosecutor.

The state counsel, Ismail Danladi disagreed with the withdrawal of confession made by learned counsel to the appellant on her behalf. Upon the conclusion of the hearing and submissions made by the counsel, Upper Sharī ah Court of Funtua passed judgment upholding the decision of the trial Sharī ah Court. Amina Lawal was still not satisfied with the judgment of the Upper Sharī ah Court in Funtua. In view of this, she appealed against the decision of the court to the Sharī ah Court of Appeal, Katsina. The counsel to the appellant filed seven grounds of appeal among which are:

 that the Upper Shari ahCourt of Funtua erred in law when it held that the appellant, Amina Lawal had no right to withdraw her confession made in Shari ah Court, Bakori that Shari^cah Court of Funtua erred when it held that pregnancy in a woman who is unmarried or who was once married is an evidence against her when in Maliki school of jurisprudence, a divorced woman who remained unmarried may likely carry pregnancy for the period of five (5) years before birth from the date of divorce.⁸

Upon hearing the grounds of appeal of Amina Lawal who is being represented by her counsels and having gone through the records of the trial *Shari ah* Court Bakori and Upper *Shari ah* Court Funtua and upon hearing the response of learned state counsel led by Muhammad Nurul Huda Muhammad, the Grand *Qādi* of the *Shari ah* Court of Appeal rendered the court's opinion on 29th September, 2003 by deciding:

- that for an offence of zina to be proved, both accused person must be seen performing the act of zina openly by at least four responsible male adults;
- that discharging the man accused of being with Amina Lawal without establishing that four witnesses had seen the act of zina, was an error and cannot be sustained before the court;
- that since Amina Lawal (first accused) was not the wife of Yahya Muhammad (the second accused) at the trial, under the Shāri^cah law, she cannot be charged with adultery;
- that anyone who accuses another of zina and cannot prove it, should be flogged eighty times;
- that where four witnesses have not been established, the accused must be discharged and acquitted;
- that it was an abuse of the *Shāri^cah* Penal Code law for a judge to sit alone at the trial when the law provided for a three judge panel
- that the confession of the appellant was not valid;
- that the trial court failed to give Amina Lawal the opportunity to withdraw or recant her confession at least four times;
- that the trial court's record concerning Amina Lawal's confession was unclear, and where such a doubt existed, doubt must be resolved in the favour of the accused person;
- that the burden of proof of zina is borne by the prosecutor and not the accused. Amina lawal's pregnancy and childbirth could have been the product of the former husband;
- that an accused can withdraw a confession at anytime before judgment and the trial court must accept this; and
- that withdrawing or recanting a confession is not punishable.⁵

Page 150

و اللاتي ياتين الفاحشة من نسائكم فاستشهدوا عليهن أربعة منكم ...

(Qur'an 4:15). four (Reliable) witnesses from amongst you against them ... It any of your women are guilty of lewdness, take the evidence of

to be stoned by Unays on conviction through a single confession. 15 confession for conviction relied on the precedence of a woman who was ordered Prophet (SAW) four times before being convicted, while those who uphold one man from the tribe of Aslamiy who was made to confess on himself by the offence. $^{\mathrm{Id}}$ Those who uphold making confession four times relied on the case of a all of the opinion that confession made once is sufficient for conviction of the confessions at different times, Imam Malik, Imam Shafi'i and Imam Ahmad were the offence would be established on him, while Imam Abu Hanifah stipulated four confession, jurists disagree over the number of times a culprit will confess before contradictory with respect to time or place 13. As for proving the offence through unambiguous description of the sexual contact and the testimony should not be the activity of the sexual intercourse and would be able to give clear and that the witnesses must be up to four, they must be males who actually witness Conditions are however, laid down for acceptance of the evidence, these include

period may extend beyond the three months as established in Islamic law thus: position of her womb before getting out of the husband's house, the gestation have to stay for three Quru' (i.e. three menstrual waiting period) to confirm the or not if the woman is once married because even when a divorced woman will might be difficult to establish whether the pregnancy is from the former husband Pregnancy is equally considered a proof for conviction of zina¹⁶ except that it

be no punishment against the woman17. referred back to the immediate preceding husband and there shall duration is seven years and that where a child is begotten, he/she is the book of Allah. However, some scholars opined that the The duration of pregnancy is more than five years as provided in

"would that you had kept the matter hidden; this would have been better for his guilt. The Prophet(SAW) ordered him stoned to death but said to Hazzal and went to the Prophet(SAW) on the advice of Hazzal ibn Nu'aim and confessed authority. There is the report of Ma'iz ibn Malik al-Aslami who committed zing it obligatory that those who have the knowledge of the offence must inform the her having an intercourse with an illegitimate husband, Islamic law does not make confession and four impeccable witnesses could not be produced to testify seeing Equally, the moment the culprit did not confess or confessed but retracted her

> all the charges against Amina Lawal. protection and justice and for all the reasons presented above, the Court dismissed The Sharr ah Court of Appeal stated that the Sharr ah provides for freedom,

The Trial of Amina Lawal under the Katsina State Sharr'ah Penal Code

Islamic law, states; at the time the offence was committed, even though not a true representation of on June 20, 2002, nearly five months after the arraignment. The Penal Code in use arraignment while the Katsina State Sharr ah Penal Code Law was promulgated birth to a baby girl out of wedlock and her baby was nine days old at the date of Amina Lawal (the first accused) was arraigned on January 18, 2002 for giving

for a term which may extend to two years or with fine or with the offence of adultery and shall be punished with imprisonment she knows or has reason to believe is not her husband is guilty of offence, has sexual intercourse with a person who is not and whom extra-marital sexual intercourse is recognized as a criminal Whoever being a woman subject to any customary law in which

proving the offence of zina and an examination of the trials of the Shārr ah above, we shall examine trials on Amina Lawal under the definition of Zina, Not withstanding the provision of the Northern Nigeria Penal Code provided

Examination of the Trials on Amina Lawal

Zing under the sharrah

such affairs with, is considered zing. of wedlock or through wedlock but with a person one is legally disallowed to have her. 11 This definition established that any sexual intercourse that is committed out and a woman having intercourse with her male slave without having married consummation, intercourse with a slave whom one has freed without marrying her divorced, having intercourse with a woman whom one has divorced without marrying the fifth wife, cohabiting with a worman who has been irrevocably slave girl hired for the purpose, connection with a woman related by affinity, a dead body other than one's wife or with an immature girl, connection with a zina a wider scope when it considers it to include sodomy, carnal intercourse with outside wedlock or lawful ownership.10 The Maliki school gives the offence of Zina (Adultery or Fornication) is defined as the sexual intercourse that occurs

with the injunction of the Qur'an. Allah says: confession and testimony12 and the testimony must be made by four men in line Regarding proving the offence of zina, jurists agree that zina is proved through

CHAF

Su

)S

91

N

ii. An Examination of Trials of the Shāricah Courts on Amina Lawal

What has probably attracted the attention of the whole world on the case of Amina Lawal is her being sentenced to death by the trial *shari al*court at the early period of the re-introduction of the application of Islamic Criminal Law in Nigeria. The punishment for *zina* in the early days of Islam was confinement or corporal punishment as contained in the Qur'an thus:

If any of your women are guilty of lewdness, take the evidence of four (reliable) witnesses from amongst you against them, and if they testify, confine them to houses until death do claim them or Allah ordain for them some other way. (Qur'an 4:15).

Allah later revealed the verse for giving stripes as an abrogate to confinement thus:

For the woman and the man guilty of zina (fornication and adultery) flog each of them with one hundred stripes; (Qur'an 24:2)

This verse of the Qur'an has a general application because the adjective 'zina' includes sexual intercourse between a man and a woman either married or not married to each other. It therefore applies both to adultery (which implies that one or both of the parties are married to a person or persons other than the one concerned) and to fornication, which, in its strict signification, implies that both parties are unmarried. A tradition was however reported to remove the married fornicators from the ambit of the verse of one hundred stripes. The said tradition as reported by Ubaidah bn Sāmit states that:-

The Messenger, (may the peace and blessing of Allah be on him) said "Take (this) from me, take (this) from me, verily Allah has ordained for them a way. The virgin fornicating with a virgin (should be given) a hundred stripes and one year exile, and a married person (fornicating) with a married person should be given one hundred stripes and pelting with stones. 20

The Shari ah Penal Code of Katsina State in adherence to the provision of Qur'an 24:2 and the tradition quoted above provides thus: -

 a. Whoever commits the offence of zina shall be punished with caning of one hundred lashes if unmarried and shall also be liable to imprisonment for a term of one year; or b. if married, with stoning to death.²¹

However, even with the above tradition, some scholars still contend the validity of the punishment of stoning to death²² giving the argument that there is no evidence to show that the hadith came after the revelation of Q24:2. Their reliance is the tradition:

Is-haq informed me that Khalid narrated from Ash-shaybani: I asked Abdullah ibn Abi Awfa' did the Prophet carry out *rajm* penalty? he said 'yes' I said 'before the revelation of *suratulnur* or after it'? He replied 'I don't know'. ²³

The interpretation of this *hadith* is that; if *Suratul Nur* precedes the practice of the Prophet (SAW), *rajm* remains a valid punishment. Otherwise, it will be considered abrogated with *Suratul Nur*.

The trial *Shari* ah Court, Bakori, charged Amina Lawal based on her confession in court that she has committed the offence of adultery and consequently, she gave birth to a baby girl, based on the aforementioned, the trial *Shari* ah Court convicted her for the offence of adultery and sentenced her to death by stoning based on her confession in compliance with the provision of the *hadith* and the Katsina State Shari ah Penal Code Law because confession is a strong evidence. Areas that however need to be looked into in the judgment are:

- the Alkali sat alone to decide a case of capital punishment even when section 4(1) of the Katsina State Sharī ah Court Law provides that Sharī ah Court shall be duly constituted with an Alkali sitting with two members but not otherwise;
- 2. the trial Sharī ah Court did not ask Amina to make izar (submission to ask for leniency) as required by Islamic law. Reference could be made to the precedence of the man who came to the Prophet (SAW) when he was in the mosque to confess committing zina. The Prophet asked him if he were insane and he answered in the negative. The Prophet (SAW), in trying to allow him escape the penalty, suggested that he might have kissed the woman but the culprit answered he had sexual intercourse with her. He (the Prophet) further asked if he knows zina but he answered in affirmative. It was only after giving him the chance to avert the punishment that he was punished;
- the trial court record indicated that Amina Lawal was arraigned under a law not promulgated at the time the offence was committed.

At the Upper Shart ah Court of Funtua where Amina Lawal filed her first appeal through her counsel, the first step taken by the counsel was the withdrawal of her confession. Retracting confession is allowed in Islamic law even when the

confession is up to four times. Reference is usually made to the case of Ma'iz who confessed four times before the Prophet (S.A.W.) and was ordered to be stoned to death but when he felt the pains of stoning, he ran away pleading with his executioners to take him back to the Prophet (S.A.W.) to retract his confession but was denied and the Prophet (S.A.W.) was angry when told about the episode querying the executioners for not leaving Ma'iz alone. ²⁴. The Upper Sharī ah Court of Funtua denied Amina Lawal this golden opportunity.

The Katsina State Shart ah Court of Appeal, after further investigating into the matter, submits thus:

We hold that Upper Sharī ah Court Funtua erred when it refused admission made by Amina Lawal. The said court based its judgment on a weak foundation when it relied on an erroneous judgment passed by Sharī ah Court Bakori. Consequently, this judgment can not stand accordingly, upon the foregoing, the Sharī ah Court of Appeal, Katsina state hereby set aside the judgments of Sharī ah Court Bakori and Upper Sharī ah Court Funtua. We allow the appeal of Amina Lawal and she is hereby discharged and acquitted from today the 25th day of September, 2003. 25

The Katsina State Shari ah Court of Appeal quashed the judgments of the Lower Shari ah Court of Bakori and the Upper Shari ah Court of Funtua in view of the following facts:

- the practice of the Prophet (S.A.W.) is to allow confession to be made up to four times as earlier mentioned in the case of Ma'iz and this is the position of most of the jurists;
- there is the tendency that the pregnancy found in Amina Lawal could not stand as a proof because of the tendency of having dormant pregnancy of up to seven years as already explained and Amina Lawal was divorced barely two years to the time she gave birth to her baby girl.
- It is lawful for Amina Lawal to withdraw her admission even if it was after the judgment of the trial court and such withdrawal shall be accepted as earlier discussed. Imam Maliki was reported to have said:

He who admits committing adultery as well as he who retracts it will be accepted from him. So is repentance from it. The punishment shall not be inflicted on such person ... Islam loves protection of dignity and abhors exposing indecency.²⁶

Conclusion and Suggestion

This paper upholds that what is contained in the Holy Qur'an and authentic traditions of the Prophet are divine and must be adhered to strictly. However, human interpretation of these sources may be diverse due to human error and fallibility. It is the contention of this paper that there is no infallibility in a judgment passed by any judge. As far as this paper is concern, the stand of the Katsina State *Sharī ah* Court of Appeal is justified by a tradition reported by Abu Hurayrah:

Put off the *hudud* punishment on Muslims as much as you can. If the suspect has a way out then let him off. Verily it would be better for the leader (or judge) to err in pardoning the suspect then to err in punishing him.²⁷

The purpose of punishment should be handled with care in *Shāri* ah Courts especially in matters of *hadd* punishment where the Prophet (S.A.W.) specifically mentioned that culprits should be allowed a way out if possible. In the case of Zina where the second accused denied having any intercourse with the first accused, and evidences could not be established against him, such a case must be handled with extra care especially if the woman is a divorcee who have the tendency of carrying about a dormant pregnancy because the evidence of pregnancy as a third proof for the offence of Zina is itself questionable in Islamic law. ²⁸

Cases of a man denying a woman in the court arecommon in most of the adultery cases decided in Shāri^cah Courts of Nigeria of which that of Amina Lawal is one. Instead of allowing only women to face the wrath of the law, this paper will suggest that courts should adopt one of the two views; the view of Imam Abu Hanifah which says: "where a woman made confession of adultery four times before a leader/ruler and she mentioned the name of her partner, who after wards denies, none of them shall be punished" or the view of Imam Malik which says: "Notwithstanding that there is evidence against the other party, a woman who commits adultery shall face the wrath of the law." 30

Imam Abu Hanifah's view might be for the fear of a woman having dormant pregnancy. If however, the view of Imam Malik should be adopted, with the present day scientific advancement, the man or the baby should be subjected to DNA test to bring the accused person to book and to further establish the status of the child.

Notes and References

- See section 11 of the 1960 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria.
- The twelve states are; Zamfara, Kano, Sokoto, Kaduna, Bauchi, Katsina, Jigawa, Niger, Yobe, Borno, Kebbi and Gombe.
- See section 5 of the Zamfara State Shāri^cah Penal Code Law, 2000.
- Law Report of Northern Nigeria (2003 NNLR) Pp. 491 492.
- See Record Book of the Upper Shāri^cah Court Funtua, Pp. 21 26.
- See pages 26 30 of the Upper Shari^cah Court Record Book
- See Law Report of Northern Nigeria (2003 NNLR), pp. 493 494
- 8. Ibid pp. 494 495.
- See section 388 of the Northern Nigeria Penal Code Law.
- I. A. Nyazee. The Distinguished Jurist Primer. A Translation of Ibn Rushd's Bidāyatul-Mujtahid. Lebanon: Garment Publishing. (1996), Vol.II, P.521.
- F. X. Ruxton. Maliki Law. Being a summary from French Translation of the Mukhtasar of Sidi Khalil. London; Luzac and Company (1974) P. 330.
- A. A. Al-Mawardi. Al-Ahkāmul-Sultāniyya. Beirut: Dārul Kitābal Ilmiyyah, (N.D.), P. 280.
- 13. F.X .Ruxton; Maliki Law ... p. 329
- 14. Ibid
- 15. Anwārullah. *The Criminal Law of Islam*. New Delhi: Kitāb Bhavan, (2006), p. 142 143
- 16. F. X .Ruxton. Maliki Law ... p. 330
- 17. A. Aljuza^yri. *Al-Fiqhu alal Madhāhibil arba^c*. Cairo: Dārul Fajri lilturāth, (2000), p. 523.
- 18. Anwarullah. The Criminal Law of Islam ... p. 147.
- See Footnote 2954, a Commentary on Qur'an 4:2 in "The Holy Qur'an, English Translation of the Meaning and Commentary. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
- 20. I, Muslim. Al-Jāmī u s-sahih. Beirut: Dārul Arabiyyah, (n.d.), Vol. V p.115.
- See section 127 of Katsina State Shāri^cah Penal Code.

- A.Y. Imam, "The application of Hudud in Nigeria: A Review of Judgments on Zina" Ayingba journal of Arabic and Islamic Studies, Kogi State University, Vol II No. 1. 2005, Pp.42-60.
- 23 Ibid. Quoting from M.I. Al-Bukhari. Sahih Al-Bukhari.
- 24. Anwarullah. The Criminal Law of Islam..., p. 502.
- See Katsina State Shāri ah Court of Appeal Record Book Suit KTS/SCA/FT./26/2002.
- 26. A. Al-Juzayri. Al-fiqhu alal madhāhibil arba^c, vol. V, p. 73.
- 27. Ibid. Vol. V, p. 92.
- 28 A.Y. Imam, "The application of Hudud in Nigeria:.." Pp.42-60.
- 29 A. Al-Juzayri, Vol. V, p. 72
- 30 Ibid.