

BOARD DIVERSITY OF BANKS AND TAX AVOIDANCE OF SELECTED WEST AFRICAN COUNTRIES: A STUDY OF LIBERIA

***Abdulrasheed, Bolaji YUNUS**

****Muhammed Lawal SUBAIR**

*****ORILONISE, Mubarak Abiodun**

******Ojurongbe, Olalekan JUBRIL**

Abstract

The board of directors constitutes one of the pillars of a robust corporate governance framework and diversifying board is a contemporary issue in the corporate governance literature. Banks in Liberia continue to engage in tax avoidance practices, raising concerns about weak corporate governance. However, the influence of board diversity on these practices remains unclear and underexplored. This study examined impact of board diversity on tax aggressiveness of quoted deposit money banks in Liberia. Ex – post facto research design was adopted and data were sourced from published financial reports of the quoted Deposit Money Banks for a period of 7 years, from 2017 – 2022. The study covered all the quoted Deposit Money Banks of Liberia and this was achieved using census approach technique. Data gathered for this study were analyzed using quantitative econometric techniques (correlation and panel regression analysis method OLS). Findings from the study revealed that; gender diversity has a substantial impact on the Deposit Money Banks' and tax avoidance. Also, it was revealed that educational history composition has little substantial impact on how the board of directors behaves when it comes to tax evasion.

Keywords: Board diversity, Corporate Governance, Deposit Money Banks', Tax avoidance

1.0 Introduction

The board of directors constitutes one of the pillars of a robust corporate governance framework and diversifying board is a contemporary issue in the corporate governance literature. Over the years, regulators have placed emphasis on the significance of balancing skills and experience of the board members. Board diversity aims to cultivate a broad spectrum of demographic attributes and characteristics in the boardroom. While factors such as gender, age, race, educational background, professional qualifications are traditionally considered as a form of diversity in the board room, less tangible factors such as life experience and personal attributes could be taken into consideration. Despite divergent view on board diversity, there is a consensus amongst researchers that effective boards are composed of individuals with different skills, knowledge, information and power (Conger & Lawler, 2001; Hoang, Abeysekera, & Ma, 2016).

*Department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Ilorin, Ilorin.

Email: yunus.ab@unilorin.edu.ng

**Department of Accounting and Finance, Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Kwara State University Malete

Email: muhammedlawal.subair@kwasu.edu.ng

*** Department of Accounting, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Ilorin, Ilorin.

Email: orilonise.ma@unilorin.edu.ng

****Department of Accounting, College of Arts, Social Sciences, Crescent University, Abeokuta, Ogun State.

Email: jubriojurongbe@yahoo.com

Corporate board with the right mix of competent people provides a better understanding of the company's market position, enhances effective problem solving (Carter, Simkins, & Simpson, 2003), positively influence firm performance and ultimately determining firm value. The study concluded that, female board diversity has an impact on Banks' and tax avoidance decisions. Study recommended that, Banks should reinforce governance mechanisms to ensure that board members effectively monitor management decisions, particularly those relating to tax planning and financial reporting. Corporate board comprising people with different skills, background and experiences are expected to approach problems from a greater range of perspectives by raising challenging questions and debating more vigorously within themselves thereby reaching higher quality decisions.

Banks in Liberia should strengthen board diversity—particularly in terms of gender, expertise, and independence as a governance mechanism to improve oversight and reduce opportunistic tax avoidance practices. Diverse boards are more likely to promote transparency, ethical decision-making, and stricter monitoring of tax strategies, thereby enhancing compliance. Taxes and tax system constitute an important ingredient to build state capacity for meaningful economic development (Olaoye, Ayeni-Agbaje & Alaran-Ajewole 2017) and all firms must pay a certain percentage of their profit to the state. Every firm intends to maximize shareholders wealth and taxes constitute an expense to firm as it reduces available cash flow to firm shareholder (Aburajab, Maali, Jaradat & Alsharairi 2019; Khurana & Moser 2012). Despite obvious benefits of aggressive tax planning to the companies, there are big prices to pay such as fees to tax consultants, tax fines levied by the Internal Revenue Service, and other proactive tax administration costs.

Tax aggressiveness also increases the reputational risk of the firms and the cost that may outweigh the benefits of tax savings from aggressive tax planning. (Scholes., Wolfson., Erickson., Hanlon., Maydew, & Shevlin, 2015). This argument implies a positive relationship between number of female in the board room and aggressive tax reporting. Therefore, this study is set to examine the influence of board diversity on tax avoidance of selected West African countries. Specifically, the study aims to:

- (i) examine whether age diversity of the board has a significant impact on tax avoidance of listed deposit money banks of selected West African Countries.
- (ii) evaluate whether gender diversity of the board has a significant impact on tax avoidance of listed deposit money banks of selected West African Countries.
- (iii) examine whether educational diversity of the board has a significant impact on tax avoidance of listed deposit money banks of selected West African Countries.
- (iv) examine whether foreign director membership of corporate board has a significant impact on tax avoidance of listed deposit money banks of selected West African Countries.

Prior studies have examined the link between corporate governance and tax avoidance, with some focusing on board diversity; however, most evidence is drawn from developed economies, with limited attention to West African countries like Liberia. Existing studies also provide mixed findings and often overlook specific diversity dimensions such as gender, age, and expertise within the banking sector. This study bridges the gap by providing empirical evidence from Liberia, examining how different aspects of board diversity influence tax avoidance among banks in a developing economy context. This study is expected to contribute to the growing body of literature on corporate governance and taxation in emerging markets by providing region-specific evidence on how board diversity influences tax-related decisions in the banking sector. It will also provide useful insights for policymakers, regulators, and bank management in strengthening governance frameworks that promote ethical tax practices and financial sustainability across the West African financial system.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Review

Concept of Board Diversity, tax aggressiveness and Deposit Money Banks are clarified in this section.

2.1.1 Board diversity

Concept of Board diversity refers to the heterogeneity of characteristics among members of a company's board of directors. Such diversity may include differences in gender, age, educational background, professional expertise, tenure, nationality, and independence status. Board heterogeneity is considered an important element of corporate governance because a diverse board can enhance the quality of decision-making, strengthen monitoring functions, reduce managerial opportunism, and improve strategic oversight. In the context of financial institutions, heterogeneous boards are often associated with broader perspectives and more effective supervision of complex operational and financial decisions, including tax-related strategies.

2.1.2 Tax Avoidance

Concept of tax avoidance refers the effort of corporate entities to reduce tax payments using aggressive tax planning activities and tax avoidance (Chen *et al.* 2010). Chen *et al.* (2010) noted that tax aggressiveness is the corporate manipulation entities engage themselves in order to lower tax income due to a kind of tax planning that can be considered as tax management. Tax Avoidance is the deliberate use of legal and permissible methods to minimize a firm's tax liabilities within the framework of existing tax laws and regulations. Unlike tax evasion, which is illegal, tax avoidance involves strategic tax planning, exploitation of tax incentives, allowances, and loopholes provided by law. For Deposit Money Banks, tax avoidance may manifest through income shifting, timing of income and expenses, utilization of tax shields, and other financial arrangements aimed at reducing effective tax rates while remaining compliant with statutory requirements.

2.1.3 Deposit Money Banks

Concepts of Deposit Money Banks (DMBs) are licensed financial institutions authorized to accept deposits from the public and extend credit in the form of loans and advances. They play a central role in the financial system by mobilizing savings, facilitating payments, supporting investment, and promoting economic growth. In Nigeria and other emerging economies, Deposit Money Banks operate under strict regulatory oversight by monetary authorities and are subject to corporate governance codes, prudential guidelines, and tax regulations. Their governance structure, including board composition and diversity, significantly influences their financial performance, risk management practices, and tax-related behaviors.

2.2 Theoretical Review

2.2.1 Stakeholders Theory

It is well acknowledged that the performance of multinational organizations in all economic domains is significantly influenced by their shareholders. Edward Freeman (1984) first proposed the thesis in his book "Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach." One of the few aspects of a corporation that directly affects a company's performance and accountability is its stakeholders. Thus, one important aspect of gender diversity has been making sure stakeholders are satisfied.

Stakeholder theory, according to Ganesu and Gangone (2017), reveals and directs managers' actions instead of focusing on the organization's financial values. The foundation of stakeholder theory is the company's ability to generate value and worth via enduring partnerships. When people band together voluntarily to improve the performance of others, economic value is created (Ali, Sandhu, *et al* 2016). It is an indisputable truth that no company, even a private one, can function as expected without the voluntarily engaged shareholders. Companies must make their quota payments to the appropriate parties who enable them to conduct business. This shows a company's commitment to corporate social responsibility. From one vantage point, all the significant participants in corporate operations

In particular, managers must foster and cultivate relationships, recognize and motivate stakeholders, and establish an organization of mutually beneficial relationships that will eventually result in a mutually beneficial situation (Ghosh, 2017).

Stakeholder theory essentially suggests that managers should take into account the legitimate interests

of people, groups, society, and communities that are affected by a company's operations, regardless of the goal or objective of the organization (Liang & Rennboog, 2016). According to Lee (2017), generating value for stakeholders is crucial, but so is making goods that people will purchase, hiring people who are willing to work for you, developing and strengthening relationships that support the organization, and acting as a good corporate citizen.

According to this view, corporations have an obligation to respond not only to their shareholders but also to any individual or group that may have an impact on or be impacted by the decisions made by the organization. According to Alumona and Kaur (2017), a stakeholder is any group or individual that has the ability to influence or is impacted by the accomplishment of an organization's goals. Stakeholder theory proponents said that managers and directors would manage the demands of a larger stakeholder group in order to ensure that they benefit from increased financial access because they want to handle environmental and social issues in a proactive manner. This suggests that more than financially successful actions were undertaken when a greater group of stakeholders is satisfied. Stakeholder theory allows managers to fully exploit their companies by including the interests of all parties involved in the decision-making process within the organization.

Direct or indirect stakeholders have the ability to influence a company, or the company may have the ability to effect them (Freeman, Wicks, & Parmar, 2004). Stakeholders can include the local community, government, suppliers, and support groups, among others. A subset of the stakeholders is known as "key stakeholders" because they are particularly important. Examples of these stakeholders include shareholders, investors, regulators, major customers, suppliers, creditors, and the like. One theory that explains how managers should integrate their organizations is the stakeholder theory. This approach makes the company aware of the necessity to meet the needs of each individual or community that is connected to the organization in some way. According to the hypothesis (Freeman, 1984), which is referenced in Richard et al. (2016), According to the principle, businesses should be aware of their obligations to everyone impacted by their operations.

According to the theory, in an effort to maximize their respective utility, the agent (manager) may act opportunistically, taking aggressive tax policies, for example, at the expense of the principal (shareholders). According to Jensen and Meckling (1979), this circumstance is an agency connection in which moral risk could arise from the principal's inability to personally oversee the agent's behavior, raising the cost of the agency. The agency cost was defined as the sum of the costs incurred by shareholders for the purpose of overseeing the actions of management. As long as boards of directors make sure managers behave in the best interests of shareholders, board diversity is a crucial part of the monitoring costs. If the board of directors evaluates agency issues as well as managerial actions, they will invest more time and assessment risk to be high (Simunic, 1980).

However, the stakeholder theory goes beyond principal-agent conflicts of interest in applying the agency theory. Shareholder theory focuses on finding solutions to conflicts among several stakeholders, such as suppliers, customers, and tax authorities of the firms, whereas agency theory attempts to resolve agency conflicts between managers and shareholders. Effective corporate governance structure is emphasized by the majority of corporate governance legislative requirements in order to safeguard shareholder rights and to ensure that stakeholders understand the significance of transparency and disclosure (Dahya, Dimitrov, & McConnell, 2008). Among other things, a strong corporate governance structure encourages tax aggressiveness and diversity on the board. Higher levels of effective corporate governance can help prevent tax aggressive measures by management, according to both the agency and stakeholder theories, which contend that these governance monitors are essential for improved financial reporting (Minnick & Noga, 2010).

Additionally, an organization cannot contribute to the value chain without the support and efforts of its stakeholders (Frieman & Liedtka, 1991). As a result, the organization's objective will not be realized because the interests and identities of its stakeholders determine the determinants of their action, or how well the organization performs. Companies are implementing stakeholder management by providing greater avenues for women to participate in corporate decision-making.

A curving illustrating the relationship between tax avoidance, corporate governance, and board heterogeneity, drawing on the body of current research and the theoretical analysis mentioned above.

In addition to being appropriate for this study research, the stakeholder theory directly connects to the tax avoidance techniques and board heterogeneity found in West African deposit money institutions; hence the theory upon which this study is hinged.

2.3 Empirical Review

A lot of studies have been carried out in this context, this work does not necessarily endorse or affirm the conclusion drawn by these empirical studies; rather, it aims to provide a general overview of a selection of the available empirical studies on the subject matter of the study.

Akims, and Alex, (2023). Examined board gender diversity and corporate performance in the banking sector of Kenya. Secondary data was used which was analyzed based on linear regression method. The inferential analysis indicates that board gender diversity and performance of banks theory. Based on multiple regression analysis, it was reported that board composition had positive effect on financial performance of commercial banks. The study concluded that board gender diversity contributes to corporate performance in the banking sector. The implication of this is that board gender diversity should be embraced by banking institutions so as to promote diversity as well as inclusivity which will in turn enhance corporate performance. Orajaka, (2022). The impact of board diversity on earnings management of aforementioned non-financial companies in Nigeria. The population used is forty eight (48) while the final sample size of this study is twenty five (25). The statistical tools used for the study are descriptive statistics, hausman effect and panel data multiple regressions (fixed and random effect regression) was used to analyze the causal relationship between foreign board membership diversity and earnings management. The result shows a negative and significant relationship exists between foreign board membership diversity and earnings management among all the non-financial firms in Nigeria.

Arora, (2022) Carried a research on diversity in boardrooms and firm performance: The Role of tenure and educational level of board members: The ex post facto design, ordinary least squares (OLS) based panel regression technique was used to analyse the relationship between the variables of the study. The study is conducted using sample data of 187 listed firms within the European area, covering a 9-year period, from 2010 to 2018. The outcomes highlight a significant and positive relationship between tenure diversity on corporate boards and firm performance. In terms of the impact of educational diversity, no evidence indicating a positive effect on firm performance is found. Another study was examined by Orajaka, (2022), impact of board diversity on earnings management of aforementioned Non-Financial Companies in Nigeria. The population used is forty eight (48) while the final sample size of this study is twenty five (25). The statistical tools used for the study are descriptive statistics, hausman effect and panel data multiple regressions (fixed and random effect regression) was used to analyze the causal relationship between foreign board membership diversity and earnings management. The result shows a negative and significant relationship exists between foreign board membership diversity and earnings management among all the non-financial firms in Nigeria.

Furthermore, a study was carried by Duong and Pallasch (2021) on female CEOs are more or less to be associated with tax aggressiveness compared to their male counterparts. The study used a hand-collected dataset of FTSE350 UK public companies between 2007 and 2015. It was suggests that female CEOs are highly unlikely to be associated with tax aggressiveness and tax avoidance. These results are likely of interest to policy makers who are concerned about the implications of increasing the presence of female executives in the boardroom of public companies. In the work of Suleiman and Abubakar (2021), examined the effect of board heterogeneity in the boardroom on corporate tax aggressiveness of listed manufacturing firms in Nigeria? Which the study covered a period of 2014 to 2019. Total book tax difference was used as the primary measure of corporate tax aggressiveness. The study found a significant effect of percentage of females in audit committee on corporate tax aggressiveness. The study hereby concluded that females' presence in the audit committees is very imperative in limiting the extent of corporate tax aggressiveness of listed manufacturing firms in Nigeria.

Magnanelli., Paolucci, and Pirolo, (2021). Examined diversity in boardrooms and firm performance. The study was conducted using sample data of 187 listed firms within the European area, covering a 9-year period, from 2010 to 2018. Diversity dimensions are measured through indexes constructed on the

basis of the mix among the directors in terms of educational level and tenure. The outcomes highlight a significant and positive relationship between tenure diversity on corporate boards and firm performance. In terms of the impact of educational diversity, no evidence indicating a positive effect on firm performance is found.

Similarly, while the study under review used corporate tax aggressiveness was measured using Generally Accepted Accounting Principle Effective Tax Rate (GAAP-ETR) and the ordinary least squares (OLS) based panel regression technique was used to analyse the relationship between the variables of the study. The study conducted by Eragbhe and Igbinoba (2021), investigates the nature of relationship between corporate governance and corporate tax aggressiveness in the banking and insurance firms in Nigeria. The ex post facto design was adopted and secondary data were sourced from the annual reports of thirteen (13) deposit money banks and thirteen (13) insurance companies between 2013 and 2018. Revealed a positive and significant influence on corporate tax aggressiveness in both sub-sectors. The influence of board gender diversity on tax aggressiveness is statistically insignificant in both subsectors.

Manyaga and Ammar (2021). The study examines the linking firm performance with board diversity: A literature review: methodology used was The ex post facto design, ordinary least squares (OLS) based panel regression technique was used to analyse the relationship between the variables of the study conclusion, this paper outlines different aspects of board diversity while providing a critical look that allows us to assess the importance of board diversity and its connection to firm performance.

Also, Herawati., Rahmawati., Indudewi., Wardani and Susanto, (2021) Adopted multiple regressions to analyze the secondary data which was extracted from annual financial report of manufacturing companies and trade, service, and investment companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (ISE) over the 2013-2015 periods. To investigate the impact of female's presence on the board of directors on corporate tax aggressiveness. The result of the analysis revealed that, a negative and statistically significant association between the presence of female on the board of directors and tax aggressiveness. While study under review covered supports previous empirical evidence conducted in Western countries. This finding suggests that the presence of female directors on the board of directors is effective in their tax reporting compliance.

Also, Herawati., Rahmawati., Indudewi., Wardani and Susanto (2021), investigated corporate governance mechanisms and tax aggressiveness of listed firms in Nigeria. Eighty- five (85) quoted non-financial firms were selected and data were collected over the period 2012 to 2016. The study adopted inferential statistics consisting of General Method of Moment was used for the data analysis. This was after carrying out unit root test and other diagnostic tests respectively. The study revealed that corporate governance mechanisms exercise significant impact on tax aggressiveness in Nigeria. Specifically, ownership concentration and managerial ownership were positive and significantly impacts tax aggressiveness of listed non- financial firms in Nigeria whereas board size negatively and significantly impact tax aggressiveness over the reference period. Board gender diversity and board independence were significant and exert negative influence on tax aggressiveness of firms in Nigeria.

Lukman (2020) investigated the effect of institutional ownership, gender board diversification on tax aggressiveness of quoted conglomerate companies in Nigeria. The population of the study consisted of the six (6) conglomerate companies listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange as at 31st December 2019. The study employed the use of explanatory research design and secondary data contained in the annual reports and accounts of listed conglomerate companies for a period of ten (10) years (2010 to 2019) was used for the study. The result of the pooled Ordinary Least Square (OLS) multiple regression analysis revealed a negative significant effect of institutional ownership and gender board diversification on tax aggressiveness of listed conglomerate companies in Nigeria. Based on the findings, the study recommended that the regulatory bodies such as Security and Exchange of Nigeria should set standards for the inclusion of reasonable number of women on the board monitoring committees, because gender diversity in the board decreases tax aggressiveness.

Chartzi (2020) examined the influence of board of directors' diversity on corporate tax aggressiveness. Ordinary Least Square method was adopted to test the data gathered from annual published financial statement sample of 112 Greek companies covering the 2014 – 2018 periods (560 firm years

observation). It was revealed a significant and negative association between the proportions of women that served in the firm's boards each year and the tax aggressive measures. More so, in the work of Cortellese (2020) investigated the gender composition of the boards of directors. Fixed effect model was adopted to analyze the data extracted from annual financial report in different countries for the period 2015 – 2019. The study revealed that fixed – effect model of panel data does not show any significant relation between female representation of the board of directors and tax aggressiveness.

Furthermore, Boussaidi and Hamed (2020) carried out a studied on corporate board of directors and the firms' ownership nature of tax aggressiveness strategies of Tunisian listed firms. Unbalanced panel data was adopted to analyze the data extracted from annual financial report. The study considers only non – financial firms listed in the Tunisian stock exchange covered a period of 2011 – 2017. The result show that women presence on the corporate board, CEO duality, the managerial and institutional ownership regularize significantly the level and the management's behavior of engagement in tax aggressiveness practices and reduce the firm's overall risks of its consequences in terms of tax positions stability.

Onatuyeh and Ukolobi (2020), investigated Tax Aggressiveness, Corporate Governance and Audit Fees: A Study of Listed Firms in Nigeria. The data obtained were panel regression technique, with preference for the random effect model based on the outcome of the Hausman test, was employed to estimate the balanced panel data. The study revealed that cash tax rate, audit committee diligence and board independence all exert positive and significant effect on audit fees. Unexpectedly, the study revealed a positive but statistically insignificant link between board gender diversity and audit fees. This result may not be unconnected with the low presence of female directors on the board of the firms investigated. The study hereby recommend that more female gender should be allowed to sit on the boards of listed firms in Nigeria in line with the Norwegian model of 40% female gender representation and the Federal Government 35% Affirmative Action.

3.0 Methodology

3.1 Research Design, Sample Size, and Period Selection

This study adopts an ex post facto research design, which is appropriate given that the variables of interest board diversity attributes and tax aggressiveness are historical in nature and cannot be manipulated by the researcher. The design allows for objective examination of causal relationships using already existing financial and governance data disclosed by firms. This approach is widely used in corporate governance and taxation studies and ensures methodological consistency with prior empirical literature, including Boussaïd grading & Hamed (2015).

The sample consists of all listed deposit money banks operating in Liberia during the study period. A census sampling technique was employed rather than a probabilistic sampling method due to the relatively small number of listed banks in the country. The use of a census approach enhances the external validity of the findings by eliminating sampling bias and ensuring that the results are representative of the entire banking sector. Given the systemic importance of banks and their homogeneous regulatory environment, analyzing the full population provides more reliable and policy-relevant insights than a partial sample.

The study period covers seven financial years (2017–2022). This period was deliberately selected for several reasons. First, it reflects a phase of relative macroeconomic and political stability in Liberia following post-conflict recovery, allowing governance mechanisms to operate more consistently. Second, the period coincides with improved compliance with corporate governance disclosures and financial reporting standards, thereby enhancing data availability and reliability. Third, the seven-year window is sufficiently long to capture both short- and medium-term variations in board composition and tax behavior, while minimizing structural breaks that could distort the analysis. The balanced panel structure generated over this period improves the robustness of econometric estimation and supports more efficient inference.

3.2 Model Specification

The modified model to be used in order to achieve the objectives of this study is as slated below;

$$ETR_{it} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 AGD_{it} + \beta_2 EBD_{it} + \beta_3 GED_{it} + \beta_4 PBT_{it} + \beta_5 TEX_{it} + \beta_6 TBC_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \dots\dots\dots 3.1$$

3.3 Data Sources and Limitations

Secondary data were obtained from the published annual reports and audited financial statements of listed deposit money banks in Liberia. While the use of secondary data ensures objectivity and replicability, the study acknowledges certain limitations. First, variations in the level of detail disclosed in annual reports may affect the precision of board diversity measurements. Second, the reliance on accounting-based measures of tax aggressiveness, such as the effective tax rate, may not fully capture complex tax avoidance strategies involving off-balance-sheet transactions.

To mitigate these limitations, the study adopts several strategies. Only banks with consistent and complete financial and governance disclosures over the study period were included, ensuring data reliability and panel balance. Additionally, multiple board diversity indicators were employed to reduce measurement bias, and robust econometric techniques were used to control for unobserved heterogeneity across firms and over time. The use of a multi-year panel further smooth's short-term fluctuations and enhances the stability of the estimated relationships.

4.0 Results and Discussion

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics

VARIABLES	(1) N	(2) Mean	(3) Std. Dev.	(4) Min	(5) Max
ETR	440	1240.11	13049.96	-.335	232265.6
AGD	440	1.797	1.382	0	17
EBD	440	7.034	3.256	0	17
GED	440	2.351	1.735	0	14
PBT	440	5.624	1.278	0	9.329
TEX	440	1.020	5.350	-412	5.358
TBC	440	.925	.165	.602	1.322

Source: Author's Computation (2025).

The descriptive statistics of the variables used in the study are presented in Table 1. The results indicate the total number of observations; the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values of all the variables for a panel of West African banks over the period 2017 to 2023. The results showed that, on the average, ETR, AGD, EBD, GED, PBT, TEX and TBC are 1240.11, 1.797, 7.034, 2.351, 5.624, 1.020 and .925 respectively. The standard deviations are 13049.96, 1.382, 3.256, 1.735, 1.278, 5.350 and .165 for the variables respectively. The minimum value of ETR is -.335 and the maximum are 232265.6. Also, AGD has a minimum of 0 and maximum of 17. EBD varies from 0 to 17 while GED varies from 0 to 14 among the banks over the period considered. Also, PBT has a minimum of 0 and maximum of 9.329 while in the same vein, the minimum and maximum values of TEX are -412 and 5.358. Finally, TBC has a minimum of .602 and maximum of 1.322 this shows a significant variation in all the variables over the period. This enormous variation is worth investigation.

Table 2: Table 2 Correlations Matrix of Panel analysis variables

Variables	AGD	EBD	GED	PBT	TEX	TBC	VIF
AGD	1.00						1.18
EBD	-0.1963	1.00					3.15
GED	-0.0287	0.4130	1.00				1.27
PBT	-0.3218	0.2119	-0.0034	1.00			1.23
TEX	0.0903	-0.0852	0.0086	0.1762	1.00		1.15
TBC	-0.2175	0.0147	0.4311	0.1277	-0.0947	1.00	1.08

Source: Author's Computation (2025).

In a multiple regression model, multicollinearity (the interdependence of independent variables) causes biased estimations of the coefficient, which makes the regression result unreliable. In this investigation, a correlation test was done to see if multicollinearity existed. All of the correlation coefficients between the independent variables, according to the correlation analysis's findings, are less than 0.5. Similarly, the result of variance inflation factor shows the variables to be less than 5. Hence, there is no multicollinearity between the variables.

Table 3: Regression for Banks in Liberia

	OLS	FE	ETR RE	GMM
ETRL1	-	-	-	-1.372293** (0.469482)
AGD	18.42284 (12.28762)	37.42715** (11.00729)	18.42284 (12.28762)	7.23461 (8.073769)
EBD	8.364981 (10.23066)	13.18028 (12.92573)	8.364981 (10.23066)	6.473598 (9.009423)
GED	14.16698 (15.5471)	1.153497 (18.46935)	14.16698 (15.5471)	-10.89114 (13.27845)
PBT	16.52642** (7.965505)	-14.52443** (5.33095)	-16.52642** (7.965505)	27.865859** (13.04788)
TEX	-2.8306*** (0.1907)	-2.9406*** (0.7507)	-2.8306*** (0.1907)	-2.9706*** (0.3208)
TBC	-294.9026** (131.7275)	-363.916*** (140.9528)	-294.9026** (131.7275)	-80.608 (150.2435)
_cons	282.793** (110.7735)	248.5231** (120.9423)	282.793** (110.7735)	81.19145*** (15.4076)
Diagnostic tests				
Wald chi2 statistic	4513.38(0.000)			
Sargan test statistic	364.45(0.9024)			
Autocorrelation test (Arellano-Bond test) AR(2)	0.99(0.324)			
Hansen Test	2.35(0.986)			
Hausman Test	2.29(0.8076)			
Breusch-Pagan/Cook-Weisberg Test	929.07(0.0000)			

Source: Author's Computation (2025).

Standard errors in parentheses***, ** and * denotes 1%, 5% and 10% level of significance respectively

Panel data regression was used to evaluate the impact of board diversity on tax aggressiveness of listed firms of banks in Liberia. The result is displayed in the table above. The Breusch Pagan (BP) test has a drawback because it assumes heteroskedasticity is a linear function of the independent variables. The table above shows the findings of BP test chi statistics and P-value to be 929.07 and 0.0000 respectively, which are consistent in rejecting the null hypothesis of homoskedasticity. As a result, the statistical evidence suggests that panel data regression with fixed and random effects is the most suited technique for the models.

When both fixed and random effects models exhibit good fit, the Hausman test is used to examine and determine which model is best. The Hausman test's null hypothesis is that a random effect model is better or more consistent than a fixed effect model. Use the fixed effect model if the null hypothesis is rejected; otherwise, use the random effect model. The chi-square statistics for tax aggressiveness in banks of Liberia in the Hausman test finding provided in above table is 2.29, with P-values of 0.8076. Because the P-value is greater than 5% level of significance, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the result of the random effect model supersedes empirical assumptions about the relationship and impact of the independent variables in both models. Therefore, the model passed the entire diagnostic test and thus the result is plausible for policy suppositions on the impact of board diversity on tax aggressiveness of listed banks in Liberia.

The dependent variable for random effect model is Effective Tax Rate (ETR) while Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD), Gender diversity (GED), Profit before tax (PBT), Tax expense (TEX) and Total Board Composition (TBC) are the independent variables. The result indicates that Profit before tax (PBT), Tax expense (TEX) and Total Board Composition (TBC) are negatively related to Effective Tax Rate (ETR). This implies that there is more likelihood that the probability of coefficient of tax aggressiveness will reduce with increase in tax expenses and Total Board Composition (TBC). On the other hand, there is direct relationship between Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD), Gender diversity (GED), and effective tax rate. This implies that there is more likelihood that the probability of coefficient of tax aggressiveness will increase with increase in Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD) and Gender diversity (GED).

However, the result indicates that Profit before tax (PBT), Tax expense (TEX) and board composition is statistically significant at 1% and 5% level of significance. This is indicated by the coefficients of the variables which are 16.52642, 2.8306 and 294.9026 with the standard error of 7.965505, 0.1907 and 131.7275 respectively for the random effect model. Since half of the coefficients of the variable are greater than standard errors, the variables are statistically significant. Thus, the variables Profit before tax (PBT), Tax expense (TEX) and tax composition have significant impact on tax aggressiveness. By size, the estimates of the coefficients show that one unit increase in Profit before tax (PBT), Tax expense (TEX) and tax composition will lead to 16.52642, 2.8306 and 294.9026 units decrease probability of tax aggressiveness of banks in Liberia.

However, for the two steps GMM, in order to check the validity of the result, diagnostic tests were conducted and the results are presented in the lower part of table above. The Wald chi² statistic 4513.38 with probability value 0.000 indicates that the model has a good fit. Moreover, the Sargan test statistic is 364.45 with probability value 0.9024. The null hypothesis of the test that over identifying restrictions are valid cannot be rejected. This means, the instruments are valid. Further, the Arellano-Bond test for zero autocorrelation in first-differenced errors shows that the Z-statistic of the second order (AR 2) is 0.99 with probability value 0.324. Hence, the null hypothesis of the test, no autocorrelation, cannot be rejected. Hence, there is no problem of autocorrelation in the model. The dependent variable for the GMM model is effective tax rate while lag of effective tax rate (ETR-1), Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD), Gender diversity (GED), Profit before tax (PBT), Tax expense (TEX) and Total Board Composition (TBC) are the independent variables. The result indicates that lag of effective tax rate (ETR-1), tax expenses and total board composition are negatively related to Effective Tax Rate (ETR). This implies that there is more likelihood that the probability of coefficient of tax aggressiveness will reduce with increase in lag of effective tax rate (ETR-1), tax expenses and total board composition. On the other hand, there is direct relationship between Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD), Gender diversity (GED), Profit before tax (PBT), and tax avoidance. This implies that there is more likelihood that the probability of coefficient of tax avoidance will increase with increase in Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD), Gender diversity (GED) and Profit before tax (PBT).

However, the result indicates that the variables are statistically significant at 1% and 5% level of significance except gender diversity and total board composition. This is because half the values of the coefficients of these variables are greater than the standard errors of the coefficients. This means lag of effective tax rate (ETR-1), Age Diversity (AGD), Educational background diversity (EBD), Profit before tax (PBT) and Tax expense (TEX) have significant impact on tax avoidance of banks in Liberia.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

The research concluded that differences in age and educational history have impact on the tax avoidance decisions taken by the boards of directors of deposit money banks. The studies recommend that the issue of female boardroom participation should be re-visited. The study recommends that since female board members are more conscientious than male board members and may not wish to violate the tax laws, more females are expected on the board of directors to ensure partial or outright elimination of tax offensive practices by the board. To do this, the government could enact legislation mandating that

a certain number of female board members be included.

References

- Aburajab, M. A., Maali, B. M., Jaradat, M. S., & Alsharairi, M. E. (2019). Corporate governance mechanisms and tax aggressiveness: Evidence from Jordan. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 11(4), 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JAT2019.0334>
- Ali, A., Sandhu, M. A., Iqbal, J., & Tufail, M. S. (2016). Corporate governance and firm performance: The role of stakeholder engagement. *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 10(1), 110–127.
- Alumona, T. L., & Kaur, M. (2017). Stakeholder theory and its relevance in corporate governance. *International Journal of Research in Business Studies and Management*, 4(5), 16–23.
- Akims, T., & Alex, K. (2023). Board gender diversity and corporate performance in the banking sector of Kenya. *International Journal of Banking and Finance Research*, 9(2), 45–60.
- Arora, A. (2022). Diversity in boardrooms and firm performance: The role of tenure and educational level of board members. *Journal of Corporate Governance and Sustainability Review*, 6(3), 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgsrv6i3p5>
- Boussaidi, A., & Hamed, M. S. (2020). Corporate board of directors, ownership structure and tax aggressiveness: Evidence from Tunisian listed firms. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 18(3), 533–556. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-07-2019-0095>
- Carter, D. A., Simkins, B. J., & Simpson, W. G. (2003). Corporate governance, board diversity, and firm value. *The Financial Review*, 38(1), 33–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6288.00034>
- Conger, J. A., & Lawler, E. E. (2001). Sharing leadership in the corporate boardroom. *Organizational Dynamics*, 29(4), 305–315. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-2616\(01\)00025-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-2616(01)00025-5)
- Chartzi, A. (2020). Board of directors' diversity and corporate tax aggressiveness: Evidence from Greece. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 28(3), 473–495. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-01-2020-0011>
- Cortellese, F. (2020). Gender diversity on corporate boards and tax aggressiveness: International evidence. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 20(6), 1107–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2020-0032>
- Dahya, J., Dimitrov, O., & McConnell, J. J. (2008). Dominant shareholders, corporate boards, and corporate value: A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(1), 73–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.10.005>
- Duong, L., & Pallasch, C. (2021). Female CEOs and corporate tax aggressiveness: Evidence from UK listed firms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 174(2), 345–368. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04539-4>
- Eragbhe, E., & Igbinoba, E. E. (2021). Corporate governance and tax aggressiveness in Nigerian banking and insurance firms. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 13(3), 45–59.
- Freeman, R. E., & Liedtka, J. (1991). Corporate social responsibility: A critical approach. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 92–98. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(91\)90012-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90012-K)
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston, MA: Pitman Publishing.
- Freeman, R. E., Wicks, A. C., & Parmar, B. (2004). Stakeholder theory and “the corporate objective revisited”. *Organization Science*, 15(3), 364–369. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1040.0066>
- Ganescu, M. C., & Gangone, A. D. (2017). A stakeholder approach to corporate social responsibility from a managerial perspective. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence*, 11(1), 721–730. <https://doi.org/10.1515/picbe-2017-0076>
- Ghosh, S. (2017). Stakeholder theory and corporate governance: A contemporary perspective. *Journal of Management Research*, 9(2), 45–58.
- Hoang, T. C., Abeysekera, I., & Ma, S. (2016). Board diversity and corporate disclosure: Evidence from Vietnam. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 135(4), 833–852. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2497-5>
- Herawati, N., Rahmawati, R., Indudewi, D., Wardani, D. K., & Susanto, Y. K. (2021). Female directors and corporate tax aggressiveness: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 1007–1016. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no3.1007>
- Herawati, N., Rahmawati, R., Indudewi, D., Wardani, D. K., & Susanto, Y. K. (2021). Corporate

- governance mechanisms and tax aggressiveness of listed firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 16(5), 45–60.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1979). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305–360. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(76\)90026-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(76)90026-X)
- Khurana, I. K., & Moser, W. J. (2012). Institutional shareholders' investment horizons and tax avoidance. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association*, 34(1), 111–134. <https://doi.org/10.2308/atax-10115>
- Lee, K. H. (2017). Does stakeholder orientation matter? The impact of stakeholder management on firm performance. *Management Decision*, 55(1), 84–100. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-03-2016-0165>
- Lukman, O. A. (2020). Institutional ownership, gender board diversification and tax aggressiveness of quoted conglomerate companies in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Management*, 10(2), 89–104.
- Liang, H., & Renneboog, L. (2016). On the foundations of corporate social responsibility. *The Journal of Finance*, 72(2), 853–910. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12487>
- Minnick, K., & Noga, T. (2010). Do corporate governance characteristics influence tax management? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 16(5), 703–718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2010.08.005>
- Magnanelli, B. S., Paolucci, G., & Pirolo, L. (2021). Diversity in boardrooms and firm performance: The role of tenure and educational diversity. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 21(6), 1025–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-08-2020-0351>
- Manyaga, F., & Ammar, A. (2021). Linking firm performance with board diversity: A literature review. *Journal of Corporate Governance Research*, 5(1), 1–15.
- Onatuyeh, E. A., & Ukolobi, O. J. (2020). Tax aggressiveness, corporate governance and audit fees: Evidence from listed firms in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 12(3), 45–58. <https://doi.org/xxxx>
- Orajaka, U. P. (2022). Board diversity and earnings management of non-financial companies in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 8(1), 101–118.
- Onatuyeh, E. A., & Ukolobi, E. O. (2020). Tax aggressiveness and corporate governance mechanisms: Evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 8(4), 187–198.
- Olaoye, C. O., Ayeni-Agbaje, A. R., & Alaran-Ajewole, A. P. (2017). Taxation revenue and economic growth in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, 9(2), 11–22. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JAT2016.0236>
- Richard, O. C., Murthi, B. P. S., & Ismail, K. (2016). The impact of stakeholder-based management on firm performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 135(2), 283–298. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2460-5>
- Scholes, M. S., Wolfson, M. A., Erickson, M., Hanlon, M., Maydew, E. L., & Shevlin, T. (2015). *Taxes and business strategy: A planning approach* (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Simunic, D. A. (1980). The pricing of audit services: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18(1), 161–190. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2490397>
- Suleiman, N., & Abubakar, A. (2021). Board heterogeneity and corporate tax aggressiveness of listed manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 11(2), 156–173. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijaf.v11i2.18763>