



DESALINATION USING GRAPHENE OXIDE-CELLULOSE COMPOSITE MEMBRANE

Mohammed IA, Aderibigbe FA, * Mustapha SI, Adewoye TL

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Ilorin,
PMB 1515, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria

*Author for Correspondence: mustapha.si@unilorin.edu.ng.

ABSTRACT

The ion separation potential of graphene oxide-cellulose membrane produced from waste materials for use in filtration processes is demonstrated in this study. Graphene nanomaterial was prepared by electrochemical exfoliation of electrodes from waste zinc-carbon batteries and characterized using TEM, UV-Vis, FTIR, and SEM/EDX techniques. The membrane was fabricated from graphene oxide and recycled cellulose paper pulp and was also characterized. The membrane has a surface area of 0.001735 m^2 , and under vacuum pressure of 0.3 Pa was found to have average permeability of $6.5285 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{Pa}$, flux of $1.9585 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}$, and volumetric flow rate of $3.3985 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Membrane desalination studies were performed using a suction pump set-up for time intervals of 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150min on sodium chloride-simulated brackish, saline and hyper-saline water. The ion separation efficiency measured by conductivities of water samples was found at the end of 150 min to be 91.0, 90.89, and 92.98% for brackish, saline, and hyper-saline water respectively. Optimum ion separation was obtained in the first 30 min of the desalination experiment (96.95, 96.63 and 96.56% for brackish, saline, and hyper-saline water respectively), after which there was a progressive increase in conductivities of all water samples due to swelling of the composite membrane.

Keywords: Desalination, Membrane, Cellulose, Graphene, Electrochemical

INTRODUCTION

The membrane technology in water desalination has the advantages of high efficiency, low energy consumption, and low environmental impacts (Song et al. 2018). Membranes have been well-researched, but the need to meet new desalination requirements has necessitated the development of various types of functional materials that would enhance the performance of desalination membranes (Teow and Mohammad, 2019). The choice of functional materials such as zeolites, carbon nanotubes, graphene nano materials, etc. depends on their role in membrane performance.

Different graphene/graphene oxide preparation methods have been developed including mechanical exfoliation and epitaxial growth (Su et al. 2011), chemical vapor deposition (Lin et al. 2018), chemical exfoliation by

Hummer's method and its modified forms (Hayes et al. 2014), and electrochemical exfoliation (Su et al. 2011) among others. The electrochemical exfoliation method has attracted attention due to its easy, fast, and environmentally friendly nature to produce high-quality graphene (Sahoo et al. 2020). Although there have been major improvements in the recycling of used paper materials over the last decades, the pulp and paper sector remains a leading contributor to global greenhouse gas emissions (Chen et al. 2020).

In the present study, graphene oxide was produced by electrochemical exfoliation of zinc-carbon electrodes of used batteries. The graphene oxide membrane was then prepared by incorporating graphene oxide into recycled waste cellulose paper as membrane support. The graphene nanomaterial and membrane prepared were characterized using TEM, UV-Vis, FTIR,

and SEM/EDX techniques. The ion separation efficiency of the produced graphene oxide membrane was investigated using sodium chloride–simulated brackish, saline and hyper-saline water.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

The materials and chemicals used for this research work include potassium chlorate, hydrochloric acid, sodium chloride, distilled water, deionized water, filter papers, S-PAK filter, waste newspaper, and sodium chloride. The waste zinc-carbon batteries (ZCBs) were gotten from various discharged batteries found in Ilorin metropolis. Apparatus and equipment used are alligator clips, connecting cables, ammeter, voltmeter, voltage supply source, suction pump, Buchner funnel, centrifuge, suction pump set-up, and electrical conductivity(EC) meter.

Preparation and Characterization of Graphene/Graphene Oxide

Zinc-carbon electrodes taken out of discharged ZCBs were washed with dilute hydrochloric acid and boiled in ultrapure deionized water for about 5 minutes to remove the residual impurities. The electrodes were subjected to electrochemical exfoliation for the synthesis of graphene/graphene oxide nanomaterials (Sahoo et al. 2020). Electrochemical exfoliation experiments were performed in potassium chlorate at room temperature with voltage supplied by a laboratory DC power supply (MCP Model: M10-SP3003L). It was carried out at voltage values of 6.0, 7.5, 9.0, 10.5, and 12.0 V over five hours. At the completion of electrochemical exfoliation, the sample mixture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min to form a fine suspension. The suspension was then deposited on a filter paper by using a Büchner funnel and the residue on the filter paper was washed with 100 ml of distilled water. The solid obtained was allowed to dry at room temperature and weighed to determine the product yield. The graphene nanomaterial was characterized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive X-ray analysis (HRSEM/EDX),

ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy, and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) analysis.

Preparation of the Graphene Membrane

The graphene membrane was prepared according to the procedure described by Koga et al. (2016). An aqueous suspension of cellulose pulp fibres that consist of recycled waste pulps from newspapers (0.15 wt%, 200 mL) was mixed with an aqueous dispersion of graphene material that was produced via electrochemical exfoliation of discharged battery. An aqueous solution of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (1.0 wt%, 0.4 mL) was added at intervals of 10 minutes to the solution. The resultant solution was filtered by suction filtration using a Büchner funnel through a supported membrane (S-PAK filter). Thereafter, the wet paper sample was hot pressed at 110 °C for 10 minutes to dry the sample. The graphene membrane was later used for desalination experiments

Properties of Graphene Membrane

The membrane morphology was examined using high-resolution scanning electron microscopy (HRSEM), and energy-dispersive X-ray (SEM/EDX) analysis. The swelling ratio of the membrane was obtained by immersing the membrane in deionized water for 6 hr. Then, excess water on the membrane was wiped with tissue paper and the wet membrane was weighed (M_{wet}). Thereafter the membrane was fully dried at room temperature and weighed again (M_{dry}). The swelling property of the membrane was determined using Equation (1);

$$\text{Swelling ratio} = \frac{M_{\text{wet}} - M_{\text{dry}}}{M_{\text{dry}}} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Other membrane properties include surface area (A), volumetric flow rate (G), flux (G), and permeability (μ) were calculated using Equations (2) – (5), where r is the radius of the circular membrane, $\pi = 3.142$, and p is the suction pressure.

$$A = \pi r^2 \quad (2)$$

$$G = \frac{V}{t} \quad (3)$$

$$G = \frac{G}{\pi r^2} \quad (4)$$

$$\mu = \frac{G}{m^2 p} \quad (5)$$

Desalination Experiment

Three forms of saline water were considered in this study; brackish water, saline water, and hypersaline water. Simulated salt water was prepared by measuring 20 g, 35 g, or 50 g of sodium chloride in a beaker and adding fresh water to it until the total mass of the salt and water weighed 1 kg to form brackish, saline, or hypersaline water respectively. The conductivity of the brackish, saline and hypersaline water samples was determined using an EC meter. The water samples were kept in a flask for desalination experiments.

The desalination experiment was carried out using a suction pump, a Buchner funnel, the prepared membrane, and filter paper (Xu et al. 2016). The suction pump has a 0.5hp power rating, Mode 2 x 2^{4A}, free air displacement of 8cfm, an ultimate vacuum of 3 x 10¹ Pa, and a voltage of 220V/50Hz. The ion separation efficiencies of the fabricated graphene membrane for brackish, saline and hyper-saline water were investigated by passing each of the water samples through the membrane for a total time of 150minutes each, and the conductivity of the filtered water was taken at an interval of 30 min.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Properties of Graphene Material and Graphene Membrane

The morphology of the graphene/graphene oxide nanomaterial as acquired by transmission electron microscopy is shown in Figure 1. Layers of large-area graphene sheets produced via electrochemical exfoliation are evident from the TEM images. The SEM/EDX spectrum of the graphene nanomaterials confirms a carbon-based material, with the presence of C, O, Si, and Cl in the graphene nanomaterials. The presence of oxygen in the EDX could suggest an oxidized form of graphene material. Hydrochloric acid used in pretreatment washing of electrodes, or potassium chlorate used as an electrolyte may have introduced chlorine into the graphene sample. Silicon may have been introduced from the original battery electrodes or in the sample preparation for SEM/EDX analysis. The quantitative EDX elemental analysis showed the atomic percentage of all elements present in the sample as C – 87.52%, O – 11.70%, Si – 0.11%, and Cl – 0.66%. The EDX elemental composition gives a mass-content ratio of carbon to oxygen atoms (C/O ratio) in the graphene as 7.48, indicating the high content of oxygen functional groups in the sample.




Figure 1. TEM images of graphene/graphene oxide produced from electrochemical exfoliation of ZCBs showing (a),(b) evidence of few-layer graphene nanomaterial, (c)the SAED pattern,and (d) lattice fringes/arrangement of carbon atoms in the graphene sheet.

Figure 2 shows the UV-Visible absorbance spectra of the graphene nanomaterials produced at different electrochemical exfoliation conditions of applied voltage. The spectra show absorption

peaks were observed between 264 and 258 nm for the samples produced at different voltage values, which are indicative of graphene nanomaterial.




Figure 2. The UV-visible spectra of graphene nanomaterials produced from electrochemical exfoliation of ZCBs

The FTIR spectrum of the graphene nanomaterial was obtained (Figure not shown). The presence of different types of oxygen functionalities in graphene oxide was confirmed by three distinct peaks at 3455.41, 2090, and 1634 cm^{-1} . The peak at 3455.41 cm^{-1} is a characteristic stretching vibration mode for graphene oxide, OH groups from C–OH group or water molecules (Chaiyakun et al. 2012; Tucureanu et al. 2016). The peak at 2090 cm^{-1} could be due to SiC fake diamond which occurs in the 1200–2400 cm^{-1} region (Tucureanu et al. 2016). The EDX result may have also suggested the likely presence of SiC in the sample. The peak at 1634 cm^{-1} could be assigned to different structures of carbon-based materials such as carbon quantum dots (CQDs) in a complex, a skeletal ring in CQD formed from graphite or graphene, or a skeletal ring in CQDs (Tucureanu et al. 2016). It could also

indicate skeletal polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in CQD formed from graphite or graphene, the OH groups from the water molecule (H_2O) in graphite, or the $\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$ in diamond-like carbon films (amorphous carbon) (Tucureanu et al. 2016). Also, it could be assigned to C=O stretching of carboxylic and/or carbonyl moiety functional groups (Chaiyakun et al. 2012). More so, it has been reported to be due to graphene oxide, attributable to O–H vibrations of water (Manorathne et al. 2017).

The HRSEM images of the graphene-cellulose composite membrane are presented in Figure 3(a)–(e), and its digital picture (f). It can be observed from Figure 3(a)–(c) that the membrane is porous, a property that would make it permeable to fluid. It has characteristic multimodal pore size distributions that are interstitial, which should be well suited for ion retention of small molecules.




Figure 3. (a)-(e) HRSEM images of graphene-cellulose membrane, (f) its digital picture.

Also, the morphological images show that the cellulosic structure of paper pulp used as support was retained in the mix (Figure 3(e)), and graphene nanoparticles were well incorporated into the support matrix (Figure 3(d)). The SEM/EDX elemental compositions showed that the membrane is composed of elements similar to that of the starting graphene and cellulose paper material. For example, the elements (C, O, Si and Cl) found in the original graphene material are also present in the composite membrane. In addition, Ca, Al, and K are present which could be from the cellulose paper pulp. Some of the elements – aluminium, silicon, calcium, and potassium are common with kaolin, which is a constituent in cellulose papers usually added in small quantities to improve the whitening. Kaolin is an alumino-silicate material that could contain other elements in varying amounts depending on its source. The atomic percent of elements in the graphene-cellulose membrane was found as C – 71.29%, O – 28.08%, Al – 0.13%, Si – 0.21%, Cl – 0.09%, K – 0.06%, and Ca – 0.15%, showing carbon and oxygen as the main constituents of cellulose. The carbon composition in the graphene-cellulose composite membrane is lower than in graphene oxide because cellulose is composed of relatively higher oxygen than the oxidized graphene.

The membrane swelling ratio, calculated using Equation (1) was found to be 46.33%. The weight of the membrane after its immersion in water for 6 hr was 0.578g, and its weight after drying was taken to be 0.395g. By Equation (1);

$$\text{Swelling ratio} = \frac{M_{\text{wet}} - M_{\text{dry}}}{M_{\text{dry}}} \times 100 = \frac{0.578 - 0.395}{0.395} \times 100 = 46.33\%$$

The saltwater flux (SWF) depends on the porous nature of the membranes. A better SWF will be obtained in a porous membrane structure. Permeation studies were carried out in a suction filtration setup. The results of the permeation property of the graphene-cellulose membrane tested by the SWF experiment, at different salt concentrations, are shown in Table 1. The effective membrane area was calculated to be 0.001735m² (dia. 47 mm). The flux experiments were carried out at an ultimate vacuum of 0.3Pa. This was observed consistently, and hence, concluded based on the SWF values in Table 1 that the membrane is hydrophilic in nature and has a transitional good flow rate, flux rate and permeability. The average volumetric flow rate, flux, and permeability of the membrane were therefore obtained as 6.5285 x 10⁻⁵ m³/m².s.Pa, 1.9585 x 10⁻⁵ m³/m².s, and 3.3985 x 10⁻⁶ m³/s respectively.

Table 1. The membrane flow rate, flux and permeability observed for different salty water types

	Brackish	Saline	hyper-saline
Surface area(m ²)	0.001735	0.001735	0.001735
Flow rate(m ³ /s)	3.400 x 10 ⁶	3.377 x 10 ⁶	3.420 x 10 ⁶
Flux(m ³ /m ² .s)	1.959 x 10 ⁵	1.946 x 10 ⁵	1.971 x 10 ⁵
Permeability(m ³ /m ² .s.pa)	6.532 x 10 ⁵	6.487 x 10 ⁵	6.570 x 10 ⁵
Vacuum pressure(Pa)	0.3	0.3	0.3

The Membrane Desalination Experiment

The initial conductivities before desalination and final conductivities at the end of

150 min desalination time for the three salty water types are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Initial and final conductivities before and after 150 min desalination experiment

Salty water type	brackish	saline	hyper-saline
Initial conductivity (mS/cm)	10.76	12.54	16.65
Final conductivity (mS/cm) at 150 min	0.968	1.142	1.168

Conductivity is a function of salt ion concentration in water. In the results as presented in Table 2, it was observed that there was a significant reduction in the initial conductivities of the water samples after membrane filtration, which is an indication that the graphene-cellulose composite membrane was effective in the salt ion separation. The initial conductivities of brackish, saline and hyper-saline water dropped from 10.76,

12.45 and 16.65 mS/cm to final values of 0.968, 1.14 and 1.168mS/cm respectively, after 150min of suction filtration through the graphene-cellulose membrane at an ultimate vacuum pressure of 0.3Pa.

It also investigated the change in conductivity of water samples with time as the membrane filtration progressed. The variation results are shown in Figure (4) – (6) for brackish, saline and hyper-saline water.




Fig. 4. Variation of conductivity with desalination time in brackish water




Fig. 5. Variation of conductivity with desalination time in saline water




Fig. 6. Variation of conductivity with desalination time in hyper-saline water

The desalination time of 30 min was the optimum in all cases of membrane filtration. After the initial 30 min had elapsed, it was observed that there was an increase in the conductivities of the filtered water with further time, but at a slow rate. This is likely due to the swelling of the membrane material that leads to the permeation of salt ions to transport through. Cellulose in the membrane is hydrophilic in nature. Swelling of the membrane could cause an increase in the membrane interlayer spacing, thereby losing the filtration ability for hydrated ions (Yang et al. 2018). However, water flux was constant as there was steady permeation of water through the porous membrane which can be observed from the graphs of the volume of water permeated with time in

Figures (4) – (6). It is the authors' understanding that the graphene oxide-cellulose membrane has potential in filtration processes as demonstrated in the three experiments.

CONCLUSION

The study demonstrated the possibility of producing low-cost graphene oxide-cellulose membranes from waste materials of zinc-carbon batteries and waste papers. It was also shown that the graphene oxide-cellulose membrane has the potential for water desalination and other forms of ion separation. Characterization results showed that the oxidized graphene nanomaterial prepared by electrochemical exfoliation possessed the desired excellent properties to

improve the performance of membrane materials. Surface functional groups in the graphene oxide can serve as ion sieves for the membrane. Overall, the graphene oxide-cellulose composite presented such properties that are adequate for membrane filtration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering of the University of Ilorin, Nigeria for permitting the use of Laboratory DC Power Supply (MCP Model: M10-SP3003L). The permission granted by the Department of Chemistry of the University of Ilorin for the use of UV-Vis spectrophotometer is also acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Chaikyakun S, Witit-anun N, Nuntawong N, Chindaudom P, Oaew S, Kedkeaw C, Limsuwan P. (2012). Preparation and characterization of graphene oxide nanosheets. *Procedia Engineering*.32: 759–764.
- Chen C, Jia L, Li J, Zhang L, Liang L, Chen E, Kong Z, Wang X, Zhang W, Shen JW. (2020). Understanding the effect of hydroxyl/epoxy group on water desalination through lamellar graphene oxide membranes via molecular dynamics simulation. *Desalination*.491:114560.
- Koga H, Tonomura H, Nogi M, Suganuma K, Nishina Y.(2016). Fast, Scalable, and Eco-Friendly Fabrication of Energy Storage Paper Electrode. *Green Chemistry*.18(4):1117 - 1124.
- Lin L, Deng B, Sun J, Peng H, Liu Z. (2018). Bridging the Gap between Reality and Ideal in Chemical Vapor Deposition Growth of Graphene. *Chemical Reviews*.118 (18): 9281- 9343.
- Manorathne CH, Rosa S, Kottegoda IRM. (2017). XRD-HTA, UV Visible, FTIR and SEM Interpretation of Reduced Graphene Oxide Synthesized from High Purity Vein Graphite. *Material Science Research India*.14(1): 19-30.
- Sahoo SK, Behera AK, Chandran R, Mallik A. (2020). Industrial scale synthesis of few-layer graphene nanosheets (FLGNSs): an exploration of electrochemical exfoliation approach. *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*.50 (6): 673 - 688.
- Song N, Gao X, Ma Z, Wang X, Wei Y, Gao C. (2018). A review of graphenebased separation membrane: Materials, characteristics, preparation and applications. *Desalination*. 437: 59–72.
- Su CY, Lu AY, Xu Y, Chen FR, Khlobystov AN, Li LJ. (2011). High quality thin graphene films from fast electrochemical exfoliation. *ACS nano*.5(3): 2332-2339.
- Teow YH, Mohammad AW. (2019). New generation nanomaterials for water desalination: A review. *Desalination*.451: 2 - 17
- Țucureanu V, Matei A, Avram AM. (2016). FTIR Spectroscopy for Carbon Family Study. *Critical Reviews in Analytical Chemistry*.46(6): 502-520.
- Xu K, Feng B, Zhou C, Huang A. (2016). Synthesis of highly stable graphene oxide membranes on polydopamine functionalized supports for seawater desalination. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 146: 159-165.
- Yang Z, Ma XH, Tang CY. (2018). Recent development of novel membranes for desalination. *Desalination*.434: 37 - 59