

JEDA


UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT
Journal of Education in Developing Areas

Vol. 22, No. 1 March, 2014

JOURNAL OF EDUCATION IN DEVELOPING AREAS

(JEDA)

UNIPORT



Only the first

**PUBLISHED BY THE FACULTY OF EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT
EAST/WEST HIGHWAY, CHOBA
PORT HARCOURT-NIGERIA.**

<http://www.jeda-uniport.com>

Copyright © Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt – 2014.

ISSN: 0189420X

**Produced by the Editorial Board
2014**

**UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT
FACULTY OF EDUCATION**

**JOURNAL OF EDUCATION IN DEVELOPING AREAS
(JEDA)**

CALL FOR PAPERS

The Journal of Education in Developing Areas (JEDA) is a journal of the Faculty of Education published twice in a year (March and October). The main focus of the journal is on education, with implications for developing areas. Its main objectives are:

- i. To provide a medium for the systematic analysis of contemporary educational theories and issues
- ii. To serve as a forum for the dissemination of research findings in the fields of education in developing areas
- iii. To provide a platform for the discussion of innovative ideas for the improvement of education in developing areas

In line with the above, the Editorial Board invites contributions (thoroughly researched theoretical and empirical papers) from scholars across the globe. Articles focusing on any aspect of education shall be considered for publication after being reviewed.

For Further Information, Contact edujeda@rocketmail.com

Or:

Editor:

Prof. Ibitamuno Mitchell Aminigo
Department of Educational Foundations
Faculty of Education, P.M.B. 5323
University of Port Harcourt.
Mobile: +234 703 652 8254
Email: imaminigo@yahoo.com

Technical/Associate Editor:

Dr. Abdulrahman Yusuf M.
Department of Educational Foundations
Faculty of Education, P.M.B. 5323
University of Port Harcourt.
Mobile: +234 803 234 5719
Email: yusuf.abdulrahman@uniport.edu.ng

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. It is the policy of the editorial board not to consider or publish manuscript submitted concurrently to other journals. Articles already published by other journals are therefore not acceptable.
2. Two copies of the manuscript (hard copies) with an assessment fee of ₦4000.00 Four Thousand Naira(local only) should be sent to the Editor, *Journal of Education in Developing Areas (JEDA)*; using the following addresses:
 - a) Snail mail: Editor, JEDA, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, P.M.B. 5323, Port Harcourt.
 - b) E-mail: edujeda@rocketmail.com or yusuf.abdulrahman@uniport.edu.ng

Note: This journal or its editorial board takes no responsibility for the consequence(s) of violating item 1 above.

3. Articles are to be sent with the author's name, title/rank, post and institutional affiliation, preferably on a separate page.
4. Articles should not exceed 4000 to 5000 words typed on A4 paper size with double line spacing. The article should also contain an abstract of not more than 200 italicized words.
5. The reference style adopted for this journal is the American Psychological Association (APA) and all references should be arranged alphabetically at the end of the article.
6. The current editorial board, with full support from the Dean of the Faculty and Editor-in-Chief, *Prof. Ebi Bio Awotua-Efebo* decided to go online for JEDA, in line with the global best practices. What this means is that articles shall now be published both *online* and in hard copies which will later be mailed to the contributors.

7. ACCEPTANCE/ASSESSMENT REPORT

Notice of acceptance/assessment report is sent to authors of the article(s). Payment of USD160.00 or NGN14,000 (Fourteen Thousand Naira), payable by the author of an accepted paper through: (i) Bank Transfer (ii) Credit Card: (Mastercard, Visa or Verve) and other electronic means.

Further Enquiries, Contact:-

The Editor: Prof. Ibitamuno Mitchell Aminigo (+2347036528254)

Technical/Associate Editor: Dr. Abdulrahman Yusuf Maigida (+2348032345719)

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief: Prof. Lawrence E. B. Igwe

Editor: Prof. Ibitamuno Mitchell Aminigo

Associate Editors:

Prof. (Mrs) P. O. Nwanna-Nzewunwa

Dr. (Mrs) N. J. Okoli

Dr. (Mrs) C. M. Uche

Dr. C. Agbakwuru

Dr. (Mrs) I. C. Kosemani

Dr. I. C. Elendu

Dr. O. F. Mbalisi

Technical Officers:

Mrs. E. Fomsi

Dr. A. Oriji

Technical & Associate Editor: Dr. Abdulrahman Yusuf Maigida

Consulting Editors:

Prof. B. A. Eheazu

(University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.)

Prof. PAI Obanya

(International Education Strategist)

Prof. Tim Spannus

(Wayne-State University, Michigan, U.S.A.)

Emeritus Prof. Otonti Nduka

(University of Port Harcourt)

Prof. S.P.T. Gbamanja

(University of Sierra-Leone, Sierra-Leone.)

Emeritus Prof. O. C. Nwanna

(Imo State University, Nigeria.)

Prof. A. A. Adeyinka

(Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Nigeria.)

Prof. N. E. Dienne

(University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.)

Prof. A. I. Imogie

(University of Benin, Nigeria.)

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

1. BOLU-STEVE F. N. - Department of Counsellor Education, University of Ilorin, Ilorin. Nigeria.
2. ONIYE. M. I. - Department of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Ilorin, Ilorin. Nigeria.
3. ABEJIRIN, M. A. - Department of Counsellor Education University of Ilorin, Ilorin. Nigeria.
4. OMOLAWON KAYODE OMOTAYO, *Ph.D* - Department of Human Kinetics & Health Education, University of Ibadan, Ibadan - Nigeria.
5. BADMUS, AYODEJI MUIDEEN, *Ph.D* - Department of Curriculum and Instructional, School of Education, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo, Oyo State.
6. MRS. ODIGIE, V.O., *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
7. SUNDAY D. OSAAT, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
8. DINAH SUNDAY OSAAT, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt
9. ONYINYECHI O. OCHUBA - Department of Curriculum Studies and Educational Technology, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
10. ETIGBAMO ESUEFIENI JUBILEE, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations, Isaac Jasper Boro College of Education, Sagbama, Bayelsa State.
11. FASHIKU C. O. - Department of Educational Administration and Planning, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Nigeria.
12. OLOFINNIYI O. E. - Department of Educational Administration and Planning, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Nigeria.
13. FASHIKU, B. C. - GSE Department Kwara State College of Education, Oro.
14. CHIKWE AGBAKWURU, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling, University of Port Harcourt
15. MRS. B. N. IRULOH, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling University of Port Harcourt
16. MAJOR NANIGHE BALDWIN, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations, Niger Delta University, Bayelsa State
17. OMEMU FELIX, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations, Niger Delta University, Bayelsa State.
18. IBHAFIDON H. E. - Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Faculty of Education, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma
19. OTOTE O. C. - Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Faculty of Education, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma
20. JIMOH A. S. - Department of Language, Arts and Social Sciences, Faculty of Education, Lagos State University, Ojo- Lagos
21. PAC ORDU, *Ph.D* - School of Business Education, Federal College of Education (Technical), Omoku, Rivers State, Nigeria.
22. AZUKA F.N. GOD'SPRESENCE - Department of Educational Foundations, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria.
23. AWAH, OKORIE AWAH - Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt
24. AGABI EUCHARIA - Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
25. EZIMAH, M. O. A., *Ph.D* - Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.
26. JOSEPH OSAMWENYOBOR AHUNSI - Ede Grammar School Umunede, Delta State, Nigeria.
27. MRS. LUCY ERAIKHUEMEN, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology and Curriculum Studies, Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

28. TIJANI A. A., *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Ilorin, Ilorin.
29. PETER JAMES KPOLOVIE, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
30. AMAEZE, FIDELIS EZE - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
31. JONES UGOCHUKWU ODILI, *Ph.D* - Department of Religious and Cultural Studies, Faculty of Humanities, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Rivers State Nigeria.
32. ADEDAMOLA OLUTOYIN ONYEASO - Department of Human Kinetics & Health Education, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt..
33. ABDULLAHI, DAUDA - Department of Business Administration and Management, Nasarawa State Polytechnic, Lafia, Nasarawa State.
34. TSAKU, ISAAC DAVID - Department of Business Administration and Management, Nasarawa State Polytechnic, Lafia, Nasarawa State.
35. KUJE, ALU JOHN EMMANUEL - Department of Business Administration and Management, Nasarawa State Polytechnic, Lafia, Nasarawa State.
36. ASIRU T.A., *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo.
37. CHUKWUMA CAROLINE C. - Department of Education Management, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
38. UTIBE CLARENCE ATAHA, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology and Curriculum Studies, Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria
39. OGUMOGU EXCELLENT AUGUSTINE - Department of Educational Psychology and Curriculum Studies, Faculty of Education, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.
40. PROF. ELIZABETH C. OKEKE - Department of Educational Foundations, University Port Harcourt.
41. CECILIA IHUAKU UKEGBU - Department of Educational Foundations, University Port Harcourt.
42. OYEWUMI, ADEBOMI, *Ph.D* - Department of Special Education, University of Ibadan.
43. MFREKEMFON P. INYANG, *Ph.D* - Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, Faculty of Education, University of Port-Harcourt, Choba.
44. THANKYOU ASIMIEA - Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, Faculty of Education, University of Port-Harcourt, Choba.
45. IREMEKA, FELICIA UKAMAKA - School of General Studies, University of Nigeria; Enugu Campus.
46. MRS. BETTY-RUTH NGOZI IRULOH, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling, University of Port Harcourt.
47. MRS. IJEOMA ERNEST-EHIBUDU, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling, University of Port Harcourt.
48. UCHENNA CHARLES ACHONWA - Department of Educational Psychology, Guidance and Counselling, University of Port Harcourt.
49. OKPAKO, J.E.F. *Ph.D* - Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt;
50. NKECHINYELU, MARTINA THEKWoba - Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.
51. MALIK ACHAMA COMFORT - Department of Educational Management and Planning, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.
52. IBE-AMADI GERTY - Department of Educational Management and Planning, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt
53. MUSTAPHA MALIK BABATUNDE - Department of Educational Management and Planning, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.
54. ADISA OLAWUMI, *Ph.D* - Department of Physical and Health Education, Osun State College of Education, Ila-Orangun, Nigeria.
55. OYEDELE, AKINSANMI OPEOLUWA - Department of Physical and Health Education, Osun State College of Education, Ila-Orangun, Nigeria.

56. AZUNWO, EZIWHO EMENIKE - Department of Theatre Arts, Faculty of Humanities, University of Port Harcourt.
57. AZUNWO, MAMNENZENU UKEWANYI - Department of Adult Education and Community Development, Faculty of Technical and Science Education, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Nkpolu, Port Harcourt
58. NWAOGU FRANCIS - Department of Human Kinetics and Health Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt.
59. AMAECHINA, OBUMNEME UCHE - Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
60. EWELIKE, CHIBUZOR ROSE - Department of Educational Management, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt.
61. IGBINEDION, DOYE - Department of Educational Management, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
62. ABDULRAHMAN YUSUF MAIGIDA, *Ph.D* - Department of Educational Foundations, Faculty of Education, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt-Nigeria.
63. ADEDAPO ADEYEMI, *Ph.D* - Centre for Educational Technology, Emmanuel Alayande College of Education, Oyo, Oyo State.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Secondary Schools Students' Perception of Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment in Ilorin, Nigeria. Bolu-Steve F. N.; Oniye. M. I. & Abejirin, M. A.	001
2. Material Resources As Predictors of Sports Delivery Services in Sports Councils and Recreation Centres in South-West Nigeria - Omolawon Kayode Omotayo, <i>Ph.D</i>	008
3. Conceptual Framework for <i>WebQuest</i> Development to Support Learning with Technology in Higher Education Badmus, Ayodeji Muideen, <i>Ph.D</i>	013
4. Planning Strategies for Sustainable Development in Early Childhood Education in Nigeria - Mrs. Odigie, V. O., <i>Ph.D</i>	022
5. Entrepreneurial Education: A Panacea to Unemployment in Nigeria - Sunday D. Osaat, <i>Ph.D</i> ; Dinah Sunday Osaat, <i>Ph.D</i> & Onyinyechi O. Ochuba.	028
6. An Analysis of the Causes of Abandonment of Community Development Projects by Local Government Councils in Bayelsa State - Etigbamo Esuefiene Jubilee, <i>Ph.D</i>	034
7. Gender: A Predictor of Communication and Administrative Effectiveness in Kwara South Senatorial District Primary Schools - Fashiku C. O., Olofinniyi O. E., & Fashiku, B. C.	045
8. School Phobia and Students' Adjustment in Junior Secondary Schools in Obio-Akpor Local Government Area of Rivers State - Chikwe Agbakwuru, <i>Ph.D</i> , & Mrs. B. N. Iruloh.	051
9. Analysis of Teachers' Job Performance in Secondary Schools in Bayelsa State - Major Nanighe Baldwin, <i>Ph.D</i> & Omemu Felix, <i>Ph.D</i>	057
10. Re-Thinking Social Studies Education for Effective Citizenship in Twenty-First Century Nigeria - Ibhaifidon, H. E.; Otote, O. C. & Jimoh, A. S.	063
11. Assessing the Effectiveness of Entrepreneurship Education in Classroom Implementation of NCE II Vocational Education Curriculum. - Pac Ordu, <i>Ph.D</i>	069
12. Effects of Stimulus Control on Inclination to Pornography by Secondary School's Students and University's Undergraduates in Rivers State, Nigeria - Azuka F.N. God'spresence	077
13. Managerial Practices for Quality Improvement in Public Secondary Schools in Abia State - Awah, Okorie Awah, & Agabi Eucharis	088
14. Philosophy and National Objectives of Adult Education in Nigeria: A Conceptual Analysis - M.O.A. Ezimah, <i>Ph.D</i>	097
15. Analysis of the Factors in Students' Mathematics Achievement at the Senior School Certificate Examination in Ika North-East and South Local Government Areas of Delta State, Nigeria - Joseph Osamwenyobor Ahunsi & Mrs. Lucy Eraikhuemen, <i>Ph.D</i>	102
16. A Review of Federal Government Financing of Education in Nigeria - Tijani A. A., <i>Ph.D</i>	114
17. Evaluation of the Implementation of Universal Basic Education Programme in Imo State, Nigeria - Peter James Kpolovie, <i>Ph.D</i> & Amaeze, Fidelis Eze	118
18. Indigenous Agents and the School Apostolate in Ukwuaniland, 1841-1941 - Jones Ugochukwu Odili, <i>Ph.D</i>	128
19. Awareness of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation (CPR) Among Secondary School Students in Port Harcourt, Nigeria - Adedamola Olutoyin Onyiaso	137
20. Evaluation of Product Development and Growth in Money Deposit Banks in Nigeria - Abdullahi, Dauda; Tsaku, Isaac David & Kuje, Alu John Emmanuel	143
21. Democracy, Political Education and the Challenge of Post Election Violence in Nigeria - Asiru T.A., <i>Ph.D</i>	150
22. An Assessment of the Pattern of Resource Distributions: Implications for Equality of Access to Secondary Education in Rivers State - Chukwuma Caroline C.	157

23.	An Investigation into the Scientific Attitude of Science Students in Senior Secondary Schools in Edo South Senatorial District - Edo State - Utibe Clarence Ataha, <i>Ph.D</i> & Ogumogu Excellent Augustine	163
24.	Analysis of Students' Responses to Patterns of Academic Leadership in Rivers State Secondary Schools, Nigeria - Prof. Elizabeth C. Okeke & Cecilia Ihuaku Ukegbu	169
25.	Parental Involvement, Self Esteem and Gender as the Correlates of Academic Performance of Hearing Impaired Students in Lagos State, Nigeria - Oyewumi, Adebomi, <i>Ph.D</i>	179
26.	Single Parenthood as an Impediment to Adolescents' Health - Mirekemfon P. Inyang, <i>Ph.D</i> & Thankyou Asimiea	186
27.	Christianity and Promotion of Igbo Culture - Iremeka, Felicia Ukamaka.	192
28.	Emotional Intelligence and Psychopathic Behaviours Among Secondary School Students in Port Harcourt Mrs. Betty-Ruth Ngozi Iruloh, <i>Ph.D</i>, Mrs. Ijeoma Ernest-Ehibudu, <i>Ph.D</i> & Uchenna Charles Achonwa	202
29.	Evaluation of Administrative Bottle-Neck in the Effective Implementation of Family Planning Programme in Aguata Local Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria - Okpako, J.E.F. <i>Ph.D</i> & Nkechinyelu, Martina Ihekwoaba.	208
30.	Secret Cult and Administration of Higher Education in Rivers State - Malik Achama Comfort, Ibe-Amadi Gerty & Mustapha Malik Babatunde	215
31.	Interscholastic Sports Participation Among Selected Private Secondary Schools Students in Osogbo, Nigeria - Adisa Olawumi, <i>Ph.D</i> & Oyedele, Akinsanmi Opeoluwa,	224
32.	Flood Protection and The Environment: An Academic Romance Between Education and Theatre Arts Azunwo, Eziwho Emenike, Azunwo, Mamnenzeno Ukwanyi & Nwaogu Francis	231
33.	Physical Resources Availability for the Implementation of Universal Basic Education (UBE) Programme in Rivers State - Amaechina, Obumneme Uche & Ewelike, Chibuzor Rose	238
34.	Vocational Education: An Under-Harnessed Tool for Economic Development in Nigeria - Igbinedion, Doye A.	245
35.	Universalising Education and the Perceptible Analysis of UBE as A Concept and Phenomenon: Operational Frameworks in the Nigerian Context - Abdulrahman Yusuf Maigida, <i>Ph.D</i>	255
36.	Adapting Teaching to Suit Learners' Learning Style Preferences in the Present Day Classroom - Adedapo Adeyemi, <i>Ph.D</i>	267

SECONDARY SCHOOLS STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH CORPORAL PUNISHMENT IN ILORIN, NIGERIA.

By

BOLU-STEVE F.N

Department of Counsellor Education,
University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.
bolusteve2002@yahoo.com

ONIYE. M.I

Department of Arts and Social Sciences,
University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.
oniymasud@unilorin.edu.ng

&

ABEJIRIN, M. A

Department of Counsellor Education
University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.

Abstract

This study investigated the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary schools students in Ilorin metropolis of Kwara state. The study was carried out using a multi-stage sampling technique. At stage one; the four local governments were purposively selected. Stratified sampling procedure was used at stage two to stratify respondents into desired characteristics of age, gender, religion, class level and school type. At stage three, simple random technique was employed to select 50 students in each of the four local governments making a total of 200 respondents from the four Local Government Area in Ilorin Metropolis. The outcome of this study showed that secondary school students in Ilorin have similar views on the perceived problems associated with corporal punishment on the basis of age, gender, religion and class level. A significant difference was found on the basis school type. The problems of indiscipline among students cannot be handled by teachers alone as such it was recommended that principals should employ the services of school counsellors. The government should also discourage the use of corporal punishment in School.

Introduction

In Nigeria today, acts of indiscipline among secondary school students have become a major problem in school and the society at large (Korb, 2011). These problems are manifested in the form of destruction of school and public properties, cheating in examinations, alcoholism, drug addiction, sexual offences, stealing, truancy, rudeness, fighting and bullying. Effective discipline techniques are needed to curb such negative tendencies so as to maintain discipline in schools. As such, Nigerian secondary schools have employed the use of corporal punishment as a tool for maintaining discipline. Oftentimes, over-use of punishment may trigger physical or emotional problems in the affected students (Jaiyeoba & Akintepede, 2002).

Corporal punishment is defined as the intentional infliction of physical punishment on the body (Benatar, 2001). School corporal punishment covers the official punishments of students for misbehavior that involves striking the student a given number of times (Gould, 2007). A variety of implements may be used to inflict punishment on the offender which includes the rod, cane, horse whip (*keboko*), broom, and the use of hand. In modern times, moral and psychological considerations have brought about radical changes in the use of corporal punishment as a disciplinary instrument. There has been evidence to show that corporal punishment is detrimental. In 1979, Sweden protected children against corporal punishment by banning parents from using it in any way on children as a disciplinary tool (Couture, 2001).

The use of corporal punishment in Nigerian secondary schools has always been a contentious issue. In 1977, at the height of indiscipline in the Nigerian secondary schools, the Military Government under General Yakubu Gowon deployed military personnel to secondary schools in order to restore discipline. Subsequent governments after the General Gowon regime, with the exception of the General Buhari-led government, had lukewarm attitudes towards the use of corporal punishment in the Nigerian secondary schools (Olajide, 2012). In addition, there are other non-physical forms of punishment which are also cruel and degrading, these include punishment which belittle humiliates and threatens the child (Cast, Schweingruber and Berns, 2006).

Corporal punishment is lawful in Nigerian schools under Article 295(4) of the criminal code (Southern Nigeria). Corporal punishment is explicitly permitted in Nigerian schools for students under the age of 18, under article 55 of the Penal code (North). The Federal Government of Nigeria says it may be carried out only on the authority of a head teacher. Corporal punishment is one disciplinary technique. However, there are many other disciplinary techniques that adults can employ, such as: providing appropriate supervision, making rules (appropriate to the child's age and stage of development), setting and enforcing boundaries, firmly saying "no", explaining why certain behaviour is inappropriate, giving consequences, withdrawing privileges; and using "time out", or quiet time as an alternative to corporal punishment.

Statement of the Problem

Corporal punishment has become a contentious issue among scholars in recent times. Cast *et al* (2006) reported that physical punishment teaches aggressive behaviour, and hinders the development of important problem-solving skills. The analysis revealed that individuals who were physically punished during their childhood are more likely to engage in physical and verbal aggression.

Fayyad (2005) reported that corporal punishment can cause disorders such as Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Major Depression Disorder (MDD) and Separation Anxiety Disorder (SAD). White and Smith (2004) observed that corporal punishment, when used as a disciplinary tool, could trigger sexually coercive behaviours. The purpose of this study was to identify the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary schools students in Ilorin metropolis.

Research Hypotheses

1. There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of age.

2. There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of gender.
3. There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of religion.
4. There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of class level.
5. There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of school type.

Research Design

The descriptive method was adopted for this. Bogdan and Biklen (2004) says descriptive survey describes variables in a given situations and established relationship between variables. Yates, Starnes and Moore (2008) pointed out that this method is preferred because it captures the complexity of everyday behaviour.

Sample and Sampling Procedure

A total of 200 students in Ilorin metropolis were used. For administrative convenience and adequate representation of the students across Ilorin metropolis, the study was carried out using a multi-stage sampling technique. At stage one; the four local governments were purposively selected and this includes Ilorin East, Ilorin west, Ilorin South and Ilorin Central. Stratified sampling procedure was used at stage two to stratify the respondents into desired characteristics of gender, age, religion, class level and school type. At stage three, simple random technique was employed to select 50 students in each of the four local governments making a total of 200 respondents from the Local Government Area of Ilorin metropolis.

Instrumentation

The main instrument of this study is a questionnaire titled "Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment Questionnaire" (PACPQ). The questionnaire had two (2) sections. The Section A contained the demographic data of the respondents while Section B consisted of 20 items which the respondents were required to respond to on a Four-Point Likert Scale of SA – Strongly Agree 4 points, A- Agree 3 points, D- Disagree 2 points, and SD Strongly Disagree 1 point. In order to establish the validity of this instrument, copies of the questionnaire were given to three (3) Lecturers in the department of Counsellor Education, University of Ilorin. Their corrections and suggestions were considered in the final selection of the items on the questionnaire. Reliability of the questionnaire was established using the Cronbach Alpha reliability Coefficient. A reliability coefficient of 0.70 was obtained.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using frequency counts, percentages and mean ranking of mean values. The t-test and Analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical tools were employed to test the research null hypothesis at 0.05 alpha level of significance.

Results

Table 1: Mean and Rank Order on the Respondents Perception on the Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment

Item No.	As far as I'm concerned, corporal punishment	Mean	Rank
12	makes me angry	3.29	1 st
11	causes physical injury	3.22	2 nd
4	can lead to depression	3.15	3 rd
1	promote stubbornness	3.06	4 th
14	causes truancy	3.04	5 th
16	creates unacceptable barrier between the teacher and student	3.03	6 th

5	create anxiety and fear in students	3.01	7 th
15	leads to child abuse	2.96	8 th
13	encourages bully behaviour	2.95	9 th
10	promotes violent behavior	2.94	10 th
8	can lead to poor academic performance	2.69	11 th
18	can create low self-esteem in students	2.38	12 th
3	can be ineffective when constantly used	2.37	13 th
6	lead to inferiority complex	2.31	14 th
17	can cause psychological damage	2.30	15 th
19	causes the students to dislike their teachers	2.29	16 th
20	can be humiliating	2.28	17 th
2	makes students to develop aggressive behavior	2.27	18 th
7	causes students to disrespect the school rules	2.26	19 th
9	can lead to drug addiction	2.25	20 th

Table 1 showed that item 12 ranked 1st with a mean score of 3.29 and it states that "As far as I'm concerned, corporal punishment makes me angry. Ranked 2nd is item 11 with a mean a score of 3.22, which states that "As far as I'm concerned, corporal punishment causes physical injury " Ranked 20th is item 9 with a mean score of 2.25 and it states that "As far as I'm concerned corporal punishment can lead to drug addiction".

Hypotheses

Hypothesis One: *There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of age*

Table 2: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value showing the Difference in Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment as Perceived by Secondary School Students on the Basis of Age

Age	N	Mean	SD	Df	Cal. t-value	Crit. t-value
7 -17 years	174	59.64	7.20	198	0.98	1.96
18 years and above	26	61.11	6.42			

Table 2 shows that the calculated t-value is less than the Critical t-value as such the hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, there is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of age.

Hypothesis Two: *There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of gender*

Table 3: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value showing the Difference in Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment as Perceived by Secondary School Students on the Basis of Gender

Gender	N	Mean	SD	df	Cal. t-value	Crit. t-value
Male	110	60.44	6.96	198	1.35	1.96
Female	90	59.08	7.25			

Table 3 shows a Calculated t-value of 1.35 is less than the Critical t-value of 1.96. Thus the null hypothesis is accepted. Hence, there is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of gender.

Hypothesis Three: *There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of religion*

Table 4: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) showing the Difference in Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment as Perceived by Secondary School Students on the Basis of Religion

Source	Df	SS	Mean Square	Cal. f-value	Crit. f-value
Between Groups	2	46.712	23.35	0.46	3.00
Within Groups	197	10016.843	50.84		
Total	199	10063.555			

Table 4 shows a Calculated F-value of 0.46 and a Critical value of 3.00. The calculated F-value is less than the critical F-value, therefore the hypothesis is accepted. Hence, there is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of religion.

Hypothesis Four: *There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of class level*

Table 5: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value showing the Difference in Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment as Perceived by Secondary School Students on the Basis of Class Level

Level	N	Mean	SD	Df	Cal. t-value	Crit. t-value
J.S.S	83	60.38	6.40	198	0.92	1.96
S.S.S	117	59.44	7.57			

The t-test result on table 5 reveals that a calculated t-value of 0.92 is less than the Critical t-value of 1.96. Therefore the null hypothesis which states that there is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of class level is accepted.

Hypothesis Five: *There is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of school type*

Table 6: Mean, Standard Deviation and t-value showing the Difference in Problems Associated with Corporal Punishment as Perceived by Secondary School Students on the Basis of School Type

School Type	N	Mean	SD	df	Cal. t-value	Crit. t-value
Private	129	58.56	7.80	198	3.52*	1.96
Public	71	62.15	4.89			

*Significant, $p < 0.05$

Table 6 shows the Calculated t-value of 3.52 is greater than the Critical t-value of 1.96. As such, the hypothesis is rejected; hence there is a significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of school type.

Discussion

Most secondary school students of different age groups in Ilorin metropolis perceive the problems associated with corporal punishment the same way. This observation disagrees with the report of Adeyemo (1999) that secondary school students differ in their perception of corporal punishment on the basis of age. This locational disparity might be due to the dynamic nature of each of the society.

That corporal punishment is viewed in the same way across the gender divide this further supports the report of Gershoff, (2002) that most students hate corporal punishment because they believe that such form of punishment can predispose aggressive behavior. On the basis of religion, no significant difference was found in the students' perception. Robert (2000) in his studies found that most religion prescribed the use of punishment as a means of correcting wrong doers. Hence, respondents are bound to perceive corporal punishment the same way. In Nigeria for example, majority of the religion endorse the use corporal punishment as a disciplinary measure when and where necessary.

There is no disparity in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students' in Ilorin metropolis on the basis of class level. This is in agreement with Greydanus (2010) whose finding indicated that students' have the same attitude towards corporal punishment, regardless of class or level. This means that students are likely to attribute similar problems to corporal punishment.

There is a significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students' in Ilorin metropolis on the basis of school type. Wasef (2011) found that students' in public schools are frequently punished than those who attend private schools. The finding reveals that students who attend private schools tend to be better behaved than those who attend public schools. It is clear that students can be influenced by their immediate environment, that is, what constitutes schooling may vary from place-to-place, depending on the environment and culture of the place. It is therefore no surprise that there are disparities between the public and private schools.

Conclusion

Generally, the findings reveal that there is no significant difference in the problems associated with corporal punishment as perceived by secondary school students on the basis of age. Also, no significant differences on the basis of gender, religion, class level and school type.

Implications for Counselling Practice

The findings of this study have colossal implications for counselling. The disadvantages of corporal punishment clearly outweigh the advantages. During Parents' Teachers' Association meetings, the school counsellor can give enlightenment talks, encourage teachers and parents to use disciplinary measures which are non-violent and that they should avoid subjecting students to harsh physical punishments of any form. Through group counselling, the counsellor can teach the children how to be assertive without being aggressive. They should be taught how to express anger and frustration without violence.

Recommendations

- The problems of indiscipline among students cannot be handled by teachers alone as such principals should employ the services of school counsellors.
- The government should discourage the use of corporal punishment in School. Parents should be encouraged to give moral education to their wards at home.
- Teachers should be exposed to behavioural modification techniques such as assertive training, positive reinforcement as an alternative to corporal punishment.

References

- Adeyemo, A.I. (1999). *Attitude of Secondary School Students Towards Corporal Punishment in Osogbo Local Government Area of Osun State*. An Unpublished B.Ed. Thesis, University of Ilorin.
- Aucoin, K.J., Frick, P.J. & Bodin, S.D. (2006). Corporal punishment and child adjustment. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 27(6), 527-541.
- Benatar, D. (2001). Corporal Punishment: Philosophical Study. Retrieved April 13, 2012 from <http://www.corpum.com/benatar.htm>
- Bogdan R.C., Biklen S.K. (2004). *Quantitative Research for Education*. An Introduction to Theory and Methods. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Cast, A., Schweingruber, D., and Berns, N. (2006). Childhood Physical Punishment and Problem Solving in Marriage. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*.
- Couture, L.A. (2001). Corporal Punishment: Society's Remaining Acceptable Violence. Retrieved on April, 14 2012 from <http://www.childadvocate.org/AcceptableViolence.htm>
- Fayyad, J. (2005). *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. Institute for Development Research and Applied Care (IDRAC).
- Gershoff, E.T. (2002). Corporal Punishment by Parents and Associated Child Behaviours and Experiences. A Meta-analytic Review, *Psychological Bulletin*, vol.128, no.4, pp.539-579.
- Gould, M. (2007). *Sparing the Rod*. London: The Guardian.
- Greydanus, D. E. (2010). *Corporal Punishment in School and its Effect on Academic Success*. Testimony to the U.S. Committee on Education and Labour.
- Jaiyeoba, A.A, and Akintepede, E.O. (2002). The Influence of Corporal Punishment on the Academic Performance of Secondary School Students in Ogun State. *Nigerian Journal of Applied Psychology*, 7(1), 177-187.
- Korb, K. A. (in press). Restorative Discipline as an Alternative to Beating in Nigerian Schools. *The Nigerian Educational Psychologist*: pp. 4-9.
- Olajide, F. (2012). *My Culture: Yoruba*. Lagos: Matador Press.
- Robert, M.W. (2000). *A Study of Attitudes Towards Corporal Punishment as an Educational Procedure From Earliest Times to the Present*. Nijmegen: Nijmegen University Press.
- Wasef H.N. (2011). *Corporal Punishment in Schools*. School of Global Affairs and Public Policy. An Unpublished Thesis, University of Cairo.
- White, J. and Smith, P. (2004). Sexual assault perpetration and perpetration: From adolescence to young adulthood. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 31, 2, pp.182-202.
- Yates, D.S., Starnes, D.S., and Moore D.S. (2008). Research design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Method Approaches. *The Practice of Statistics*.