

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF *Moringa oleifera* OIL ON PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF PREGNANT GOAT

Belewu*, M. A., Ahmed M. A., Badmos, A. H. A, Esan¹, T. O., Abdulsalam¹, K. O., Odebisi², M. B. and Arise¹, A. K.

ABSTRACT

Microbial Biotechnology and Dairy Science laboratory, Department of Animal Production. Email: milkyinka@yahoo.com/mabel@unilorin.edu.ng Phone: 234-8035817941/234 8020594079

¹Department of Home Economics and Food Science; ²Department of Microbiology; University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria
Corresponding author

This study was carried out to evaluate the effect of different levels of *Moringa oleifera* oil on feed intake and digestibility coefficient of pregnant Goat. The pregnant West African Dwarf Goat (Doe) were assigned to three experimental Diets A, B and C in a Latin square Design model for a eight week period. The experimental Diet A (control) had no inclusion of *Moringa oleifera* oil while Diets B and C had 0.25g/kg and 0.5g/kg *Moringa* oil inclusion respectively. There were significant differences in the feed intake of all the parameters evaluated. While the DM, CP and CF digestibilities of the experimental animals were similar ($P>0.05$). However, there was significant difference in the ether extract digestibility of the experimental animals. In conclusion, the inclusion of *Moringa oleifera* oil holds a good potential in the diet of pregnant West African Dwarf goat.

Keywords: *Moringa oleifera*, Feed intake, Digestibility coefficient, Pregnant West African Dwarf Goat.

INTRODUCTION

Increase in demand for animal protein is on the high side in Nigeria as a result of increase in human population at a geometric progression while the available protein is at arithmetic progression. Hence there is need to improve the productivity of small ruminant animals (Goats) through better feeding and management. Opara *et al.* (2005)

Feed is the single largest cost associated with improvement of small ruminants, and it accounts for 60% or more of total production cost. It goes without saying that nutrition exerts a very large influence on flock reproduction, milk production, lamb and kid growth. Late-gestation and lactation are the most critical periods for ewe and doe nutrition, with lactation placing the highest nutritional demands on ewes/does. Nutritional level largely determines growth rate in lambs and kids. Lambs and kids with higher growth potential have higher nutritional needs, especially with regards to protein. Therefore, animals receiving inadequate diets are more prone to disease and will fail to reach their genetic potential (Susan, 2003).

Feeding goats well is of fundamental importance for the success of the whole goat enterprise. Good nutrition is a prerequisite for good health, good reproduction, high milk yield, fast growth rates and a successful goat system, (Peacock, 1996). However, provision of good nutrition is limited by food procurement problems (Chidibelu and Njondjou, 1997) couple with poor nutrient content of crop residues. Nutritional constraints of most crop residues include: low content of nitrogen (N), poor digestion and low intake, such that productive performance of tropical animals is often poor. It has been recognised that intake and utilisation efficiency of crop residues are influenced by the rate of rumen fermentation (Van Soest, 1982) and the balance of nutrients absorbed in the intestines. Improvement in the nutritive value, removal of nutritional limitations to rumen fermentation and a balanced supply of nutrients to host animals would result in an improvement in animal productivity. Majority of the ruminants in tropical Africa are raised on native pastures and crop residues (Tchinda *et al.*, 1993). During the dry season, the natural pastures and crop residues available for ruminants after crop harvest are usually fibrous and devoid of most essential nutrients including proteins, energy, minerals and vitamins which are required for increased rumen microbial fermentation and improved performance of the host animal (Dixon and Egan, 1987; Osuji *et al.*, 1995). Since small ruminant animal could not meet their entire nutritional requirement especially during the dry season. This calls for the inclusion of essential oil for optimum performance. *Moringa oleifera* oil which is also known as Ben oil Or Behen Oil is an essential oil which reduces rumen ammonia production and increase protein by-pass for proper feed utilization in small ruminant animal. (Gassenschmidt *et al.*, 1995; Newbold *et al.*, 1999).

However, the high percentage of unsaturated fatty acid (oleic acid 74.68%) in *Moringa oleifera* oil makes it desirable in terms of nutrition (Mensink and Katan, 1990). The monounsaturated nature of oleic acid in *Moringa oleifera* oil is also associated with decrease in coronary Heart disease (Yusuf *et al.*, 2006). *Moringa Oleifera* oil is known for its Anti-bacterial, Anti-fungal, Anti- pyretic, Anti-oxidant, Anti-aging, anti-inflammatory properties (Ezeamuzle *et al.*, 1996) hence its inclusion in the diet of goat will reduce cost of feeding, increase the

performance of WAD goat as well as increase the health status of the consumer of goat by product. Therefore, the thrust of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of different levels of *Moringa oleifera* oil on the performance characteristics of West African dwarf goat.

METHODOLOGY

Site of the experiment

The experiment was carried out at the Animal Pavilion of the Department of Animal Production, University of Ilorin, Nigeria.

Collection of *Moringa oleifera* leaves

Fresh *Moringa oleifera* leaves were collected or harvested in Ijapo estate, Akure, Ondo state. The leaves were defoliated from the stalk, air dried under shade and milled to increase the surface area.

Processing and preparation of *Moringa oleifera* oil

Cold extraction method was used, thus; milled *Moringa oleifera* leaves were soaked with petroleum ether of 60°C at room temperature for at least 24 hours but shaken every 5 hours for homogeneity of the mixture in a 10L Keg that was well covered. The mixture was decanted using Muslin cloth and the filtrate was distilled using Soxhlet apparatus to obtain the oil.

Experimental diets and animal management

Before the arrival of the animals the pens were washed and disinfected using Izal and morigad respectively.

The Experimental diets include Treatments A (0g) B (0.25g) and C (0.5g) of *Moringa oleifera* oil inclusion on a daily basis per kilogram of the diet per animal. The Animals were given feed and water *ad-libitum* throughout the period of the experiment.

Animal management

The pregnant WAD does used for the experiment were purchased from kara market in kwara State. The animals were treated against ecto and endo parasites using Ivomec.

Feed intake and digestibility coefficient

The feed intake of each animal was measured daily for eight weeks and the difference between the feed given and the left over were recorded. The digestibility coefficient was determined during the last two weeks of the experiment

Chemical analysis

The experimental diets A, B and C were subjected to proximate analysis using the method of A.O.A.C (2000)

Statistical analysis

All data collected were subjected to analysis of variance of a Latin square Design Model. Means were separated using Duncan (1955) multiple range test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dry matter percentage of Diet C with 91.49 was the highest among the experimental Diets (Table 3). This was followed by Diet B with 88.75 and the least was recorded for Diet A (87.6 %). Additionally Diet C had the highest crude protein (8.17%), followed by Diet B and the least was Diet A with 7.47%. The numerical increase in the level of crude protein as the oil levels increase is unclear. The percentage ether extract of the Diet C was the highest with 7.78%, followed by Diet B and the least was Diet A with 0.88%. The highest percentage of ether extract in Diets B and C could be due to the inclusion levels of *Moringa oleifera* oil (Table 3). The highest dry matter intake was noted in animals on Diet C (0.5g kg⁻¹ of *Moringa oleifera* oil inclusion) with a value of 336.67g day⁻¹, followed by animals on Diet B with 213.33g day⁻¹ and the least was recorded in animals on Diet A with 200.0 g day⁻¹ (Table 4).

The Crude Protein intake was similar to that of the DM intake. There was no significant difference (P<0.05) in DM, CP and ash intake of animals on Diet A and Diet B. But there were significant differences (P< 0.05) in animals on Diet A and Diet C, Diet B and Diet C. The EE intake (Table 4) in Diet C was the highest with 26.19g/day followed by Diets B and A. However, there were significant differences (P< 0.05) between Diet A and Diet B, Diet A and Diet C and Diet B and Diet C. The crude fibre intake of animals on Diet C (0.5g kg⁻¹ of *Moringa oleifera* oil inclusion) with a value of 126.05g day⁻¹, followed by Diet B (88.73g day⁻¹) and Diet A had the least (7.68g day⁻¹). The NFE intake also followed the same trend. The inclusion of *Moringa oleifera* oil (Table 4) in the experimental diet of the animals showed that animals on Diet C (with 0.5g kg⁻¹ of moringa oil inclusion) had the highest dry matter intake, crude protein intake, crude fibre intake, ash and nitrogen free extract intake than animals on Diets B and A (control). This result was in line with the findings of Cardozo *et al.* (2006) who reported that essential oil stimulate the intake of feed.

The intake of dry matter in Diet C (336.67g day⁻¹) which was the highest among the Diets conformed with the work of Zotti, *et al.* (2012) who used blend of Castor Oil and Cashew Oil inclusion in the diet of cattle. This also

collaborate with the report of Yang *et al.* (2010). It is likely that animals on Diet C benefited from a better interaction between metabolic and nutritional factors Tolkamp, (1982). The dry matter digestibility coefficient of the animals on Diet C was the highest with 98.31% followed closely by Diet B and Diet A (Table 5). The percentage CP and CF digestibilities followed the same trend as the dry matter digestibility. But there was no significance difference ($P < 0.05$) in the DM, CP and CF digestibility of the experimental Diets A, B and C. The percentage ether extract digestibility of the animals on Diet C with 99.31% was the highest followed by Diet A and Diet B had the least. There was significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in animals on Diet A and Diet B, Diet B and Diet C and no significant difference ($P < 0.05$) between Diet A and Diet C.

The animals on Diet C with 0.5 g kg^{-1} of *Moringa oleifera* oil showed no significant different ($P < 0.05$) in the digestibility of dry matter, crude protein and crude fibre (Table %5). This result was in line with several researches carried out on effect of essential oil on digestibility (Meyer *et al.*, 2009; Malecky *et al.*, 2009; Santos *et al.*, 2010). This result supported the work of Benchaar *et al.* (2006), who supplemented diets of lactating Holstein cows with essential oils (0 vs. 2 g d^{-1} ; EO) and Monensin (0 vs. 350 mg d^{-1} ; MO). It showed that apparent digestibility of dry matter, organic matter and fibre were similar among diets ($P < 0.05$). In similar study, Gonzalez *et al.* (2009) showed that diet containing 30 parts per million (ppm) monensin in lambs had no effect on digestibility of DM, CF and ash. Yang *et al.* (2007) reported that total digestibility of DM, Ash, CF and were not influence in Holstein cows fed diets with 5 mg d^{-1} of garlic oil

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATION

Based on the result of this experiment, the inclusion of *Moringa oleifera* oil in the diet of West African Dwarf Goat improves feed intake and digestibility coefficient of the animal. Based on the result of this experiment, Diet C with 0.5 g kg^{-1} *Moringa oleifera* oil inclusion had the best performance in terms of feed intake and digestibility coefficient. It could therefore recommended that farmers should use *Moringa oleifera* oil at 0.5 g kg^{-1} inclusion in the diet of pregnant West African Dwarf Goat.

REFERENCE

- AOAC. 2000. Association of Official Analytical Chemists: Official Methods of Analysis. Washington DC, USA.
- Benchaar, C., Petit H. V., Berthiaume, R., Whyte, T. D. and Chouinard, P. Y. 2006. Effects of addition of essential oils and monensin premix on digestion, ruminal fermentation, milk production, and milk composition in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 2006.89(11):110-120
- Cardozo, P. W. S., Calsamigha, A., Ferret and Kamel, C. 2006. Effects of alfalfa extract, anise, capsicum and mixture of cannamaldhyde and eugenol on ruminal fermentation and protein degradation in beef heifer fed a high-concentrate diet. *Journal of Animal Science* 84:2801-2808
- Chidebelu, S. D and Ngondjou, M. 1997. The economies of goat production in South-eastern Nigeria. Implication for the future. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production* 25: 93-99
- Dixon, R. M and Egan, A. R. 1987. Strategies for optimizing use of fibrous crop residues as animal feeds. In: Ruminant Feeding Systems Utilizing Fibrous Agricultural Residues. International Development Programme of Australian Universities and Colleges, Canberra, pp. 11-26.
- Ezeamuzle, I. C., Ambadederomo, A. W., Shode, F. O. and Ekwebelem, S. C. 1996. Antiinflammatory effect of *Moringa oleifera* root extract. *International Journal of Pharmacology* 34 : 207-212.
- Gassenschmidt, U, Jany, K. D, Tauscher, B. and Niebergall, H. 1995. Isolation and characterization of a flocculating protein from *Moringa oleifera* Lam. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta* 1243: 477-481. *ANT Res.*, 21: 17-25.
- Gonzalez-Momita, M. L., Kawa, J. R., Garcia-Castillo, R., Gonzalez-Morteo, C., Aquirre-Ortega, J., Hernandez-Vidal, G. and Fimbres-Durazo, H. 2009. Nutrient intake, digestibility, mastication and ruminal fermentation of Pelibuey lamb fed finishing diets with ionophore (Monensin or lasalocid) and sodium malate. *Small Ruminant Research* vol. 83, issue 1 : 1- 6
- Malecky, M., Broudiscou, L. P. and Schmidely, P. 2009. Effects of two levels of monoterpene blend of rumen fermentation, terpene and nutrient flow in the duodenum and milk production in the dairy goats. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* : 154: 24-35.
- Mensink, R. P. and Martijn, B. and Katan, M. B. 1990. Effect of Dietary trans Fatty Acids on High-Density and Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Levels in Healthy Subjects. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 323: 439-445
- Meyer, N.F., et al. 2009. Effect of essential oils, tylosin, and monensin on finishing steer performance, carcass characteristics, liver abscesses, ruminal fermentation, and digestibility. *Journal of Animal Science*, 87(7): p. 2346-2354.

- Newbold, C.J., El- Hassan, S.M, Wang, J., Ortega, M. E and Wallace, R.J. 1997. *British Journal of Nutrition* 78, 237-249.
- Opara, M.N., Ukpog, U.M and Okoli, I.C. 2005. Quantitative analysis of abattoir slaughtering of animals in Akwa Ibom State, *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture and Social Research.*, 5: 118-125:4352-4364.
- Osuji P O, Fernandez-Rivera S and Odenyo, A.1995. Improving fibre utilization and protein supply in animals fed poor quality roughages: ILRI nutrition research and plans. In Rumen Ecology Research Planning, pp. 1-22. Edited by R. J. Wallace and A. Lahlou-Kassi. ILRI- Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: International Livestock research Institute (ILRI).
- Peacock, C. 1996. Improving Goat production in the tropics. A manual for Development Workers. An Oxfarm/FARM Africa Publication(UK and Ireland), pp. 1-20
- Susan, S. 2003. An introduction to feeding small ruminants Small Ruminant Info Sheet.
- Santos, M.B., Robinson, P.H., William, P and Loas, R. 2010. Effects of addition of an essential oil complex to the diet of lactating dairy cows on whole tract digestion of nutrient and productive performance. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 157: 64-71
- Tchinda, B. Weigad, D. and Njwe, R. W. 1993. Rumen degradation of elephant grass supplemented with graded levels of perennial peanut by West African Dwarf sheep. In: *Small Ruminants Research and Development in Africa* (Editors: Lebbie S H B, Rey B and Irungu E K). *Proceedings of the Second Biannual Conference of the African Small Ruminants Research Network, AICC, Arusha, Tanzania*, 7 – 11. December 1992, ILCA/CTA. ILCA, Addis-Ababa, 187 – 190.
- Tolkamp, L. 1982. Modelling nutrient digestion and utilisation in farm animals. Edited : Sauvant, D., Van Migen, J., faverdin, P and Friggens, N
- Van Soest, P. J .1982. Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. O & B Books, Inc. Corvallis, Oregon. USA. pp. 76-78.
- Yang, W. Z., Benchaar, C., Ametal, B. N., Chaves, A. V., He, M. L.. and McAllister, T. A. 2007. Effects of garlic and juniper berry essential oils on ruminal fermentation and on the site and extent of digestion in lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 2007. 90: p. 5671-5681.
- Yang, W. Z. Ametaj, B. N., Benchaar, C., He, M. L. and Beauchemin, K. A. 2010. Cinnamaldehyde in feedlot cattle diets: Intake, growth performance, carcass characteristics and blood metabolites. *Journal of Animal Science* 88 :1082-1092.
- Yusuf, S., Sleight, P., Poque, J., Davies, R and Dagenais, G. 2000. Effects of an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study investigators New England of Journal Medicine : 342: 145-153
- Zotti, C. A., Da Zotti, C. A., Silva, S. L., Martello, L.S., Meirelles, R. L., Silva, A. P. S., Ivarez, P. L., Cancian, P. H., Ianni, A. C., Zanoni, L. E., Leme, P. R. 2012. Effects of different feed additives on performance and carcass traits of feedlot cattle. In: 2012 ADSA-AMPA-ASAS-CSAS-WSASAS Joint Annual Meeting, 2012, Phoenix. *Proceedings of 2012 ADSA-AMPA-ASAS-CSAS-WSASAS Joint Annual Meeting, Champaign : Federation of Animal Science Societies, 2012. v. 90. p. 627-627.*

Table 1: Composition of the basal diets

| Ingredients | Percentage |
|----------------|------------|
| Cassava waste | 53.00 |
| Groundnut cake | 10.00 |
| Rice husk | 35.00 |
| Vitamin premix | 1.00 |
| Salt | 1.00 |
| Total | 100.00 |

Table 2 : Composition of the Experimental Diets

| Treatment | A(g) Control | B(g) Low | C(g) High |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Animal | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Moringa | - | 0.25 | 0.5 |
| oleifera oil | | | |

Table 3: Proximate Composition of the Experimental Diets (DM basis)

| Treatments (%) | A (control) | B (0.25g kg ⁻¹) | C (0.50/g kg ⁻¹) |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Dry matter | 87.60 | 88.75 | 91.49 |
| Crude Protein | 7.47 | 7.77 | 8.17 |
| Crude Fibre | 38.42 | 41.59 | 37.44 |
| Ether extract | 0.88 | 2.66 | 7.78 |
| Ash | 12.99 | 11.86 | 11.57 |
| Nitrogen free extract | 10.41 | 14.89 | 25.61 |

Table 4: Feed intake of the experimental animals (G Day⁻¹)

| Treatments | A (Control) | B (0.25g/kg) | C (0.50g/kg) | ±SEM |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Dry matter intake | 200.00 ^a | 213.33 ^a | 336.67 ^b | 16.03* |
| Crude Protein intake | 14.94 ^a | 16.58 ^a | 27.51 ^b | 1.55* |
| Crude Fibre intake | 7.68 ^a | 88.73 ^b | 126.05 ^c | 3.97* |
| Ether extract intake | 1.76 ^a | 5.67 ^b | 26.19 ^c | 1.26* |
| Ash intake | 25.98 ^a | 25.30 ^a | 38.95 ^b | 2.10* |
| Nitrogen free extract intake | 20.82 ^a | 31.77 ^b | 86.22 ^c | 2.23* |

Means on the same row carrying the same superscript are not significantly different (P> 0.05)

Table 5: Digestibility coefficient of the experimental animals

| Parameters (%) | Diet A | Diet B | Diet C | ±SEM |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| Dry matter digestibility | 96.85 | 97.33 | 98.31 | 0.78 |
| Crude Protein digestibility | 97.74 | 97.52 | 98.71 | 0.68 |
| Crude Fibre digestibility | 97.99 | 97.36 | 98.72 | 0.56 |
| Ether extract digestibility | 94.11 ^b | 86.70 ^a | 99.31 ^b | 2.91* |

Means on the same row carrying the same superscript are not significantly different (P> 0.05)