

CHAPTER ELEVEN

Effectiveness of Team Building Activities in Enhancing Trust and Transparency within Virtual Teams

CARDOSO, Ibukunoluwa & DIUGWU Ikehukwu A.

<https://doi.org/10.54908/iljs.cisp2026.10.01.011>

Abstract

This quantitative study investigated the effectiveness of team-building activities in enhancing trust and transparency within virtual teams, a crucial area given the increasing prevalence of remote work. It addressed the challenges of fostering cohesion in geographically dispersed teams reliant on digital communication. Using surveys and assessments, the research measured the impact of interventions such as virtual workshops and collaborative tasks on key metrics: psychological safety, communication clarity, and accountability. The study sought to measure cognitive and affective trust among virtual team members, evaluate the impact of specific team-building activities on perceived transparency, and identify the most effective activities for enhancing trust. It also examined the correlation between the frequency of these activities and the levels of trust and transparency, while considering the influence of demographic factors. The outcomes of this research would inform strategies to optimise team dynamics, improve decision-making, and mitigate conflicts within virtual teams. The evidence-based findings aimed to guide organisations in developing comprehensive frameworks for virtual team collaboration and performance optimisation. This study would contribute to both academic discourse and practical application in organisational settings, offering insights into strategies that could enhance team performance, collaboration, and productivity in remote work environments.

Keywords: Virtual Teams, Team-Building Activities, Trust, Transparency

Introduction

The rise of globalisation and advancements in technology have revolutionised organisational operations, leading to the widespread adoption of virtual teams. These teams, characterised by geographic dispersion and reliance on digital

communication platforms, offer numerous benefits such as flexibility, cost savings, and access to a diverse talent pool (McEwan *et al.*, 2017; Hoch & Kozlowski, 2014). However, the absence of physical interactions introduces challenges in fostering trust and transparency - two critical components for effective teamwork.

Trust in virtual teams is categorised into cognitive trust, derived from perceptions of competence and reliability, and affective trust, which evolves from emotional bonds and interpersonal rapport (Kanawattanachai & Yoo, 2002). Transparency, on the other hand, ensures that all team members have access to relevant information, enabling informed decision-making and accountability (Palanski *et al.*, 2011). In virtual environments, asynchronous communication, lack of non-verbal cues, and potential information silos often hinder these elements (Erez *et al.*, 2023).

Team-building activities have traditionally been used to strengthen relationships and improve collaboration in co-located teams (Tannenbaum *et al.*, 2012). In virtual settings, these activities must be adapted to address unique challenges such as isolation, communication barriers, and cultural differences (Sivunen & Hakonen, 2011). Despite their potential benefits, there is limited research on the effectiveness of team-building activities in enhancing trust and transparency within virtual teams. This study aimed at filling this gap by investigating how team-building activities impact these critical dimensions.

Literature Review

Virtual teams represent a paradigm shift in organisational collaboration. Unlike traditional co-located groups, virtual teams rely heavily on digital platforms for communication and collaboration. While this model offers flexibility and scalability, it also introduces complexities in fostering interpersonal cohesion (Ferreira & Rodrigues, 2023; Morrison-Smith & Ruiz, 2020). In these settings, trust is a critical construct that underpins successful collaboration. It manifests in two distinct dimensions: cognitive trust, which is derived from perceptions of competency and reliability, and affective trust, which evolves from emotional bonds and interpersonal rapport (Breuer *et al.*, 2016; Ford *et al.*, 2017).

Transparency in virtual environments further contributes to team effectiveness by ensuring that information is openly shared and accessible, thereby

facilitating informed decision-making and accountability (Howard *et al.*, 2020). The absence of face-to-face interactions often impedes natural communication channels, making deliberate strategies for maintaining clarity and openness imperative (Marlow *et al.*, 2017). Against this backdrop, team building activities emerge as instrumental interventions designed to mitigate the challenges posed by digital collaboration. These activities, ranging from interactive virtual workshops to collaborative problem-solving simulations, seek to create opportunities for shared experiences and authentic connections, ultimately reinforcing both trust and transparency within virtual teams (Hertel *et al.*, 2005; Sivunen & Hakonen, 2011). Collectively, these elements underscore the transformative potential of targeted team building approaches in harnessing the full collaborative capacity of distributed workforces.

The increasing prevalence of virtual teams as a dominant mode of collaboration in today's globalised and technologically advanced organisational landscape necessitates a deeper understanding of the mechanisms that foster effective team dynamics. Trust and transparency are critical components of this dynamic; they are essential for overcoming the inherent challenges of reduced physical presence and the limitations of digital communication platforms (Altschuller & Benbunan-Fich, 2010; Hertel *et al.*, 2005).

Trust in Virtual Teams

Trust is foundational for effective teamwork. In contemporary organisational settings, particularly within virtual teams, trust facilitates the development of resilient social bonds despite the absence of face-to-face interactions (Wilson *et al.*, 2006). Scholarly literature often conceptualises trust in teams along two interrelated dimensions: **cognitive-based trust** and **affective-based trust**. Cognitive trust is built on perceptions of competence and reliability (Kanawattanachai & Yoo, 2002), while affective trust stems from emotional bonds formed through positive interactions (Breuer *et al.*, 2016). In virtual settings, the absence of face-to-face interactions often delays or inhibits the development of both types of trust (Jarvenpaa & Leidner, 1999). Breuer *et al.* (2016) further highlighted that the absence of non-verbal communication in virtual environments slows down the formation of affective trust which relies on emotional connections and personal rapport to take effect. In the absence of facial expressions, body language, and immediate empathetic responses,

team members may develop a cautious approach to collaboration, resulting in a slower and less robust trust-building process. The cumulative effect of these challenges highlights the need for deliberate interventions, such as tailored team building activities, to bridge the interpersonal gap and foster a healthier trust continuum in virtual teams.

Transparency in Virtual Teams

Transparency in a team context is defined as the consistent and availability of relevant information that empowers team members to make informed decisions and fosters accountability within the group (Edmondson, 1999). Studies have shown that transparency transcends mere data sharing. Other important factors like the clarity and accessibility of the process, the rationale behind decisions, the timeliness of project progress updates (Edmondson, 1999; Palanski *et al.*, 2011) are equally very key. By ensuring that critical information is accessible, teams can align their efforts more effectively, anticipate challenges, and respond collaboratively to emerging situations (Mesmer-Magnus & DeChurch, 2009).

Environments with these features improve the process of decision-making by reducing uncertainties and preventing information silos, which are some of the common issues with virtual settings. Furthermore, transparency promotes accountability as it enables individuals to understand not only what decisions are made but also how these decisions impact overall team performance (Rawlins, 2008). In virtual environments, maintaining transparency requires deliberate strategies such as regular updates, clear documentation, and collaborative tools that facilitate information sharing (Leonardi & Contractor, 2018). Without these practices, misunderstandings can arise, negatively impacting team cohesion (Marlow *et al.*, 2017).

Role of Team Building Activities

Team building activities are structured interventions aimed at improving interpersonal relationships and team cohesion. Tailored team building activities are designed to directly address the challenges of trust and transparency within virtual teams. By incorporating interactive elements such as virtual workshops, escape rooms, and collaborative group simulations, these structured interventions provide team members with opportunities for reciprocal interactions that mirror the spontaneity and depth of face-to-face engagements (Lansing, Nikolaidis, & Cosier, 2017). Such activities foster

cognitive trust by enabling individuals to assess each other's reliability and competence through structured, observable tasks. Simultaneously, they cultivate affective trust by generating shared experiences and emotional bonds that are typically underdeveloped in purely asynchronous digital interactions (Sivunen & Hakonen, 2011).

Moreover, these activities enhance transparency by establishing standardised protocols for information sharing and real-time feedback, thereby reducing the occurrence of communication silos and ensuring that critical information is accessible to all team members (Gibbs, Maznevski, & Sung, 2017). The integration of structured team building in virtual settings thereby provides a dual benefit: it enriches the communicative quality of digital interactions and creates a stable foundation for trust development that is both rationally and emotionally grounded.

Research Methodology

This study adopted a quantitative research design rooted in a systematic method to empirically examine the effects of team building activities on trust and transparency within virtual teams. A quantitative approach is most appropriate for this investigation because it allows for the measurement of abstract constructs through standardised instruments, the testing of hypotheses, and the establishment of statistically significant relationships among variables (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

The research is structured as a cross-sectional study using a survey methodology, which captured data from virtual team members at a single point in time. Data was collected using validated questionnaires administered to employees across multiple organisations that employ virtual teams. The survey measured respondents' perceptions of interpersonal trust, the clarity and openness of information exchange (transparency), and the perceived effectiveness of various team building activities.

The research population for this study comprised individuals who actively participated in virtual teams within organisations operating in today's global and digitally connected economy. Virtual teams are defined as groups of employees who collaborate toward shared objectives while being geographically dispersed and relying primarily on digital communication platforms. To capture the nuances of virtual team dynamics, the population included both team members and their leaders, ensuring a comprehensive

understanding of how trust and transparency are cultivated through team building interventions. Participants were drawn from a variety of industries such as technology, consulting, and professional services where the adoption of virtual work settings is prevalent.

Data Presentation and Analysis

In measuring the level of cognitive and affective trust among virtual team members, the results indicated that team-building activities significantly enhance both cognitive and affective trust among virtual team members. Respondents rated their levels of trust and transparency within virtual teams as moderately high, with mean scores of 3.8 (SD = 0.6) for trust and 3.6 (SD = 0.7) for transparency on a 5-point Likert scale. The perceived effectiveness of team-building activities was also rated positively, with a mean score of 4.1 (SD = 0.5). These findings suggest that team members generally perceive team-building interventions as beneficial for fostering collaboration.

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)	Correlation Coefficient (r)	p-value
Cognitive Trust	3.7	0.6	0.68 (with Team- Building)	< 0.001
Affective Trust	3.9	0.7		
Transparency	3.6	0.7	0.62 (with Team- Building)	< 0.001
Team- Building Activities	4.1	0.5	-	-

In evaluating the impact of team-building activities (e.g., virtual workshops, collaborative tasks) on perceived transparency of communication, this table highlights the statistically significant differences in perceived transparency between those who participated in virtual workshops and collaborative tasks versus those who did not. The lower p-values indicate a strong likelihood that these activities positively influence transparency. Interactive collaborative activities, such as virtual escape rooms and group simulations, were found to

be more effective than passive activities like webinars as they foster emotional bonds among team members. These results align with previous research suggesting that shared experiences are instrumental in building affective trust (Kanawattanachai & Yoo, 2002).

Team-Building Activity	Mean (Participants)	SD (Participants)	Mean (non-participants)	SD (non-participants)	p-value
Virtual Workshops	4.2	0.5	3.3	0.6	< 0.001
Collaborative Tasks	4.0	0.6	3.5	0.7	< 0.001

Moreover, the study highlighted a positive correlation between the frequency of team-building activities and perceived transparency. The Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and p-values indicate statistically significant positive relationships between the frequency of team-building activities on both trust and transparency. Regular engagement in structured activities ensures consistent communication flow and reinforces mutual understanding among team members. This suggests that more frequent team-building efforts are associated with higher levels of trust and transparency within virtual teams. This supports existing literature emphasising the role of transparency in improving decision-making processes within virtual teams (Palanski *et al.*, 2011).

Variable	Pearson's (r)	p-value	Interpretation
Trust	0.68	< 0.001	Strong positive correlation
Transparency	0.62	< 0.001	Moderate to strong positive correlation

Despite these positive outcomes, challenges remain. Passive or individual-focused activities such as webinars were less effective in enhancing trust or transparency compared to interactive formats. This suggests that active participation is crucial for achieving meaningful outcomes.

Conclusion

This study provided evidence that team-building activities are effective tools for enhancing trust and transparency within virtual teams. By fostering cognitive trust through competence-based tasks and affective trust through shared experiences, these activities address key challenges associated with remote collaboration.

The findings emphasised the need for organisations to invest in regular and interactive team building initiatives tailored to their team's demographic composition. Such interventions not only improve interpersonal relationships, but they also create a transparent work culture conducive to collaboration and innovation.

As remote work continues to grow globally, understanding how to optimise virtual team dynamics becomes increasingly important. Future research should explore additional variables such as cultural diversity or technological proficiency to further refine strategies for managing virtual teams effectively.

References

- Altschuller, S., & Benbunan-Fich, R. (2010). Trust, performance, and the communication process in virtual teams. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 28(3), 273- 309.
- Breuer, C., Hüffmeier, J., & Hertel, G. (2016). Does trust matter more in virtual teams? A meta-analysis of trust and team effectiveness considering virtuality and documentation as moderators. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(8), 1151-1177.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. London: Sage Publications.
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
- Erez, M., Glikson, E., & Harush, R. (2023). Global multinational organizations

- and virtual work. In *Handbook of Virtual Work* (pp. 425–441). Edward Elgar Publishing.
<https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap/book/9781802200508/book-part-9781802200508-33.xml>
- Ferreira, A. I., & Rodrigues, R. I. (2023). Leadership styles and trust in virtual teams: A systematic review. *Journal of Business Research*, 145(1), 45–58.
- Ford, R. C., Piccolo, R. F., & Ford, L. R. (2017). Strategies for building effective virtual teams: Trust is key. *Business Horizons*, 60(1), 25–34.
- Gibbs, J. L., Maznevski, M. L., & Sung, S. Y. (2017). Virtual teams: A review of current literature and directions for future research. *Management Research Review*, 40(6), 658–676.
- Hertel, G., Geister, S., & Konradt, U. (2005). Managing virtual teams: A review of current empirical research. *Human Resource Management Review*, 15(1), 69–95.
- Hoch, J. E., & Kozlowski, S. W. J. (2014). Leading virtual teams: Hierarchical leadership and structural supports, and shared team leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99(3), 390–403.
- Howard, T. O., Winkelmess, M.-A., & Shegog, M. (2020). Transparency Teaching in the Virtual Classroom: Assessing the Opportunities and Challenges of Integrating Transparency Teaching Methods with Online Learning. *Journal of Political Science Education*, 16(2), 198–211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15512169.2018.1550420>.
- Jarvenpaa, S. L., & Leidner, D. E. (1999). Communication and trust in global Virtual teams. *Organization Science*, 10(6), 791–815.
- Kanawattanachai, P., & Yoo, Y. (2002). Dynamic nature of trust in virtual teams. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 11(3–4), 187–213.
- Lansing, M., Nikolaidis, F., & Cosier, R. (2017). Interactive team building

- activities for enhancing collaboration in virtual environments. *Team Performance Management Journal*, 23(4), 187-205.
- Leonardi, P. M., & Contractor, N. S. (2018). Better people analytics: Measuring the impact of transparency on team performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 96(2), 70-78.
- Marlow, S. L., Lacerenza, C. N., Paoletti, J., Burke, C. S., & Salas, E. (2017). Does team building work? Examining the effects of team building on team outcomes. *Human Factors*, 59(5), 661-677.
- McEwan, D., Ruissen, G. R., Eys, M. A., Zumbo, B. D., & Beauchamp, M. R. (2017). The effectiveness of teamwork training on teamwork behaviors and team performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled interventions. *PloS One*, 12(1), e0169604.
- Mesmer-Magnus, J. R., & DeChurch, L. A. (2009). Information sharing and team performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 6(7), 345-367.
- Morrison-Smith, S., & Ruiz, J. (2020). Challenges and opportunities in the new era of remote work. *Strategic HR Review*, 19(5), 213-216.
- Palanski, M. E., Kahai, S. S., & Yammarino, F. J. (2011). Team trust, information exchange and innovativeness: The moderating role of psychological safety. *Group & Organization Management*, 36(6), 745-784.
- Sivunen, A., & Hakonen, M. (2011). Team building in virtual environments: A field experiment. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(5), 1759-1767.
- Tannenbaum, S. I., Mathieu, J. E., Salas, E., & Cohen, D. (2012). Team development interventions: Evidence-based approaches for improving teamwork. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 5(4), 394-422.

Wilson, J. M., Straus, S. G., & McEvily, B. (2006). All in due time: The development of trust in global virtual teams. *Organization Studies*, 27(7), 981-1001.